NOTICE OF MEETING
OF THE
PUBLIC, LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS,
AND WATER RESOURCES
COMMITTEE
OF THE
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF THE
Inland Empire Utilities Agency
A MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT
IS SCHEDULED FOR
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2016
9:00 A.M.
AT THE ADMINISTRATION HEADQUARTERS
6075 Kimball Avenue, Building A
Chino, CA 91708
CALL TO ORDER

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address the Board on any item that is within the jurisdiction of the Board; however, no action may be taken on any item not appearing on the agenda unless the action is otherwise authorized by Subdivision (b) of Section 54954.2 of the Government Code. Those persons wishing to address the Board on any matter, whether or not it appears on the agenda, are requested to complete and submit to the Board Secretary a “Request to Speak” form, which are available on the table in the Board Room. Comments will be limited to five minutes per speaker. Thank you.

ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA

In accordance with Section 54954.2 of the Government Code (Brown Act), additions to the agenda require two-thirds vote of the legislative body, or, if less than two-thirds of the members are present, a unanimous vote of those members present, that there is a need to take immediate action and that the need for action came to the attention of the local agency subsequent to the agenda being posted.

1. ACTION ITEMS

A. MINUTES
The Committee will be asked to approve the Public, Legislative Affairs, and Water Resources Committee meeting minutes of January 13, 2016.

B. RESOLUTION NO. 2016-2-2, AUTHORIZING AGENCY ORGANIZATIONAL MEMBERSHIPS AND AFFILIATIONS
It is recommended that the Committee/Board:

1. Approve the Agency-wide memberships and affiliations for FY 2016/17, in the amount of $238,500 (includes 5% contingency); and

C. **WATER PURCHASE AND STORAGE AGREEMENT**

It is recommended that the Committee/Board:

1. Approve the Water Storage Agreement between Inland Empire Utilities Agency and Cucamonga Valley Water District for the purchase of up to 5,000 acre-feet of supplemental water;

2. Approve an inter-fund loan of up to $3,000,000 from the Regional Operations and Maintenance (RO) Fund to the Water Resource (WW) Fund to support the water purchase; and

3. Authorize the General Manager, subject to non-substantial changes, to execute the Agreement and inter-fund loan.

2. **INFORMATION ITEMS**

A. **PUBLIC OUTREACH AND COMMUNICATION (WRITTEN)**

B. **LEGISLATIVE REPORTS (WRITTEN)**
   1. West Coast Advisors
   2. Innovative Federal Strategies
   3. Agricultural Resources

C. **CALIFORNIA STRATEGIES MONTHLY REPORT (WRITTEN)**

D. **FEDERAL LEGISLATION MATRIX (WRITTEN)**

E. **MID-YEAR BUILDING ACTIVITY UPDATE (POWERPOINT)**

F. **WATER CONSERVATION PROGRAM UPDATE (POWERPOINT)**

G. **CONSERVATION AND DATA INITIATIVES (POWERPOINT)**

H. **CHINO BASIN BOUNDARY UPDATE FOR THE 2014 SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ACT (SGMA) (POWERPOINT)**

I. **ENERGY MANAGEMENT PLAN (EMP) (WRITTEN/POWERPOINT)**

J. **PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES UPDATE (ORAL)**

3. **GENERAL MANAGER'S COMMENTS**

4. **COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS**

5. **COMMITTEE MEMBER REQUESTED FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

6. **ADJOURN**
Public, Legislative Affairs, and Water Resources Committee
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*A Municipal Water District

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need special assistance to participate in this meeting, please contact the Board Secretary (909-993-1736), 48 hours prior to the scheduled meeting so that the Agency can make reasonable arrangements.

Proofed by: SK

DECLARATION OF POSTING

I, April Woodruff, Board Secretary of the Inland Empire Utilities Agency, A Municipal Water District, hereby certify that a copy of this agenda has been posted by 5:30 p.m. in the foyer at the Agency's main office, 6075 Kimball Avenue, Building A, Chino on Thursday, February 4, 2016.

April Woodruff
Public, Legislative Affairs, and Water Resources Committee

ACTION
ITEM
1A
MINUTES
PUBLIC, LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS, AND WATER RESOURCES
COMMITTEE MEETING
INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY
AGENCY HEADQUARTERS, CHINO, CA

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 13, 2016
9:00 A.M.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT
Steven J. Elie, Chair
Michael Camacho

STAFF PRESENT
P. Joseph Grindstaff, General Manager
Chris Berch, Executive Manager of Engineering/AGM
Martha Davis, Executive Manager of Policy Development/AGM
Christina Valencia, Chief Financial Officer/AGM
Kathy Besser, Manager of External Affairs
Andrea Carruthers, Senior External Affairs Specialist
Jason Gu, Grants Officer
Sylvie Lee, Manager of Planning and Environmental Resources
Teresa Velarde, Manager of Internal Audit
April Woodruff, Board Secretary/Office Manager

OTHERS PRESENT
Jasmin A. Hall, Director
Travis Hickey, Audit Committee Advisor

The meeting was called to order at 9:20 a.m. There were no public comments received or additions to the agenda.

ACTION ITEMS
The Committee:

- Approved the Public, Legislative Affairs, and Water Resources Committee meeting minutes of December 9, 2015.

- Recommended that the Board adopt Federal and State Legislative Priorities; as an Action Item on the January 13, 2016, Board meeting agenda.

INFORMATION ITEMS
The following information items were presented or received and filed by the Committee:

- Public Outreach and Communication Report
- Legislative Reports
- California Strategies, LLC Activity Report
- Federal Legislation Matrix
- 2nd Quarter Planning and Environmental Resources Update
GENERAL MANAGER’S COMMENTS
General Manager Joseph Grindstaff had no additional comments.

COMMITTEE MEMBER COMMENTS
There were no Committee Member comments.

COMMITTEE MEMBER REQUESTED FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS
There were no Committee Member requested future agenda items.

With no further business, Director Elie adjourned the meeting at 9:55 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

April Woodruff
Board Secretary/Office Manager

*A Municipal Water District

APPROVED: FEBRUARY 10, 2016
ACTIONS

ITEM 1B
Date: February 17, 2016

To: The Honorable Board of Directors

Through: Public, Legislative Affairs and Water Resources Committee (2/10/16)
Engineering, Operations, and Biosolids Management Committee
(2/10/16)
Finance, Legal and Administration Committee (2/10/16)

From: P. Joseph Grindstaff
General Manager

Submitted by: Kathy Besser
Manager of External Affairs

Subject: Resolution No. 2016-2-2, Authorizing Agency Organizational Memberships and Affiliations

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board of Directors:

1. Approve the Agency-wide memberships and affiliations for FY 2016/17, in the amount of $238,250 (includes 5% contingency); and


BACKGROUND

Each year in preparation for IEUA’s fiscal year budget, the Board of Directors, by four-fifths vote, approves a resolution authorizing memberships and affiliations with certain organizations (California Water Code – Section 71597).

A listing of the proposed Agency-wide memberships greater than $5,000, along with a brief summary of the benefits associated, is attached for the Board’s review. The attached membership dues are estimates provided by the organizations. Agency-wide memberships are due throughout the fiscal year and can fluctuate from the provided estimates. Due to unknown fluctuations in renewal costs, staff proposes that a 5% contingency be included in the Board’s approval. Any individual membership renewal with an increase greater than 5% will be brought back to the Board for approval.
PRIOR BOARD ACTION

On February 18, 2015, the Board of Directors approved the Agency-wide memberships and affiliations for FY 2015/16 in the amount of $178,718 through adoption of Resolution No. 2015-2-2.

IMPACT ON BUDGET

The proposed membership fees in the amount of $238,250 (which includes the 5% contingency) will be appropriated in the Agency’s FY 2016/17 Administrative Services Fund Budget spread between multiple cost centers, under account number 10200-100000-514010, Agency-wide Membership.

Attachments: Agency-wide membership benefit summary and details
# AGENCY-WIDE MEMBERSHIP BENEFIT SUMMARY
(Greater than $5,000)

**FY 2016/2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membership</th>
<th>Representation</th>
<th>Estimated Dues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Association of California Water Agencies</td>
<td>Martha Davis</td>
<td><strong>$29,920</strong>&lt;br&gt;Approx. 2% decrease due to removal of Delta assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioenergy Association of California</td>
<td>Martha Davis, Pietro Cambiaso</td>
<td><strong>$5,500</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Association of Sanitation Agencies (CASA)</td>
<td>Director Hall, Martha Davis</td>
<td><strong>$19,845</strong>&lt;br&gt;3-5% increase from last year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Special Districts Association (CSDA)</td>
<td>Director Hall, Christina Valencia</td>
<td><strong>$6,515</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Wastewater Climate Change Group</td>
<td>Pietro Cambiaso, Martha Davis</td>
<td><strong>$0</strong>&lt;br&gt;CASA will absorb CWCCG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle Utilities</td>
<td>Jeff Noelte</td>
<td><strong>$12,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Association of Clean Water Agencies (NACWA)</td>
<td>General Manager Joe Grindstaff</td>
<td><strong>$30,560</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Water Research Institute (NWRI)</td>
<td>Director Elie, Jeff Noelte, Andy Campbell</td>
<td><strong>$50,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern Cal. Alliance of Publicly Owned Treatment Works (SCAP)</td>
<td>General Manager Joe Grindstaff, Pietro Cambiaso</td>
<td><strong>$15,001</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern California Salinity Management Coalition</td>
<td>Chris Berch, Craig Proctor</td>
<td><strong>$10,000</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WateReuse Association</td>
<td>Director Hall, General Manager Joe Grindstaff, Martha Davis</td>
<td><strong>$8,624</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WateReuse Foundation</td>
<td>General Manager Joe Grindstaff, Martha Davis</td>
<td><strong>$12,000 + $26,940 = $38,940</strong>&lt;br&gt;Merger w/ Water Environment Research Foundation (WERF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$226,905</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AGENCY-WIDE MEMBERSHIP BENEFIT DETAILS
FY 2016/2017

Association of California Water Agencies (ACWA):

ACWA is the lead water policy advocacy organization in California and provides members with cost savings through an additional voice in state and federal legislative and regulatory arenas.

ACWA’s federal affairs, legislative and regulatory activities advance bills and issues of concern to members, while halting potentially damaging measures. Initiatives include determining components of newly-passed water bond legislation, Bay Delta Conservation Plan and Delta Plan advocacy, long term water infrastructure financing, and renewable energy policy.

Representation: Martha Davis (committee member)
Dues: $29,920 (Approximately 2% decrease due to removal of Delta assessment)

Bioenergy Association of California (BAC):

BAC was established to promote sustainable bioenergy production, which includes electricity, biogas, renewable liquid fuels, thermal energy and heat and power generated from organic waste.

BAC is focused on promoting community-scale bioenergy generation from a wide range of sustainably available organic waste sources, including dairy and agricultural waste, food and food processing waste, water treatment waste and other organic urban waste.

BAC’s work is focused primarily on policy advocacy, communication, public education and outreach, research, and industry best practices.

Representation: Martha Davis, Pietro Cambiaso
Dues: $5,500

California Association of Sanitation Agencies (CASA):

CASA serves as the lead advocacy group for wastewater agencies in California on legislative and regulatory issues. Since CASA employs legal representation, it is extremely effective on regulatory issues, particularly before the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) and California Department of Health Services (CDPH), and has, in recent years, saved association members costs of individually having to address such issues as the proposed Whole Effluent Toxicity policy (WET) and draft SWRCB monitoring policy for Constituents of Emerging Concern in recycled water.
CASA maintains lobbyists in Sacramento and Washington, D.C., in addition to a Director of Regulatory Affairs. Priorities include adoption by SWRCB of acceptable WET policy, development with SWRCB of alternative approaches to nutrient issues to cost-effectively reduce impairments, and promotion of biosolids as a renewable energy resource.

Representation: Director Jasmin A. Hall (committee member), Martha Davis (committee member)
Dues: $19,845

California Special Districts Association (CSDA):

CSDA is a statewide association of various independent special districts whose primary function is legislative advocacy. In the past year, CSDA played a vital role in coordinating responses to major legislative initiatives that impacted special districts, including redevelopment agency reform, proposed property tax shifts, and pension reform, successfully pushing back on changes that would have been harmful or increased costs to special districts.

The Agency was awarded the Transparency Certificate in 2015. The organization is very active on the legislative front working closely with our lobbyist on critical legislation that impacts the Agency. In 2015, CSDA continued to track and engage Agency staff on property tax and rate related issues. CSDA provides timely and essential training, including, but not limited to: Ethics, Board Secretary, Brown Act, and Proposition 26 and 218 requirements. CSDA also acts as a great resource for the Agency’s self-insured programs.

Representation: Director Jasmin A. Hall, Christina Valencia
Dues: $6,515

California Wastewater Climate Change Group:

CASA to absorb CWCCG.

Representation: Pietro Cambiaso, Martha Davis
Dues: $0

Isle Utilities:

A Technology Approval Group (TAG) membership will serve as a valuable tool for IEUA staff to learn about new wastewater technologies that have the potential to provide significant benefits to the Agency. TAG gives its members access to a portfolio of new technologies while allowing its members to stay focused on their core businesses. TAG searches out technologies which are innovative and ready for potential trials, and then presents these technologies to its members. Members then have a vote on the top prospects, allowing those that align best with the members needs to take precedence.
The TAG membership also provides a forum for IEUA to request technology searches that relate to the Agency’s specific needs and challenges. TAG members also have access to global industry trials, online support, and interactive workshops. Some of the southern California wastewater agencies that are members of TAG include Orange County Sanitation District, Irvine Ranch Water District, and the city of Escondido. IEUA’s participation in TAG has provided value to staff in identifying technologies that can benefit future Agency projects and initiatives.

Quarterly TAG meetings were attended by Agency staff at Orange County Sanitation District. These all-day meetings provided valuable information relating to wastewater treatment (e.g., process optimization, reducing energy use, and resource recovery), and provided a forum to initiate further discussions with technology providers. The Technical Services Department’s investigations on aeration performance and on-line nutrient analyzers have benefited significantly from the TAG membership.

**Representation:** Jeff Noelte  
**Dues:** $12,000

**National Association of Clean Water Agencies (NACWA):**

NACWA's active membership and close working relationship with Congress and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) allow members to interact with national policy makers and shape the course of America’s environmental protection legislation.

Today's increasingly complex threats to water quality present many legislative and regulatory challenges to the wastewater treatment community. NACWA is responding to these challenges through heightened involvement in a broadening array of clean water concerns, such as advocating increased funding, developing enforceable controls on nonpoint sources, and working to improve the total maximum daily load (TMDL) program, in addition to a host of other clean water priorities.

Since its founding in 1970, NACWA has emerged as a nationally recognized leader in both the legislative and regulatory arenas and as a sought-after technical resource on water quality and ecosystem protection.

**Representation:** General Manager Joe Grindstaff  
**Dues:** $30,560

**National Water Research Institute (NWRI):**

NWRI provides valuable research and policy guidance to the industry on important issues affecting the usage of water, wastewater and recycled water. NWRI is highly regarded by the environmental regulatory community and often collaborates with regulators such as the California Division of Drinking Water and the Regional Water Quality Control Board at their request to provide expert panel support on water quality related issues. Through
strategic partnerships with leading organizations in the water and wastewater industries, NWRI sponsors projects and programs focused on ensuring safe, reliable, sources of water for current and future generations. Notable areas of focus are treatment technology and regulatory aspects of recycled water use.

IEUA Board Member Steven J. Elie currently serves on NWRI’s Board of Directors (Jeff Noelte is designated as the alternate). NWRI is active in research in the field of water reuse and has produced guidelines for such projects as development of direct and indirect potable reuse projects. NWRI provides technical expertise and is a respected venue for development of technical review panels, both of which assist the Agency to advance its programs.
Specifically, IEUA will utilize an NWRI expert panel for its recycled water injection effort and has previously used an expert panel to further its recycled water recharge program. NWRI includes IEUA staff in its formation of technical panels, as appropriate, giving IEUA an opportunity to provide leadership in selected fields.

Representation: Director Steven J. Elie, Jeff Noelte, Andy Campbell
Dues: $50,000

Southern California Alliance of Publicly Owned Treatment Works (SCAP):

SCAP is organized under the “strength-in-numbers” concept in order to ensure that environmental regulations applied in southern California are appropriate, reasonable and cost-effective. Through the committee efforts information is gathered and disseminated to all members using the SCAP Monthly Update, published committee reports and periodic SCAP Alerts. SCAP provides specific leadership on key regulatory focus areas, such as air, water, energy, biosolids and collection systems, and works closely with CASA to communicate these issues at the state and federal level. Specialized committees have played an integral part in proactively affecting the outcome of proposed regulations that would have had significant economic impacts to the Agency.

Joe Grindstaff has served on the SCAP Board of Directors in previous years, and Chris Berch and Jesse Pompa have both served as the vice chair and/or chair of the energy committee.

Representation: General Manager Joe Grindstaff (Board member), Pietro Cambiaso
Dues: $15,001

Southern California Salinity Management Coalition:

Formed in 2000, IEUA is a founding member of the Coalition, which addresses the complex problems of salt management in southern California. The Southern California Salinity Coalition has financially assisted IEUA on the water softener reduction project and regional board regulatory issues and continues to sponsor new research that will support the Chino Basin in addressing long term salt management issues.
Agency staff actively participates and serves on the Board of Directors for this organization. The meetings are very productive and cover a lot of ground in the area of salinity management within the southern California region. The coalition does not hold conferences, but periodically holds workshops for various stakeholders in which the Agency participates. The coalition membership includes agencies such as LACSD, OCWD, MWD, EMWD, and SDCWA to name a few. Some of the past efforts of this organization included assistance in the development of public outreach materials on salt management and water softeners and contributing funding to help with IEUA’s water softener study. The main focus of this group is to coordinate salinity management strategies for water and wastewater agencies throughout southern California.

**Representation:** Chris Berch, Craig Proctor  
**Dues:** $10,000

**WateReuse Association:**

WateReuse Association is the lead advocate for water recycling in the nation. Membership in WateReuse includes state section membership. Regarding the use of recycled water, WateReuse Association has proactive programs, legislation, government relations, regulatory agency oversight, technology transfer and public education/outreach. At the national level, WateReuse Association is an advocate for Title XVI funding, and works closely with IEUA to ensure continued federal support for recycled water programs. The California section is active in legislative and regulatory affairs, and played a pivotal role in securing passage of IEUA’s historic water softener legislation (AB1366). Ongoing priorities include protection of Title XVI funding, support of programs at the federal level and promoting legislation that advances potable reuse along with indirect potable reuse.

IEUA benefits from membership in the WateReuse Association. Martha Davis serves on the Board of Trustees for WateReuse California. The WateReuse Inland Empire chapter, the State and regional associations provide opportunities for IEUA participation in conferences and regional meetings. Many IEUA staff and board members have attended WateReuse conferences over the past few years. IEUA staff have presented at regional chapter meetings and State conferences, providing staff with invaluable leadership, networking and training opportunities. At various times, IEUA staff have made presentations for WateReuse regarding the IEUA recycled water distribution and recharge programs. WateReuse is an advocate of IEUA’s goal of increased use of recycled water.

**Representation:** General Manager Joseph Grindstaff, Martha Davis (board member, committee member)  
**Dues:** $8,624
WateReuse Foundation:

WateReuse Foundation is an educational, nonprofit, public benefit corporation that serves as a centralized organization for the water and wastewater community to advance the science of water reuse, recycling, reclamation, and desalination. The Foundation’s research covers a broad spectrum of issues, including chemical contaminants, microbiological agents, treatment technologies, salinity management, public perception, economics, and marketing.

The WateReuse Research Foundation has undertaken more than 150 research projects, applying more than $46 million in federal, state and private funding to date, to create leading-edge programming that stimulates new knowledge, information programs and products to advance water recycling and desalination for the benefit of the nation’s water supply.

**Representation:** General Manager Joe Grindstaff, Martha Davis

**Dues:** $12,000 + $26,940 – WERF = $38,940 (Merger with the Water Environment Research Foundation (WERF), so dues were increased)
RESOLUTION NO. 2016-2-2

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY*, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, AUTHORIZING AGENCY-WIDE ORGANIZATIONAL MEMBERSHIPS AND AFFILIATIONS

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors of Inland Empire Utilities Agency* authorized Agency-wide memberships in and affiliations with various organizations which benefit the Agency; and

WHEREAS, Section 71597 of the California Water Code requires that Agency-wide memberships must be approved by at least four-fifths (4/5) majority of its Directors.

NOW, THEREFORE, the Board of Directors of Inland Empire Utilities Agency* does hereby RESOLVE, DETERMINE, AND ORDER as follows:

Section 1. That this Board of Directors does hereby approve and authorize the fiscal year Agency-wide annual memberships in and affiliation with, and authorize the General Manager to execute payment of membership fees to these organizations (see attachment).

Section 2. That this Board of Directors does hereby approve and authorize the General Manager, or in his absence, his designee, to approve all Agency-wide annual memberships in and affiliation with, and payment of membership fees to these organizations less than $5,000.00.

Section 3. That the appropriation of funds necessary for the dues and/or fees associated with the Agency-wide memberships is hereby authorized.

Section 4. That the appropriation of funds necessary for the dues and/or fees associated with the Agency-wide memberships and employee professional memberships be authorized by the Board of Directors in the budget each fiscal year.

Section 5. Upon adoption of this resolution, Resolution No. 2015-2-2 is hereby rescinded in its entirety.
ADOPTED this 17th day of February, 2016.

Terry Catlin
President of the Inland Empire Utilities Agency* and the Board of Directors thereof

ATTEST:

Steven J. Elie
Secretary/Treasurer of the Inland Empire Utilities Agency* and the Board of Directors thereof

* A Municipal Water District
I, Steven J. Elie, Secretary/Treasurer of the Inland Empire Utilities Agency*, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing Resolution being No. 2016-2-2, was adopted at a regular meeting on February 17, 2016, of said Agency by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

(SEAL)

________________________
Steven J. Elie
Secretary/Treasurer

* A Municipal Water District
# AGENCY-WIDE MEMBERSHIP BENEFIT SUMMARY

(Greater than $5,000)  
**FY 2016/2017**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membership</th>
<th>Representation</th>
<th>Estimated Dues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Association of California Water Agencies | Martha Davis | $29,920  
Approx 2% decrease due to removal of Delta assessment |
| Bioenergy Association of California | Martha Davis, Pietro Cambiaso | $5,500 |
| California Association of Sanitation Agencies (CASA) | Director Hall, Martha Davis | $19,845  
3-5% increase from last year |
| California Special Districts Association (CSDA) | Director Hall, Christina Valencia | $6,515 |
| California Wastewater Climate Change Group | Pietro Cambiaso, Martha Davis | $0  
CASA will absorb CWCCG |
| Isle Utilities | Jeff Noelte | $12,000 |
| National Association of Clean Water Agencies (NACWA) | General Manager Joe Grindstaff | $30,560 |
| National Water Research Institute (NWRI) | Director Elie, Jeff Noelte, Andy Campbell | $50,000 |
| Southern Cal. Alliance of Publicly Owned Treatment Works (SCAP) | General Manager Joe Grindstaff, Pietro Cambiaso | $15,001 |
| Southern California Salinity Management Coalition | Chris Berch, Craig Proctor | $10,000 |
| WateReuse Association | Director Hall, General Manager Joe Grindstaff, Martha Davis | $8,624 |
| WateReuse Foundation | General Manager Joe Grindstaff, Martha Davis | $12,000 + $26,940 = $38,940  
Merger w/ Water Environment Research Foundation (WERF) |

**Total:** $226,905
Public, Legislative Affairs, and Water Resources Committee

ACTION
ITEM
1C
Date: February 17, 2016

To: The Honorable Board of Directors

Through: Public, Legislative Affairs, and Water Resources Committee (2/10/16)
         Finance, Legal, and Administration Committee (2/10/16)

From: P. Joseph Grindstaff
       General Manager

Submitted by: Chris Berch
             Executive Manager of Engineering/Assistant General Manager

Submitted by: Sylvie Lee
             Manager of Planning and Environmental Resources

Subject: Water Purchase and Storage Agreement

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board of Directors:

1. Approve the Water Storage Agreement between Inland Empire Utilities Agency (IEUA) and Cucamonga Valley Water District (CVWD) for the purchase of up to 5,000 acre-feet of supplemental water;

2. Approve an inter-fund loan of up to $3,000,000 from the Regional Operations and Maintenance (RO) Fund to the Water Resources (WW) Fund to support the water purchase; and

3. Authorize the General Manager, subject to non-substantial changes, to execute the Agreement and inter-fund loan.

BACKGROUND

In response to the 2015 Governor's Order, several IEUA member agencies have significantly reduced their demands on imported water purchases through Metropolitan Water District (MWD). These demands have resulted in ongoing operational challenges for the CVWD. As required within the MWD Administrative Code, CVWD must maintain a minimum flow of 15 cubic-feet/second (10% of total turnout capacity) to their water treatment plant through the MWD turnout at any time. Use below this level will be charged at 15 cubic-feet/second (take-or-pay).
Several planned IEUA water resource programs are contingent upon the development of Chino Basin groundwater storage. IEUA has a pending storage application with the Chino Basin Watermaster (CBWM); however, it is anticipated that the processing of the application will take at least a year to be formally considered and approved by CBWM. Storage of supplemental water during wet years and use of stored water during dry years is an IEUA Business Goal and a fundamental strategy within the Integrated Water Resource Plan.

In late 2015, CVWD and IEUA developed an approach that would help CVWD meet their minimum flow needs and enable IEUA to purchase and store water in the Chino Basin. This approach is memorialized in the attached Water Storage Agreement (Agreement). Key provisions of the Agreement are as follows:

- CVWD would maintain a minimum MWD turnout flow rate.
- CVWD would purchase actual water needed through the MWD turnout.
- IEUA would purchase the additional increment of water needed for CVWD to meet the minimum flow requirement.
- IEUA has the option to purchase and deliver supplemental water to groundwater recharge
- An equivalent volume of Chino Basin groundwater will be stored by CVWD for IEUA for all supplemental water purchases (in-lieu groundwater storage).
- CVWD will carry IEUA’s water in storage until such time that IEUA elects to sell or transfer stored water.

It is anticipated that purchases of water through this Agreement will primarily be through the CVWD treatment plant (in-lieu); however, this Agreement will also provide opportunities/options for groundwater recharge blend purchases or storage of other wet-year water supplies.

The approval of this Agreement would allow for the purchase of up to 5,000 acre-feet of water. Based on the 2016 MWD Untreated Tier-1 rate of $594/acre-foot, approximately $3,000,000 of water could be purchased and stored under this Agreement. The purchase and subsequent resale of stored water will be recorded in the Water Resources (WW) Fund.

This Agreement meets the IEUA adopted Business Goal of Water Reliability by reducing demands for imported water during dry and normal years, and storing imported water into the Chino Basin during wet years.
PRIOR BOARD ACTION

None.

IMPACT ON BUDGET

Upon approval, water purchases will be supported by an inter-fund loan of up to $3,000,000 from the RO Fund. Execution of the inter-fund loan will decrease the RO Fund reserves. All revenues associated with the future sale of stored water will be used to 1) repay the inter-fund loan, and 2) make future supplemental water purchases.

Attachment: 2016 Water Storage Agreement
2016
WATER STORAGE AGREEMENT

Inland Empire Utilities Agency
and
Cucamonga Valley Water District

This Water Storage Agreement ("Agreement") is made as of ___________, 2016, by and between the Inland Empire Utilities Agency, a Municipal Water District, ("IEUA"), and the Cucamonga Valley Water District ("CVWD"). IEUA and CVWD are hereinafter collectively referred to as the Parties or individually as a Party.

RECITALS

WHEREAS, The Chino Groundwater Basin (Chino Basin) is one of the largest subsurface storage aquifers in Southern California and has the potential to store large volumes of water for local use during dry periods.

WHEREAS, Cucamonga Valley Water District (CVWD) has overlying pumping rights and storage rights within the Chino Basin.

WHEREAS, IEUA has the desire and ability to purchase untreated imported water to supplement local groundwater supply.

WHEREAS, IEUA contemplates delivering and storing imported water in the Chino Basin for the purpose of providing supplemental local water supplies during dry years.

WHEREAS, IEUA desires to have a designated storage account within the Chino Basin; a process that is scheduled to be worked through over the next year with the Chino Basin Watermaster (Watermaster).

WHEREAS, During times when water demands are very low, CVWD does not reliably have sufficient demand on the Metropolitan Water District (MWD) service connection that meets the minimum take-or-pay flow requirement.

WHEREAS, IEUA would be willing to supplement CVWD's minimum take or pay MWD service connection requirement, if the additional supplemental flow could be credited to IEUA through CVWD's Chino Basin storage account.

WHEREAS, IEUA desires to have CVWD store water (in-lieu or recharged) within its existing Chino Basin storage account on behalf of IEUA for the purpose of a future transfer to CVWD or other member agencies.
NOW, THEREFORE, the Parties hereto agree as follows:

SECTION 1: IEUA ROLES/RESPONSIBILITIES

1. When delivered to a treatment facility, IEUA will purchase the volume of water necessary for CVWD to meet their minimum service connection flows from MWD at the request of CVWD, or as otherwise coordinated among the parties.
2. IEUA will purchase and deliver water through a Chino Basin groundwater turnout or, through coordination and request by CVWD, through a service connection at one of CVWD’s treatment facilities.
3. When purchased for delivery through a Chino Basin groundwater recharge turnout, IEUA will notify Watermaster (copy to CVWD) that said water shall be stored in CVWD’s existing Supplemental Storage Account.
4. Any water delivered through a Chino Basin groundwater recharge turnout will not be counted towards the CVWD Tier-1 allocation.
5. IEUA will be responsible for MWD Readiness-To-Serve charges only as they apply to stored water as outlined in this Agreement.
6. IEUA will report any deliveries through a Chino Basin groundwater recharge turnout to CVWD no more than 5-working days following the end of the calendar month (if deliveries have been made).
7. For IEUA stored water, IEUA will be responsible for all costs associated with the delivery of water to the IEUA service area up to the MWD service connection point for treatment facilities.
8. IEUA will be responsible for any incurred storage losses to CVWD associated with the storage of IEUA-credited stored water.
9. In the event that IEUA requests a transfer of IEUA-credited stored water to another party, IEUA shall provide written notice of such request to CVWD no less than 30-days prior to the proposed transfer being filed with Watermaster.

SECTION 2: CVWD ROLES/RESPONSIBILITIES

1. If CVWD desires to have IEUA purchase MWD Tier-1 water deliveries to meet their minimum service connection flows, CVWD will provide IEUA a forecast of anticipated purchases no later than 30-days prior to the start of actual delivery.
2. CVWD will be responsible for all costs associated with the treatment and distribution of any water delivered to one of CVWD’s treatment plants. For clarification, CVWD’s responsibilities for treatment and distribution start after the MWD service connection point.
3. Following the delivery of water purchased by IEUA through a CVWD treatment plant, CVWD will account for an equivalent volume of Chino Basin groundwater to IEUA within CVWD’s existing Supplemental Storage Account (IEUA-credited stored water).
4. Following the delivery of water purchased by IEUA through a groundwater recharge turnout, CVWD will account for an equivalent volume of Chino Basin groundwater to IEUA within CVWD’s existing Supplemental Storage Account (IEUA-credited stored water).

5. Any water that is purchased by IEUA at the request of CVWD will be counted towards the CVWD Tier-1 allocation (limit is 28,368 for FY15/16).

6. Any water that is purchased by IEUA without the request of CVWD will be counted towards the IEUA Tier-1 allocation.

7. IEUA-credited stored water shall be subject to losses normally assessed to Appropriative Pool storage accounts by Watermaster and any such losses shall be passed-through to IEUA by CVWD.

8. In the event that IEUA requests a transfer of their credited water in storage to another party, CVWD shall file the necessary paperwork required by Watermaster within 30-days.

9. CVWD shall provide a written summary of storage balance (provide at a minimum, prior water balance, water added, losses applied, transfers in/out and new water balance) within 45-days of the end of each quarter (i.e. May 15th for January – March).

10. CVWD shall notify IEUA no later than 5-working days following the end of the calendar month (if deliveries have been made at the request of CVWD) of actual delivery of water through the MWD service connection that they wish to have applied to this agreement. The reported volume of water will therefore be paid for by IEUA and removed from any imported water billing to CVWD.

11. Upon termination of this Agreement, CVWD shall notify IEUA of the balance of IEUA’s stored water which shall remain IEUA’s asset. IEUA may transfer the remaining water balance to IEUA’s-dedicated water storage account, request transfer of the water to another party or leave the balance in CVWD’s storage account as an IEUA stored water asset.

SECTION 3: EFFECTIVE DATE

This Agreement shall be effective from the date of execution of this agreement by both Parties and terminate on June 30, 2017, unless a one-year, mutually-agreed-to extension is executed, which shall be made by written amendment to this Agreement.

SECTION 4: MUTUAL INDEMNIFICATION

Each party agrees to protect, defend, indemnify and hold harmless the other party and its officers, directors, agents, employees, servants, and volunteers from any and all liability, claims, judgments, costs and demands, including demands arising from injuries or death of persons and damage to property, occurring as a result of its own or its respective officers, directors, agents, employees, servants, volunteers or subcontractor’s wrongful or negligent
acts or omissions in performing or failing to perform this Agreement. Each party shall be responsible to the extent of their negligence.

Each party further agrees to investigate, handle, respond to, provide defense for and defend any such claims, demands or suit required hereunder at their sole expense.

SECTION 5: OBSERVING LAWS AND ORDINANCES

The Parties shall keep fully informed of all existing state and federal laws and all county and city ordinances and regulations which in any manner affect the conduct of any services or tasks performed under this Agreement and of all such orders and decrees of bodies or tribunals having any jurisdiction or authority over the same. The Parties shall at all times observe and comply with all such existing laws, ordinances, regulations, requirements, orders and decrees, and shall protect and indemnify, as required herein, the other Party hereto, its officers, employees and agents against any claim or liability arising from or based on the violation of any such law, ordinance, regulation, order or decree, whether by the their Contractors or its employees.

SECTION 6: DISPUTE RESOLUTION

The Parties shall seek to resolve any dispute concerning the interpretation or implementation of this Agreement through good faith negotiation, involving, as and when appropriate, the general manage or chief executive officer of each of the Parties. Any dispute that remains unresolved thirty days (30) days after notice of the dispute is made to the Parties, shall be resolved by a single arbitrator with substantial experience in the matter or matters in dispute, conducted in accordance with Judicial Arbitration and Mediation Services (JAMS). The JAMS arbitrator shall apply the American Arbitration Association’s rules on commercial disputes, which shall govern any arbitration. If the Parties cannot agree on a single arbitrator within ten (10) days of the written election to submit the matter to arbitration, any Party may request JAMS to appoint a single, neutral arbitrator. The Parties shall use their reasonable best efforts to have the arbitration proceedings concluded within ninety (90) business days of selection of the arbitrator.

SECTION 7: NOTICE

Written notices to be given to any Party must be given by personal delivery or by registered or certified mail addressed and delivered as set forth below. Other correspondence and invoices may be sent by first-class mail, addressed and delivered as set forth below:

Inland Empire Utilities Agency
6075 Kimball Avenue
Chino, CA 91708
Attention: Chris Berch
SECTION 8: TERMINATION FOR CONVENIENCE

Each party reserves and has the right to immediately suspend, cancel or terminate this Agreement at any time upon thirty (30) days written notice to the other party. In the event of such termination, the Party shall pay any amount owed for all authorized costs or any obligations hereunder up to the date of such termination.

SECTION 9: REPRESENTATION OF AUTHORITY

Each Party represents to the other that it has the authority to enter into this Agreement and that the individual signing this Agreement on behalf of their respective Party has the authority to execute this Agreement and to bind their respective Party to the terms and conditions of this Agreement.

SECTION 10: GOVERNING LAW

This Contract is to be governed by and constructed in accordance with the laws of the State of California in the County of San Bernardino.

SECTION 11: INCORPORATION OF RECITALS

The Recitals set forth above are incorporated herein and made a part of this Agreement.

SECTION 12: ENTIRE AGREEMENT

This Agreement is intended by the Parties as a complete and exclusive statement of the terms of their agreement and it supersedes all prior agreements, written or oral, as to this subject matter. This Agreement may be modified only upon the mutual written agreement of the Parties hereto.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the Parties has caused this Agreement to be executed by its respective duly authorized officers. The effective date of this Agreement shall be the in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.
Executed this ___ day of ___________, 2016 by:

INLAND EMPIRE UTILITIES AGENCY:       CUCAMONGA VALLEY WATER DISTRICT:

P. Joseph Grindstaff                     Martin E. Zvirbulis
General Manager                         General Manager/CEO
Date: February 17, 2016

To: The Honorable Board of Directors

Through: Public, Legislative Affairs, and Water Resources Committee (2/10/16)

From: P. Joseph Grindstaff
General Manager

Submitted by: Kathy Besser
Manager of External Affairs

Subject: Public Outreach and Communication

RECOMMENDATION

This is an informational item for the Board of Directors to receive and file.

BACKGROUND

February
• February 25, Cypress Elementary Garden in Every School® (GIES) Dedication, 9751 Cypress Ave., Fontana, 5:00 p.m. – 6:30 p.m.

March
• March 3, GEIS Mandatory Workshop, IEUA HQB, Event Room, 4:30 p.m.
• March 21, IEUA Hosted Special District’s Dinner, Panda Inn - 3223 E. Centrelake Drive, Ontario, 6:00 p.m. – 9:00 p.m.

April
• April 20, IEUA Earth Day Event (Student Day), Chino Creek Park, 9:00 a.m. – 2:00 p.m.
• April 21, IEUA Earth Day Event (Community Day), Chino Creek Park, 4:00 p.m. – 7:00 p.m.
• April 22, Eagle Canyon Elementary GIES Dedication, 13435 Eagle Canyon Drive, Chino Hills, 11:30 a.m. – 1:00 p.m.

May
• May 5, Truman Middle School GIES Dedication, 16224 Mallory Drive, Fontana, 2:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m.
• May 13-15, MWD Solar Cup Competition, Lake Skinner
May 24, Cortez Elementary School GIES Dedication, 12750 Carissa Ave., Chino, 5:45 p.m.

Outreach/Education - Civic Publications Newspaper Campaign

- IEUA staff has been working, in collaboration with Civic Publications, to develop and distribute Kick the Habit display ads that focus on irrigation tips during the winter season. The display ads are linked to the Kick the Habit micro-site, which displays IEUA’s campaign message, tips, and member agency links.
- IEUA has developed an email blast that was distributed the first week of February. The email blast led viewers to the Kick the Habit micro-site. The email was sent to 157,000 email addresses throughout our service area. The email was sent in Spanish to 46,000 email addresses of Spanish speaking households and the link will be directed to the micro-site displayed in Spanish.

Media and Outreach

- IEUA staff is working with member agencies to distribute Kick the Habit mirror clings and vehicle magnets. To date, the city of Chino, city of Ontario, Chino Basin Water Conservation District, Fontana Water Company, and San Antonio Water Company have requested and received magnets for their city/company vehicles and mirror clings to be used on mirrors in multiple locations. The city of Upland and Monte Vista Water District are working with management to implement the magnets onto their vehicles as well. Internally, staff is working with Facilities Management and Maintenance on distribution and placement of vehicle magnets on all Agency vehicles.
- Kick the Habit print advertisements ran in the Chino Champion-Healthy Living Section on January 23, and in the Chino Connection on February 6.
- Kick the Habit bus advertisements began on October 5, 2015, and will run for six months.
- IEUA staff worked with Tripepi Smith and Associates to develop a movie theater ad that is currently showing at Victoria Gardens, Ontario Mills, and Ontario Palace. The ad will run through mid-March. The trailer ad is also running on YouTube and has developed over 14,000 views.

Education and Outreach Updates

- Water Discovery Program: 594 Girl Scout troop members, elementary, middle, and high school students have taken part in the park field trip from July 1, 2015 through January 31, 2016. Seventeen additional Water Discovery Field Trips for school year 2015/16 have been scheduled. The Busing Mini-Grant program was extended through December 2020.
- Staff has begun outreaching for IEUA’s GIES Mandatory Workshop for Fiscal Year 2016/17.
- Staff has begun outreaching for IEUA’s “Water is Life” poster contest.
- Staff, in cooperation with member agencies, has recruited three teams for MWD’s 2016 Solar Cup Competition to be held May 13-16, 2016. Final team names and contact information were submitted to MWD on October 1, 2015, for the 2016 program year: Chino High School (Chino), Chino Hills High School (Chino Hills) and Henry J. Kaiser
High School (Fontana). All teams are scheduled to attend a mandatory technical workshop on January 30, 2016.

- Staff has begun scheduling schools for the Earth Day Student Day field trip. IEUA is partnering with the city of Chino for this year’s event.

PRIOR BOARD ACTION

None.

IMPACT ON BUDGET

The above-mentioned activities are budgeted in the FY 2015/16 Administrative Service Fund, External Affairs Services budget.
INFORMATION
ITEM 2B
January 29, 2016

To: Inland Empire Utilities Agency

From: Michael Boccadoro
President

RE: January Legislative Report

Overview:
The Legislature returned to Sacramento in early January to begin the second year of the two-year session. They immediately started work on moving bills still in their house of origin out to the second house before the January 29 deadline. Legislators are also busy working on new bills for 2016. Additionally, the Governor released his Fiscal Year 2016-2017. The $170.7 billion budget includes a $3 billion Cap and Trade Expenditure Plan that prioritizes $500 million for low carbon transportation and fuels, $25 million for biofuels facility investments, $100 million for organic waste diversion, and $30 million for water and energy efficiency projects.

The Public Policy Institute of California recently released a report looking at the state’s most pressing long-term challenges. The paper notes that policymakers, including California’s voters, need more and better information about the future consequences of policy choices made today.

The water chapter acknowledges that solutions to California’s water management challenges are not easy and will involve difficult and sometimes costly trade-offs, as well as hard-to-achieve legal and political changes. The report makes suggestions for how to mitigate for the next drought, protecting wildlife and ecosystems, flood management and infrastructure funding.

The State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) issued a Draft Resolution in late January which would adopt the human right to water as a core value and directing its implementation in Water Board programs and activities. The resolution directs and encourages State Board and Regional Board staffs to consider the human right to water when reviewing and creating programs and activities. Additionally, where feasible, the resolution encourages collaboration with other agencies and organizations should be attempted to help ensure the human right to water.

The initiatives submitted by State Board of Equalization Vice Chairman George Runner and Senator Bob Huff (R- Diamond Bar) have been cleared for circulation by the Secretary of State. The two initiatives would reallocate any un-used high-speed rail bond funds to water storage projects. The initiatives will need to collect more than 500,000 signatures by mid-July if they are to make it onto the November statewide ballot.

The California Public Utilities Commission adopted the Net-Energy Metering (NEM) successor tariff on January 28. The new tariff extends the NEM program while making some minor changes for future installations. Most of the changes affect residential customers, not commercial installations.
Assemblymember Susan Talamantes Eggman (D-Stockton) recently introduced legislation to block the Twin Tunnels project unless it is approved by the voters of California on a statewide ballot. An opposition coalition has already started to form and will be submitting an opposition letter soon. In the highly unlikely event the bill passes through both houses, Governor Brown would be unlikely to sign in.

Governor Brown delivered his annual State of the State address in mid-January. The short address highlighted the successes of the administration’s policies and focused on fiscal discipline and long-term obligations. He did mention the importance of groundwater management, recycling, stormwater capture, building storage and reliable conveyance, improving efficiency everywhere, and investing in new technologies, including desal.

With winter storms slowly boosting water supply, the Department of Water Resources (DWR) increased its water delivery estimate for most recipients from 10 percent of requests for the calendar year, as announced in December, to 15 percent.

**Inland Empire Utilities Agency**  
**Status Report – January 2016**

**PPIC: California’s Future**

The Public Policy Institute of California recently released a report looking at the state’s most pressing long-term challenges. The paper notes that policymakers, including California’s voters, need more and better information about the future consequences of policy choices made today. The paper focuses on a diverse set of topics from corrections to climate change, and includes a section on water.

The water chapter acknowledges that solutions to California’s water management challenges are not easy and will involve difficult and sometimes costly trade-offs, as well as hard-to-achieve legal and political changes.

The chapter is broken up into small sections highlighting successes, failures and suggestions for California’s water future. The sections include:

**Drought**

- **Investment in diversified water supplies pays dividends during droughts:** most urban and suburban areas have been minimally effected by the drought because of significant past investment to improve and diversify supplies and manage demand.
- **Groundwater is the state’s most important reserve, particularly for agriculture:** the current rate of groundwater withdrawal is unsustainable.
- **Drought increases hardships for disadvantaged rural communities:** Long-term solutions are needed in small, poor, rural communities that have lost drinking water supplies.
- **Drought is a severe problem for the state’s rivers, wetlands, and forests:** the state has not prepared adequately for drought implications on ecosystems. Low rivers,
shrinking wetlands and severe wildfires all have drastic effects on wildlife and ecosystems.

- The state must improve interagency coordination and management of water rights, water transfers and ecosystems to better prepare for the next drought.

Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta

- The state needs to make a strategic decision about the future of Delta exports: California WaterFix would improve water supply reliability and quality and provide flexibility in managing water for the environment. The plan is costly, but failing to address threats to the Delta may prove more expensive as water supply becomes less reliable. The approach requires well-designed policies on governance, finance, and mitigation for Delta landowners and residents.

Extreme flood risk

- Local governments and residents need incentives to limit flood risk.
- Local governments should consider future conditions when approving new development.
- Floodplain mapping should account for climate change in increasing flood risk.

Funding gaps

- The state faces critical funding gaps in five orphan areas: provision of safe drinking water in small, disadvantaged communities; flood protection; management of stormwater; aquatic ecosystem management; and integrated water management.
- California needs to move beyond bonds to fill funding gaps: while bonds have helped local water agencies fund some innovative projects, they do not address all critical funding gaps.
- Legal constraints are an obstacle to sustainable local funding gaps: Propositions 13, 218 and 26 severely limit the ability of local agencies to raise funds to fill capital gaps and address rising costs. California must better align its funding laws with modern water management.

Aquatic ecosystems management

- The state needs to stop the decline of native fishes.
- Ecosystem-based approaches can help: There is no integrated vision of how to foster overall ecological improvement. Coordinated, flexible approaches that seek to improve environmental performance of entire watersheds would be much more effective in protecting native species than the current siloed approach.

Ellen Hanak, of PPIC, has reported on these issues at Legislative hearings in the late fall, and, as reported in earlier reports, has suggested several measures, including a public goods charge to fund many of California’s water infrastructure needs.

State Water Resources Control Board Issues Human Right to Water Resolution

The State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) issued a Draft Resolution in late January adopting the human right to water as a core value and directing its implementation in Water Board programs and activities.
In 2012, the Legislature passed, and the Governor signed a bill establishing policy of the state that every human being has the right to safe, clean, affordable, and accessible water adequate for human consumption, cooking and sanitary purposes.

The SWRCB resolution directs and encourages the State Board Staff and Regional Boards to consider the human right to water when enacting policies and when feasible, offer assistance to disadvantaged communities. There are other provisions, including data collection and collaboration with other agencies and organizations, that are designed to direct State Board staff and encourage Regional Board staff to consider the human right to water then adopting policies and approving projects.

The Resolution is up for consideration in February.

**Runner/Huff Initiative**
The two initiatives reallocating bond authority to water storage projects submitted to the Secretary of State in the fall by State Board of Equalization Vice Chairman George Runner and Senator Bob Huff (R, Diamond Bar) have been cleared to start collecting signatures. The first measure would give the High-Speed Rail Authority another ten years to complete all the design, permitting, environmental review and other permits for the entire segment from Los Angeles to San Francisco with routes to San Diego and Sacramento. If the work is not completed the authority would be precluded from receiving any more bond funds.

The second measure would redirect $8 billion from the voter-approved high-speed rail funds, and $2.7 billion from the storage chapter of Proposition 1 and allocate it to the following water projects, in order:

$4.2 billion for Sites Reservoir and Temperance Flats
$900 million for expansion of San Luis Reservoir and Shasta Dam
$2 billion for groundwater storage
$2.2 billion for modernizing existing groundwater and surface storage
$1.7 billion for future local storage projects (only if there is leftover funding from the above funding priorities)

The proponents must collect 585,407 valid signatures by July 25.

**Net-Energy Metering**
The California Public Utilities Commission adopted the Net-Energy Metering (NEM) Successor Tariff on January 28. As discussed in previous reports, with the current version of the NEM program set to expire soon, the CPUC has been working on crafting the next version of NEM, referred to as NEM 2.0, with interested stakeholders. The Proposed Decision that was released in December does not make any major changes to the program for commercial customers. The most significant changes are on the residential side that would add new interconnection costs and non-bypassable charges to distributed solar systems, while also imposing new minimum bill requirements and time-of-use rates that could complicate the solar value proposition.
Bill Requiring Public Vote on Twin Tunnels Introduced
Assemblymember Susan Talamantes Eggman (D-Stockton) recently introduced legislation to block the Twin Tunnels project unless it is approved by the voters of California on a statewide ballot.

The bill will require approval via ballot initiative for any infrastructure project that conveys water directly from a diversion point in the Sacramento River to pumping facilities of the State Water Project or the federal Central Valley Project south of the Delta.

The legislation, AB 1713, cannot be acted on until the end of February and will likely have a difficult path, especially if it makes it to the Governor’s desk. There is a coalition forming to strongly oppose the bill.

Governor Brown Delivers State of the State Address
Governor Brown delivered his annual State of the State address in mid-January. The short address highlighted the successes of administration policies and focused on fiscal discipline and long-term obligations.

The governor cited passage of Proposition 1 in November 2014 as “one of the bright spots in our contentious politics,” and noted that the water bond — together with the governor’s California Water Action Plan — “establishes a solid program to deal with the drought and the longer-term challenge of using our water wisely.”

He said the goal must be to preserve natural systems and ensure a vibrant economy.

The Governor noted that the state has to recharge aquifers, manage the groundwater, recycle, capture stormwater, build storage and reliable conveyance, improve efficiency everywhere, and invest in new technologies, including desal, while recognizing the difficult task of achieving balance among conflicting interests.

DWR Ups State Water Project Allocation
With winter storms slowly boosting water supply, the Department of Water Resources (DWR) increased its water delivery estimate for most recipients from 10 percent of requests for the calendar year, as announced in December, to 15 percent.

The 29 public agencies that receive SWP water (State Water Project Contractors) requested 4,172,786 acre-feet of water for 2016. With the allocation increase, they will receive 631,115 acre-feet.

Collectively, the SWP Contractors serve approximately 25 million Californians and just under a million acres of irrigated farmland.

Key reservoirs are beginning to rise from early winter storms, but remain low. Not a single major reservoir feeding the SWP or CVP has reached the half full level yet.
Last year's 20 percent allocation was the second lowest since 1991, when agricultural customers of the SWP got a zero allocation and municipal customers received 30 percent of requests. In 2014, SWP deliveries were five percent of requested amounts for all customers.

The last 100 percent allocation, difficult to achieve even in wet years largely because of Delta pumping restrictions to protect threatened and endangered fish species, was in 2006.

SWP allocations in recent years:
2015 – 20 percent
2014 – 5 percent
2013 – 35 percent
2012 – 65 percent
2011 – 80 percent
2010 – 50 percent
2009 – 40 percent
2008 – 35 percent
2007 – 60 percent
2006 – 100 percent

El Niño conditions are giving many hope that the allocation will be raised as more rain and snow accumulate.

**Governor Brown Releases Fiscal Year 2016-2017 State Budget**

As constitutionally required, Governor Brown released the FY 2016-17 State Budget on January 10. The $170.7 billion plan increases total reserves to more than $10 billion and also allocates a sizable portion of discretionary resources to one-time infrastructure spending.

Included in the budget is the 2016-17 Cap and Trade Expenditure Plan. The $3.1 billion plan is a combination of funds that were not allocated last year and the funds for the coming year. $1.2 billion is allocated to continuous appropriations for high-speed rail, transit assistance, intercity rail and affordable housing. An additional $1 billion is allocated to help achieve a 50 percent reduction in petroleum use (a mandate he had to remove from SB 350 in order to secure passage in 2015). The $1 billion includes $500 million for low-carbon transportation and fuels and $25 million for biofuel facility investments allocated to the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and the California Energy Commission (CEC), respectively.

Other notable investment categories include $100 million to CalRecycle for organic waste diversion, and $30 million for water and energy efficiency.

The Legislature will now have their turn to discuss the Governor’s proposal before the May Revise is released, and has until mid-June to pass the budget by majority vote. There is already an effort to include funding for water and wastewater agencies in Cap and Trade Expenditure Plan.
Legislative Update
Members returned to Sacramento in early January to begin the second year of the two-year session. Members focused on the January 29 deadline for bills introduced in 2015 to pass out of their original house. There was a slow trickle of legislation introduced with only a few notable bills thus far. Members have until February 19 to introduce bills for the 2016 session.

Bills of note:
SB 814 (Hill, D- San Mateo) would require each urban retail water supplier to establish a local definition of excessive water use. Additionally, it would make a violation above the excessive water use definition an infraction and punishable by a fine of at least $500 per 100 cubic feet.

Many in the water community are very concerned because creating a definition of excessive water use is not easy and it is very difficult to create a definition that fits the varying characteristics of retail customers. Additionally, the use of the term “infraction” has many worried that this triggers a legal proceeding that water agencies are not equipped to handle.

AB 1463 (Gatto, D- Glendale) would require establishment of criteria, water quality standards and distribution, monitoring, and reporting requirements for onsite recycling systems prior to authorizing the use of onsite recycled water in internal plumbing for residential and commercial buildings. Many agencies are concerned that if too much water is treated and reused onsite, it will reduce flows and complicate regional recycled water distribution systems.

The next report will have a list of bills of interest introduced before the February deadline.
MEMORANDUM

To: Joe Grindstaff and Kathy Besser, IEUA

From: Letitia White, Jean Denton, and Drew Tatum

Date: January 29, 2016

Re: January Monthly Legislative Update

Republicans Send Election Year Messaging Legislation to the President

January was scheduled to be a short legislative month for Congress since both the Democrats and Republicans schedule their annual “issues conference” at the beginning of the year. House and Senate Republicans huddled in Baltimore on January 13-15 in an effort to lay out an election year legislative strategy. House Democrats held their own retreat in Baltimore on January 27-29, which featured speeches from both President Obama and Vice President Joe Biden. The legislative calendar was further compressed when a blizzard hit the DC area, dropping up to three feet of snow in the surrounding communities.

Already this year, Republicans have used legislation as a means of delivering on campaign promises through budget reconciliation. This process, created through the Congressional Budget Act of 1974, can only occur once during a fiscal year and speeds along the process for enacting tax, spending, and debt reduction legislation by limiting debate and only requiring a simple majority in the Senate for passage. Late last year, the Senate amended a House-passed reconciliation package that took aim at two domestic issues that have divided Republicans and Democrats—Planned Parenthood funding and the Affordable Care Act. The legislation would prohibit federal funding to Planned Parenthood for one year while Congress continues to investigate claims that it has improperly sold fetal tissue from abortions. It would also undo much of the Affordable Care Act, which is the president’s signature domestic accomplishment from his first term. While the House passed the amended legislation, President Obama quickly vetoed it. The House was originally scheduled to vote to override the veto before the end of January, but inclement weather caused them to move votes the final week of the month.

Another mechanism Republicans are using to advance their agenda is through resolutions of disapproval under the Congressional Review Act. The Act allows Congress to take aim at regulations proposed and published in the Federal Register by federal agencies, but it has only successfully been used once because a resolution of disapproval requires the signature of the president or a veto override from Congress if he or she isn’t supportive. While Republicans face an uphill battle, they plan to continue using the process to combat what they call executive overreach. Congress has already sent President Obama several CRA disapproval resolutions related to environmental regulations, and each has been vetoed by the president. For example, the Senate passed a resolution of disapproval of the “waters of the United States” regulation.
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finalized by the EPA and Army Corps of Engineers in late 2015. The House took up the measure in January, but it was vetoed by President Obama. The Senate attempted a veto override, but did not have the votes to overcome procedural hurdles. The veto override attempt has been suspended indefinitely. Speaker Paul Ryan (R-WI) has indicated that while neither process has been successful to this point, both serve as a blueprint as to how Republicans would advance legislation if they maintain control of the House and Senate and are successful in winning the White House in 2016.

Both parties are expected to use 2016 to advance legislation that benefits their individual platforms moving into the November election. In addition to the presidential election, all Members of the House and a third of Senators will face voters this fall. Due to the Republican and Democratic conventions that will take place the last two weeks of July, the legislative calendar will be relatively condensed for the remainder of the year. After passing a two-year budget deal, Republicans have indicated that they once again plan to introduce, markup, and debate the twelve individual appropriations bills before the end of the fiscal year. Since appropriations bills are typically debated under an open rule in the House, it gives Republicans and Democrats the best opportunity to force votes on controversial amendments that can be used on the campaign trail later in the year.

President Obama Announces New Gun Measures
In January President Obama and CNN held a town hall on guns in America after he announced that he would be directing federal agencies to implement new measures regarding gun control policies. He hopes that these actions will stop future gun related tragedies in the United States. The president reiterated his frustrations with Congress who he said has not done enough to combat gun violence during his seven years in office. The newly announced measures include:

- The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives (ATF) is expanding the background check and licensing requirement for any individuals in the business of selling firearms.
- ATF is finalizing a regulatory rule that requires background checks for people trying to buy some weapons and other items through a trust, corporation, or other legal entity.
- The FBI is overhauling the background check system, including hiring an additional 230 examiners and other staff to help process background checks.
- ATF has established an Internet Investigation Center to track illegal online firearms trafficking and is dedicating $4 million and additional personnel to enhance the National Integrated Ballistics Information Network.
- ATF is finalizing a rule to ensure that dealers who ship firearms notify law enforcement if their guns are lost or stolen in transit.
- The Social Security Administration has indicated that it will begin the rulemaking process to include information in the background check system about beneficiaries who are prohibited from possessing a firearm for mental health reasons.
- The Department of Health and Human Services is finalizing a rule to remove unnecessary legal barriers preventing States from reporting relevant information about people prohibited from possessing a gun for specific mental health reasons.
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- Additionally, the president will call on Congress to provide additional funding for AFT agents and investigators to help enforce gun laws and for investments in access to mental health care in his FY17 budget.

At the town hall, President Obama heard from supporters and opponents of his actions in a civilized exchange on an issue that often sharply divides. But while Obama respectfully conversed with those who questioned him in person, he did not spare his foes in the gun rights debate, accusing them of spouting "imaginary fiction" about his motives and evoking the partisanship that typically encompasses the issues. In the end, President Obama called on citizens to come together on a limited set of measures to reduce thousands of gun deaths and regular mass shootings, striking a theme of unity and national possibility.

The fight over gun control in America is expected to turn into a debate at the Capitol during this election year. Senate Democrats are already looking to reintroduce a measure that would repeal legislation granting gun manufacturers immunity from legal liability—a measure that Democratic presidential candidate Senator Bernie Sanders says he will cosponsor. Republicans in Congress and on the campaign trail have called the president’s latest action an overreach of his authority and have promised to challenge it. While the debate is unlikely to be resolved through the legislative process, House Republicans may authorize another lawsuit challenging the actions in court.

Outlook for February

The Appropriations Committees in the House and Senate will see a flurry of activity during the month of February after the President’s Budget is released on February 9. Committees will begin scheduling hearings to receive testimony from the agencies on their budget requests for fiscal year 2017. Early in the month, the House has rescheduled votes on legislation that were cancelled at the end of January due to the snow. Included are votes on Iran sanctions and a vote to override the president’s veto of the budget reconciliation legislation. The Senate will resume consideration of the wide-ranging energy policy bill.
January 29, 2016

The Honorable Sally Jewell
Secretary of the Interior
U.S. Department of the Interior
1849 C Street, NW, Room 6612
Washington, DC 20240

Re: Western drought funding in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016

Dear Secretary Jewell:

We are writing to express our strong support for the use of western drought money included in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (P.L. 114-113) on projects that will make water available now through the next three years to alleviate the impact of the extraordinary drought on local communities in California and other western states. The only water supplies that can be developed quickly are through projects that improve water efficiency in the urban and agricultural sectors and through water recycling and desalination.

In May, 2015 your office announced the availability of $50 million for California and 11 other western states from funding made available in the Consolidated and Further Continuing Appropriations Act, 2015 (P.L. 113-245) for drought relief. Of that funding, $14 million was allocated to support the Bureau of Reclamation’s Title XVI (recycled water and desalination) and WaterSMART (water efficiency) projects. Now that the amount of discretionary western drought money available to the Department has been doubled for this year, we would like to see a significant additional investment made in these two critical Bureau water supply programs.

Water recycling and water efficiency projects are a good investment for this federal money because they support the mitigation of both the short and long-term effects of the current and potential future droughts in the western United States. While Members of Congress continue to discuss ways that we can help the western United States through legislative action, we hope your office will use its discretionary spending authority provided through the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 to address the immediate drought conditions.

Both the Title XVI and WaterSMART programs represent a good partnership between the federal and local governments—as both require a cost-sharing contribution of non-federal money. Providing additional funding for these programs at the federal level has the potential to more than double the local investment in water conservation and recycling programs. Last year’s investment of an additional $14 million in these programs was matched by $14 to $28 million of non-federal money into projects that
mitigate both short and long term drought effects. In addition to bringing federal and local money together, these programs have a positive impact on the interest rate local agencies are able to secure if they are financing projects through the bond market. In return, those savings are passed along to ratepayers.

While there is no one project or program that will provide a solution to the drought, the Bureau’s Title XVI and WaterSMART programs have proven that there is capacity to conserve water as well as to create additional water supply in the middle of a drought. Furthermore, the recipients of federal funds through these grant programs, including agencies within our Congressional districts, have proven to be good stewards of federal funding and model project partners for the Bureau of Reclamation.

As both the House and Senate Appropriations Committees noted in their respective committee reports, it is incumbent on the Bureau of Reclamation to lead the way in increasing the water that is available from year to year and to incentivize more efficient use of the water that is available. Additionally, the only way to mitigate the effects of future droughts is through a strategy of providing a combination of additional storage, improved conveyance, and increased efficiencies in the uses of water both for agriculture and potable purposes. We believe both programs are vital to the western states as a way to achieve these goals.

We look forward to continuing to work with you as we seek to mitigate drought impacts on our state and throughout the western United States.

Sincerely,

Pete Aguilar
Member of Congress

Paul Cook
Member of Congress

Grace Napolitano
Member of Congress

Ed Royce
Member of Congress

Norma Torres
Member of Congress

Judy Chu
Member of Congress
Based on past history, January is typically a slow month – a time for a break, a time to regroup and a time to establish the agenda for the year – in this case, a presidential election year. Last calendar year – 2015 – was marked by extended gridlock, internal fighting and Speaker Boehner’s forced resignation as Speaker. Repeated threat(s) of another government shutdown never materialized. Notwithstanding the political stalemates – the legislative year ended with a productive burst unseen in years with the budget, appropriations and major infrastructure bills being enacted.

**Snapshot.**
This year, January was not slow.

- El Nino arrived in California, the West Coast and even into the Rocky Mountains.
- January was CA’s wettest month since the drought began (beneficial but not definitive).
- President Obama delivered his last State of the Union address.
- Stalled drought legislation brought more recriminations, public denunciations, finger-pointing and blame assessment.
NOAA reported that 2015 was the hottest year on record.

California remains in drought – almost half the state remains in extreme or exceptional drought (highest categories).

Senator Feinstein introduced her drought bill (and reports indicated that she’s now modifying her own bill).

**Ways and Means – Tax Reform Agenda**

Throughout January, reports emerged from House GOP leaders, Ways and Means Committee leaders, and also Freedom Caucus leaders – all saying the same thing: tax reform will be initiated in 2016.

No one realistically expects legislation to fully mature (out of committee, off the floor or even by both the House and Senate), but they expect the process to get underway in a meaningful way. How much can be accomplished this year? Not clear right now.

At the end of January the Ways and Means Committee Rs scheduled a retreat to map out their activities for the coming year, the results of which won’t be known until next month.

Overall and broadly, the House R leadership policy objective is to lower tax rates – for both corporate and personal. In other to achieve that policy goal, then provisions of the tax code must be eliminated or at least modified.

IEUA’s interest – municipal bonds are the primary funding for all local governments across America (and in the Inland Empire) and the deductibility of those bonds is at political risk in the pending tax debate. To lower rates to a targeted level (down to 25%, corporate and personal) sections of the tax code must be modified or even eliminated altogether. This specific provision – the deductibility of muni bonds – is one of the provisions being targeted.

IEUA, along with ACWA, NWRA along with almost every major form of infrastructure (water, airports, energy, transportation, hospitals, schools, libraries, recreation, etc.), have worked with the Municipal Bonds Coalition of America, headed by Columbia, SC Mayor, Steve Benjamin to protect this tax code provision and oppose efforts to eliminate or modify it. This is also a high priority for the US Conference of Mayors and the bond industry in the finance sector.

The coalition is upgrading itself from an informal working group to a formal legal entity and expects to be even more active in 2016 and beyond.
After Drought Bill Talks Collapse in 2015, Feinstein Introduces New Bill

- The legislative year came to an end in 2015. Drought legislation talks collapsed amid furious accusations by all parties and interests (reported in detail in last month’s report).

- Weary – and angry – legislators departed at the close of the First Session.

- Senator Feinstein circulated a new comprehensive drought/water development bill.

- A revised version of her bill is expected in early February and formal introduction shortly thereafter.

- No date for hearings yet.

- Of special important to IEUA, there is a provision that will allow recycling projects, not authorized by Congress to be considered. If enacted, this would allow the Cucamonga Valley WD project to be considered for funding, BuRec’s current opposition notwithstanding.

Appropriations – $100 Million for Drought Relief

- The massive appropriations bill signed into law in December provided for $100 million drought relief (the provision was requested by Senator Feinstein).

- WateReuse, ACWA and others have written the Commissioner asking that a portion of that money be allocated to water recycling projects.

- IEUA worked with its House delegation (Cook, Aguilar, Torres, Royce, Chu and Napolitano) to submit a letter to Secretary Jewell urging the same thing – that a portion of the $100 million be allocated to Title XVI/Water Smart programs.

El Nino

- Storms arrived. There is snow – very welcome – in the Sierra Nevada mountains.

- As of the end of January, the early winter precipitation is approaching “normal” (stronger in some areas, less so in others) for December-January, but the water year begins with a severe deficit.

- A little more than 40% of the entire state is still, according to the Drought Monitor, in severe or exceptional status.

- Larger question – modified from last month – will the El Nino provide a positive “wet” February and/or March. To be determined.

Unanticipated Drought-Related Federal Tax Issue

- As previously reported, the “unintended tax penalty” resulting from the Governor’s
Drought Orders (from MWD’s turf rebates) remains unresolved and unclarified.

MWD, absent clarity from Treasury and IRS, issued tax notices to individuals and companies informing them that they may have a tax obligation related to the grant.

This is not a California-only issue. Texas and other states are now concerned.

**Drought Status – CA and Rest of the West**

**Drought Conditions – California.** Even with El Nino storms, the Drought Monitor indicates that all 58 counties remained in various levels of drought.

**El Nino.** Drought Monitor also reports that westwide, that drought conditions are lessening in most western states.

**Lake Mead.** BuRec is projecting that Lake Mead remains at risk (even with storms in the Rocky Mountains) and 2017 remains problematic.

**Looking Into February 2016**

A presidential year will be underway with the Iowa Caucus followed immediately by the New Hampshire primary. After that, South Carolina.

The Presidential year will be in full swing. Look for a number of candidates to drop out of the race.

February 9, the President’s proposed budget for all Departments and Agencies will be submitted to Congress. Senate Majority Leader, Mitch McConnell (R-KY) and Speaker Paul Ryan (R-WI) have stated that passing all of the appropriations (regular order) in 2016 is a high priority.

Revisions to Feinstein’s drought bill are expected in February.

ACWA DC Conference – third week of February.

**Note:** This is a somewhat abbreviated report as I was in Southeast Asia for most of the month. Among other things, I had the opportunity to see and learn about water systems in Vietnam and Cambodia. Very education and informative.
Date: January 26, 2016
To: Inland Empire Utilities Agency
From: John Withers, Jim Brulte
Re: January Activity Report

Listed below is the California Strategies, LLC monthly activity report. Please feel free to call us if you have any questions or would like to receive any more information on any of the items mentioned below.

- Met with IEUA Executive staff to review priority issues and to discuss activities for January that Executive Staff wanted accomplished.
- Discussed ways to highlight the customer return on investment for the building of recharge basins in our service territory.
- Discussed LAFCO and made recommendations to staff about upcoming issues. Support and advise on IEUA/SBVMWD transfer transaction on an as needed basis.
- Provided an update on the recent filing of a Sphere of Influence amendment filing by the CVWRD.
- Continue to monitor statewide water issues including the BDCP, water bond, and drought relief act activate. Made recommendation regarding the request for money from various state special funds.
- Monitor Santa Ana Regional Board agenda and issues of interest to IEUA.
INFORMATION
ITEM 2D
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bill Number</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Title and/or Summary</th>
<th>Summary/Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H.R.2029</td>
<td>House and Senate Appropriations Committees</td>
<td>Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016</td>
<td>The Consolidated Appropriations Act contains just north of $23 million for Title XVI in FY2016. Additionally, the package contains $100 million in Western Drought Money. The Consolidated Appropriations Act funds all federal agencies through the end of the Fiscal Year, which runs through September 30, 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.R.____ / S.____</td>
<td>Rep. Mike Simpson / Sen. Lamar Alexander</td>
<td>FY 17 Energy and Water Development and Related Agencies</td>
<td>The President’s budget request to Congress is set to be released on February 9, 2016. We will have a better idea of funding levels and our requests to Congress for the year once the budget has been released. We anticipate that the Appropriations Subcommittees will start holding hearings with Cabinet Secretaries as early as late February to testify regarding their requests for the year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.1894 / possible amendment in the form of a “discussion draft”</td>
<td>Sen. Dianne Feinstein</td>
<td>Feinstein introduced legislation in the final days of the month to combat drought in California. Specifically for IEUA, it contains a title on recycled water. It also removed the requirement for Congress to authorize Title XVI projects.</td>
<td>First Legislative Committee Hearing was held in early October. Staff from the Senate Energy and Natural Resources Committee and the House Natural Resources Committee are attempting to preconference a bill with compromise language between the Senate and House drought bills, but proposals are not being traded back and forth. Additionally, a compromise bill is expected to be West-wide, not just include California. Feinstein introduced an updated bill in the form of a discussion draft in January after House Republicans attempted to add their own version of a compromise bill at the end of the year. Feinstein has also indicated she would like the bill to proceed through regular order rather than introducing a bill that has been pre-conferenced will little opportunity for amendment. Feinstein introduced an updated bill in the form of a discussion draft in January after House Republicans attempted to add their own version of a compromise bill at the end of the year. Feinstein has also indicated she would like the bill to proceed through regular order rather than introducing a bill that has been pre-conferenced will little opportunity for amendment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill</td>
<td>Senator</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.J.Res.22</td>
<td>Sen. Joni Ernst</td>
<td>Congressional Resolution of Disapproval of the “waters of the United States” regulation introduced by the EPA and Army Corps of Engineers</td>
<td>The Senate has passed the resolution of disapproval of the “waters of the United States” regulation finalized by the EPA and Army Corps of Engineers. The House took up the measure in January, but it was vetoed by President Obama. The Senate attempted a veto override, but did not have the votes to overcome procedural hurdles. The veto override attempt has been suspended indefinitely. The regulation is currently on hold nationwide as the courts hear arguments from states challenging the rule.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.2012</td>
<td>Sen. Lisa Murkowski</td>
<td>Energy Policy Modernization Act of 2015</td>
<td>The Senate is considering its first broad energy reform policy bill in eight years. The bill includes a number of policy priorities from both Republicans and Democrats and came as a result of months of negotiations, meetings outreach and other activities aimed at a truly bipartisan bill. The bill instead on fossil fuels and infrastructure: natural gas pipeline permitting, authorizing the main federal conservation fund, job training, updating the grid, as well as a push on energy efficiency.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary: Mid-Year Building Activity

- Member Agency Forecast: 5,849 EDUs
- IEUA Budgeted Forecast: 4,330 EDUs

- Building Activity (to date): 2,571 EDUs
  - 44% of Member Agency Forecasts
  - 59% of IEUA Budgeted Forecast
## Mid-Year 15/16 Building Activity
(July – December 2015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contracting Agency</th>
<th>Residential (EDUs)</th>
<th>Commercial/Industrial (EDUs)</th>
<th>Total (EDUs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chino</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chino Hills</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVWD</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>804</td>
<td>1,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fontana</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montclair</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upland</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mid Year Actual Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,451</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,118</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,571</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected Totals</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,599</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,250</strong></td>
<td><strong>5,849</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Partial EDUs rounded to the nearest whole number
- Pending Chino Hills December 2015 Data
Mid-Year 15/16 Building Activity
EDUs Resulted in $1.3M in CCRA Funding

- CVWD 1,150 EDUs (45%)
- Chino 389 EDUs (15%)
- Chino Hills 100 EDUs (4%)
- Ontario 289 EDUs (11%)
- Upland 165 EDUs (6%)
- Montclair 43 EDUs (2%)
- Fontana 435 EDUs (17%)

Partial EDUs rounded to the nearest whole number
Pending Chino Hills December 2015 Data

February 2016
Questions
Water Conservation Program Update
Drought Activities Recap
November 2015

* Additional IEUA Supplemental Funding for Commercial Rebates
* Additional IEUA Supplemental Funding for Residential Rebates
* Total Home Pressure Regulation Program (New)
* Residential Smart Controller Installation Program (New)
  - Mandatory Class Attendance
  - Landscape Evaluation
  - Retrofit
194 active applications submitted
Turf to be removed: 5,162,396 sq. ft.
130 sites completed & paid ($8.8 M) by MWD
Includes IEUA portion paid to date: $1.9 M
## Water Conservation Budget Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>FY 14-15*</th>
<th>FY 15-16*</th>
<th>FY 16-17*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Education, Member Agency Support &amp; Outreach</td>
<td>$217,500</td>
<td>$221,875</td>
<td>$320,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Residential Water Use Efficiency Programs</td>
<td>$975,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$1,100,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Commercial Water Use Efficiency Programs</td>
<td>$168,750</td>
<td>$283,750</td>
<td>$290,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Turf Removal Programs</td>
<td>$3,189,970</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology Based Software</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Based Rate Implementation</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$350,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture Conservation</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$75,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Water Conservation Budget</strong></td>
<td>$4,551,220</td>
<td>$1,555,625</td>
<td>$2,285,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total External Funding: DWR, MWD, SAWPA, Other</strong></td>
<td>-$1,561,750</td>
<td>-$760,000</td>
<td>-$760,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total IEUA Direct Expense - Budget</strong></td>
<td>$2,989,470</td>
<td>$795,625</td>
<td>$1,525,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supplemental Regional Conservation Programs Benefit from MWD</strong></td>
<td>$2,619,598</td>
<td>$8,000,000</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall Conservation Program Benefits for the Region</strong></td>
<td>$7,170,818</td>
<td>$9,555,625</td>
<td>$3,785,375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Note: MWD Regional Conservation Programs Benefit – FY 14/15 is actual, FY 15/16 & FY 16/17 are estimates
- MWD External Funding is reimbursed to IEUA for Locally Implemented Programs
- MWD Regional Programs Benefit originates from MWD Administered Programs

- Annual Projected Water Savings from New Activity: 1,000 acre-feet
- Annual Projected Water Savings from 2 agencies implementing budget based rates: 1,000 acre-feet
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drought Programs/Projects</th>
<th>FY 14-15</th>
<th>FY 15-16</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drought Campaign and Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$120,753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential Rebates - Devices Only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Rebates - Devices Only</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Use Efficiency Software</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$111,492</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Collaborative - Analytics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ending Balance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$942,755</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Residential Controller Upgrade Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$300,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ending Balance</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$642,755</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next Steps

* March Board Meeting
  - Action Item – New Residential Controller Upgrade Program

* April/May
  - Info Item - Water Use Efficiency Business Plan Update
Consistent with Agency’s Business Goal of increasing Water Reliability by promoting water use efficiency and education to enhance water supplies within the region.
INFORMATION
ITEM
2G
Conservation & Data Initiatives

Agriculture Irrigation Efficiency,

Land Cover Data,

&

State Data Collaborative Initiative
Agriculture Irrigation Efficiency

Seek location for California Irrigation Management Information System (CIMIS)

- CIMIS station measures the ET (Evapotranspiration rate) of plants
- Improves irrigation scheduling
- Calculation of "reasonable use" for Ag and Urban users

Seek Grants for Ag Water Use Efficiency

- Work with Farmers
- Different farms require different efficiency approaches
- Meet "reasonable use" standards
Agriculture Irrigation Efficiency

Example Demonstration Site: CIM/Cal Poly Farm

* Large, long-term farm operation
* Potential water savings
* Potential energy savings
* Potential opportunity for Grant applications
* Demonstration: ET station, drip irrigation, soil moisture sensors
Land Cover Data as a Foundation for Water Efficiency Knowledge

- Parcel data leads to water budget calculation (55 GPCD indoor / 80% of ET outdoor)
- Wholesaler/Retailer Dashboards
  - Omni Earth, June, 2015, $79K for land cover data and portals for member agencies
  - SAWPA Prop 84 Grant will reimburse IEUA for our early adoption of the data development
- Potential to expand knowledge
  - Target conservation dollars, planning with actual land use & reasonable use data
  - Assist member agencies with current and future SWRCB requirements for water use standards
Data Collaborative Initiative

- Goal: Adapt programs more effectively with rapid data analytics
- Consortium of agencies, academia and private sector
  - Agencies cost-sharing Phase 1 Pilot @ $25k each
- Requires at least 1 IEUA Member Agency customer use data for study
- Advise on potential policies with high-level data analytics
Example Collaborative Analytics:
Study: *Welfare Effects of Allocation-based Rates versus Alternative Policies*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EMWD’s allocation-based rates</th>
<th>Alternative 1: uniform price increase</th>
<th>Alternative 2: mandatory cut-backs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average effect ($/year)</td>
<td>-24</td>
<td>+$89</td>
<td>+$87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% households made better-off</td>
<td>62%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: UCR; Baerentau 2015*
Chino Basin Boundary Update for the 2014 Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA)

Inland Empire Utilities Agency
A Municipal Water District

Andy Campbell
Deputy Manager of Planning
February 2016
Chino Basin Boundary Update for the 2014 Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA)
SGMA contains laws to protect groundwater basins providing water for CA in dry years.

Groundwater resources are to be managed sustainably for long-term reliability.

SGM is best achieved locally through the development, implementation, and updating of plans and programs.
Basin Boundary Emergency Regulations

* Discrepancy between the adjudicated and DWR Bulletin 118 basin boundaries creates uncertainty as to administration of SGMA.

* Regulations provide a mechanism through which internal basin boundaries may be revised to comport with jurisdictional boundaries.
Watermaster Request

* To comply with SGMA, update DWR’s Bulletin 18 Chino Basin boundaries to match adjudicated boundaries

* Watermaster cannot request a change as Watermaster is not a local agency.

* IEUA, WMWD, TVMWD to make joint request to DWR as the local agencies

* Watermaster staff to provide all technical and supporting documentation
Watermaster Request to IEUA, TVMWD, and WMWD

* Submit Initial Notification within 15 days of exploring a modification
  * Notification signals a modification request may occur.
  * It serves to notify other local agencies, the public, and DWR.
* Determine appropriate required documents and actions to make a request submission
  * Submit Basin Boundary Modification Request
Schedule

* January 1, 2016 – March 31, 2016
  * Basin boundary modification requests accepted by DWR

* February 22, 2016
  * Informational Item to IEUA Board

* March 2016
  * File Initial Notification within 15 days of informational item
  * IEUA Board action to approve Boundary Change Request
Date: February 17, 2016

To: The Honorable Board of Directors

Through: Public, Legislative Affairs, and Water Resources Committee (02/10/16)

From: P. Joseph Grindstaff
General Manager

Submitted by: Chris Berch
Executive Manager of Engineering/Assistant General Manager
Sylvie Lee
Manager of Planning and Environmental Resources

Subject: Energy Management Plan (EMP)

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Board of Directors concur with the findings of the EMP.

BACKGROUND

The primary objectives of the EMP is to benchmark the Agency’s current energy performance and greenhouse gas emissions baseline; forecast future demands; and explore measures that will cultivate a reliable and sustainable energy infrastructure to achieve the objectives set forth in IEUA’s Business Goals. This plan also aims to identify projects and business practices that can improve the Agency’s Integrated Demand Side Management (IDSM) and work in concert with energy utilities whenever possible to benefit grid management.

The major initiatives discussed in the EMP are:
- Peak power independence
- Grid interdependence
- Organics diversion, and
- Carbon neutrality

As described in past planning documents, peak power independence has been a central goal for the Agency due to cost of this power. IEUA has progressed toward this goal by developing a diverse energy portfolio that includes energy efficiency, as well as solar, wind, and fuel cell generation. As more renewable energy comes into the state’s power grid; however, the State must cope with changing grid conditions. This means that assumptions about peak power
demand periods will shift, as will the times when surplus power needs to be taken off the grid. IEUA’s demand response and battery storage projects are at the cutting edge of addressing this emerging grid management issue. Additionally, environmental regulatory issues related to energy generation will require detailed studies to identify new technologies to address these issues.

In concert with peak power independence and grid interdependence, the EMP also introduces a new initiative to assist the member agencies in complying with the State’s anticipated increase in organics diversion requirements, by diverting food waste to the Agency’s anaerobic digesters and composting facility. The State has a target to reduce landfilling of solid waste by 75% by 2020, and this is expected, under the 2016 Short Lived Climate Pollutants Plan, to increase to 90% by 2025 in order to further reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Staff is currently conducting a feasibility study to estimate the amount of food waste available in the service area; the biogas generation potential; and the possible alternatives to beneficially use the digester gas generated in an cost effective manner.

A direct consequence of the implementation of the first two initiatives is the proposed carbon neutrality goal, which requires the Agency to acquire 100 percent of its electricity needs from carbon neutral sources by 2030. This will be accomplished through increased energy efficiency and renewable energy generation, and optimized interdependence with the State’s power grid. In addition to greenhouse gas emissions voluntary reporting initiated in 2013 (with an audited carbon emissions baseline completed in 2016), staff will establish a carbon impact evaluation criteria to ensure future energy projects selected for implementation contribute to the Agency’s achievement of the 100% carbon neutral goal.

The EMP relied on forecasting to evaluate the feasibility of site-specific energy projects. Forecasts were developed by incorporating projects from the Ten-Year Capital Improvement Plan (TYCIP) and findings from the Wastewater Facilities Master Plans, as well as, the anticipated performance of applicable self-generation installations. Wastewater flow projections were utilized to forecast anticipated seasonal demands at each IEUA facility. The EMP identified several potential energy projects, such as lighting upgrades and expansion of the solar installation at the IERCF, that are recommended to undergo more detailed analyses to determine whether they will be incorporated into the 2016/17 TYCIP.

Focused business practices, such as energy procurement strategies and improved energy monitoring were discussed within the plan, as cost saving measures can extend beyond conservation projects. Through prudent planning that considers past performance and anticipates regional needs, this EMP constructs a blueprint to shape a reliable and efficient energy profile for the Agency and an open communication with energy utilities to enhance the water-energy relationship.

The EMP will be reevaluated every two years. A Programmatic Environmental Impact Report (PEIR) is being prepared and will incorporate the findings of the EMP, along with the remainder of the Agency’s planning documents, such as the Wastewater Facilities Master Plan and the Integrated Resources Plan. Following the Board approval of the PEIR, anticipated in summer 2016, each of these planning documents will be brought to the Board for final approval.
Development of the EMP is consistent with the IEUA business goal of *Wastewater Management*, namely optimizing facility energy use, achieving state environmental sustainability and renewable energy goals, effectively managing renewable resources to achieve peak power independence, and providing for future rate stabilization.

**PRIOR BOARD ACTION**

None.

**IMPACT ON BUDGET**

The EMP was entirely developed in-house. Projects recommended by the EMP will be included in the TYCIP to ensure they are properly funded.

Attachments:
- Attachment 1: PowerPoint Presentation
- Attachment 2: 2015 Energy Management Plan
- Attachment 3: Fact Sheets
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EMP Initiatives

- Peak power independence
- Organics diversion
- Grid interdependence
- Carbon neutrality
Peak Power Benchmarking

Average Agency Peak Demand

Power Demand (kW)

- Jul
- Aug
- Sep
- Oct
- Nov
- Dec
- Jan
- Feb
- Mar
- Apr
- May
- Jun
Forecasting Demands includes TYCIP, WFMPs, and Short-Term Projects.
Forecasting Demands

Includes TYCIP, WFMPs, Short-Term and Long-Term Projects

SUMMER PEAK DEMAND

POWER DEMAND (KW)

FISCAL YEAR

- Power Demand
- Power Reductions
- Solar
- Wind
- Fuel Cell
- ICE
- Microturbine

Inland Empire Utilities Agency
A Municipal Water District

February 2016
Organics Diversion

- Assist Member Agencies to comply with the State’s organics diversion requirements
- Divert organics from landfills to IEUA’s solids facilities
- Reduce critical short-lived climate pollutants
Carbon Neutral by 2030

- Current planning efforts expected to achieve 84% neutrality
  - Combination of efficiency measures and new renewable
- Future EMPs to identify opportunities to bridge gap

2013 (36% Neutral)

- Imported (Renewable) 16%
- Solar 8%
- Wind 1%
- Biogas (Fuel Cell, IC Engine) 11%
- Non-Carbon Neutral Sources 64%

2030 Projected (100% Neutral)

- Imported (Renewable) 21%
- Solar 15%
- Wind 1%
- Biogas (Fuel Cell, Microturbine, IC Engine) 47%
- Organics Diversion 16%
Recent Efforts

- Energy Audits Completed and Efficiency Measures Identified
  - Lighting retrofit to begin in first quarter 2016
  - Pump optimization to begin in second quarter 2016
- Energy Storage Agreement in development
  - RP-5 installation to be completed by first quarter 2016
- Organics Diversion Feasibility Study
  - Report to be completed by second quarter 2016
Next Steps

- Implement Goals and Strategies Identified
- Complete Programmatic EIR – Summer 2016
- Update the EMP every two years – 2017

This project meets the Agency’s Business Goal of Energy Management and Wastewater Management by optimizing facility energy use and effectively managing renewable resources.
2015 Energy Management Plan
Executive Summary

The Southern California water industry is currently operating within a burdensome climate, as adverse environmental conditions are driving policy change during a time of continued population growth and socioeconomic decline. Meeting both water and energy demands in this region in a reliable and environmentally responsible manner have converged to form a substantive challenge for water agencies. The Inland Empire Utilities Agency has addressed this challenge through the development of an Energy Management Plan (EMP) that will focus on resource optimization and sustainable operations.

This EMP analyzes historical energy usage, defines a current energy and Greenhouse Gas emissions baseline, forecasts future demands, examines procurement strategies, and proactively explores measures that can ease the Agency’s load on the utility while cultivating a reliable and sustainable energy infrastructure across its facilities. This plan also aims to identify projects and business practices that can improve the Agency’s Integrated Demand Side Management (IDSM) and work in concert with energy utilities whenever possible to benefit grid management.

As detailed in past planning documents, grid independence during peak periods has been a central goal within the Agency. Though IEUA has taken advantage of its renewable resources by developing a diverse energy portfolio, further planning is needed to address changing environmental regulations that may dictate available technologies. The EMP introduces a new initiative to assist the member agencies in complying with the organic diversion goals, by diverting food waste to the agency’s anaerobic digesters and composting facility. The EMP also establishes a new Business Goal that will require 100 percent of IEUA’s electricity needs to be procured from carbon neutral sources by 2030 through strategic planning and renewable resource optimization.

Wastewater flow projections are utilized to forecast anticipated seasonal demands at each IEUA facility. The EMP relies on forecasting to evaluate the feasibility of site-specific energy projects, which resulted in a total of 11 projects that are estimated to require approximately $38 million in capital expenditures. These projects will undergo more detailed analyses to determine whether they will be implemented into IEUA’s Ten Year Capital Improvement Plan (TYCIP).
The EMP outlines economic, operational, environmental, and regulatory factors that influence new project implementation at the Agency's wastewater treatment plants, as well as current aspects that tend to impede new project development. Costly and time-intensive grid interconnections, generating capacity limitations on Net Energy Metering (NEM) eligible renewable installations, and limited economic incentives are all identified as elements that can negatively impact new energy management projects. This EMP also offers recommendations that would address each obstacle for regulatory consideration.

Focused business practices, such as energy procurement strategies and improved energy monitoring are discussed within the plan, as cost saving measures can extend beyond conservation projects. Through prudent planning that considers past performance and anticipates regional needs, this EMP attempts to construct a blueprint to shape a reliable and efficient energy profile for the Agency and open communication with energy utilities to enhance the water-energy relationship.
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Introduction

WATER-ENERGY NEXUS

Tightening environmental regulations and increasing electrical demand has brought significant challenges to Southern California electrical utilities. Meeting the electrical demands of millions of consumers becomes more difficult during periods of peak activity (generally between 12:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m., and highest in summer months), as reflected in increased utility rates during these times. The water industry is not only one of the electrical utilities' largest consumers, but is also subject to the same temporal variability in demand.

The water sector is subject to many energy-intensive processes, including water extraction, conveyance, treatment, distribution, and wastewater treatment. Since population growth drives demand for water and energy usage, both are expected to continue increasing in parallel. The wastewater treatment industry is in a unique position to positively impact both water and energy sectors through improved efficiency and using renewable resources from the process.

IEUA has taken advantage of its position by focusing efforts to reduce energy consumption and ease demand on the local electric utility. In 2012, IEUA developed an energy management plan with the goal of going “gridless” by 2020, with the intent of generating enough electricity on site that Agency facilities would be independent from the already taxed Southern California power grid system. IEUA has explored various power generating technologies in pursuit of this goal since its inception. Although IEUA prioritizes the utilization of renewable digester gas produced on site, a spectrum of renewable energy systems have been pursued to develop a robust portfolio across all facilities. While securing renewable technologies along the way, IEUA has also learned lessons that altered the roadmap to meet the 2012 goal.

In order to achieve grid independence with renewable technologies, IEUA must build an energy infrastructure that is capable of handling the full demand of each facility at any given time. Realistically, this would result in the daily export of energy back to the grid when generation exceeded demand. Furthermore, Southern California Edison (SCE) policies dictate that renewable installations are subject to standby and/or departing load charges that rise as the nameplate rating increases, hindering the
cost effectiveness of renewable technologies as the generating capacity grows. Since one of the pillars of the “Gridless by 2020” initiative was to hedge against market volatility, IEUA adjusted its focus on achieving relative independence from the grid during peak periods, when electricity costs are highest. This effort aligns with IEUA’s Business Goals (included in Appendix A), adopted by the Board of Directors in 2013 as part of the Agency’s Strategic Plan.

REGIONAL PROGRAMS & FACILITIES OVERVIEW

IEUA is a regional wastewater treatment agency and wholesale distributor of imported water. Today the Agency is responsible for serving approximately 830,000 people over 242 square miles in western San Bernardino County. The Agency is focused on providing three key services: (1) treating wastewater, developing recycled water, local water resources, and conservation programs to reduce the region’s dependence on imported water supplies and drought-proof the service area; (2) converting biosolids and waste products into a high-quality compost made from recycled materials; and (3) generating electrical energy from renewable sources.

Industrial and municipal wastewater collections are provided through regional wastewater interceptors and two non-reclaimable wastewater pipeline systems. Recycled water is produced at four regional water recycling plants (RWRPs). In addition, the Agency has three facilities where the biosolids produced at the water recycling plants are handled: RP-1 Solids Handling Facility, RP-2 Solids Handling Facility, and the Inland Empire Regional Composting Facility. The Agency also has a solids handling facility at RP-5 which is leased to a private enterprise that intends to produce biogas and energy from food waste.

Although the Agency is a wholesale water provider, the Agency has very little infrastructure or assets related to potable water treatment, conveyance, or use. Water resources-related assets are primarily connected to the recycled water program. In addition to recycled water and wastewater services, the Agency operates a network of groundwater recharge facilities in partnership with Chino Basin Watermaster (CBWM), San Bernardino County Flood Control District (SBCFCD), Chino Basin Water Conservation District (CBWCD). The Agency also operates the Chino Desalter I facility in coordination with the Chino Desalter Authority. The Agency also manages an extensive regional water use efficiency program, and collaborates with Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority (SAWPA), Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (MWD), and the Regional Water

\[1\] Source: California Department of Finance, April 2013 census projection.
Quality Control Board (RWQCB) to develop regional planning documents.

**Regional Wastewater Facilities**

The Agency has four RWRPs which produce recycled water that meets Title 22 standards for indirect reuse and groundwater recharge. All of the RWRPs have primary, secondary, and tertiary treatment and recycled water pumping facilities and are interconnected in a regional network. Agency staff routinely uses the Agency's bypass and diversion facilities, such as the San Bernardino Lift Station, Montclair Diversion Structure, Etiwanda Trunk Line, and Carbon Canyon bypass, to optimize the Agency's flows and capacity utilization. In general, flows are routed between regional plants in order to maximize recycled water deliveries while minimizing overall pumping and treatment costs. Figure 1 illustrates the service area boundaries for the Agency's four RWRPs.

**FIGURE 1. REGIONAL PLANT SERVICE AREA BOUNDARIES**
Regional facilities are: Regional Water Recycling Plant No. 1 (RP-1), Regional Water Recycling Plant No. 4 (RP-4), Regional Water Recycling Plant No. 5 (RP-5), and Carbon Canyon Wastewater Recycling Facility (CCWRF). The biosolids produced at RP-4 and RP-1 are thickened, digested, and dewatered at solids handling facilities located at RP-1. Similarly, the CCWRF and RP-5 biosolids are treated at Regional Water Recycling Plant No. 2 (RP-2). The stabilized and dewatered solids are then transported to the Inland Empire Regional Composting Facility (IERCF) for processing into soil amendment.

The Agency has a network of regional interceptor sewers that can be used to bypass flow from one water recycling plant to another to balance and optimize the use of treatment capacity. Currently, the regional interceptors can bypass flow from RP-4 to RP-1 and from CCWRF to RP-5. In addition, primary effluent can be bypassed from the RP-1 equalization basins to RP-5.

The Agency also has four wastewater lift stations, which are used to shift flows that would naturally flow from one portion of the service area to a different treatment plant. The lift stations are instrumental in balancing flows and keeping water in the northern portion of the service area to maximize potential recycled water use.

**Recycled Water Distribution System**

The Agency has been serving recycled water to its member agencies since formation of the Regional Sewerage Service Contract in 1973. Initially, recycled water was delivered to Whispering Lakes Golf Course and Westwind Park in the city of Ontario, as well as to Prado Regional Park and El Prado Golf Course in San Bernardino County. In the early 1990’s, the Agency planned and built the first phase of the Carbon Canyon Recycled Water Project, which now serves several customers in Chino and Chino Hills. The connected demand for the recycled water has more than tripled since FY 2006/07 from 13,000 AFY to over 43,800 AFY. Recycled water and groundwater recharge sales have nearly tripled as well.

**Groundwater Recharge Basins**

The Agency, in conjunction with the CBWM is implementing the groundwater recharge program to increase artificial groundwater recharge within Chino Basin using storm water, recycled water, and imported water. By enhancing the recharge capacity in the Chino Basin, greater quantities of high quality water can be captured
and stored during wet years. Subsequently, the stored water can be drawn from the Basin during droughts and shortages of imported water. Annual recharge varies due to weather patterns, and the availability of imported water and recycled water supplies.

**Inland Empire Regional Composting Facility**

The IERCF, constructed in Rancho Cucamonga in 2007 under a Joint Powers Authority agreement between the Agency and the CSDLAC, is completely enclosed to control odors and meet stringent air quality regulations. It is the nation’s largest indoor biosolids composting facility. The IERCF uses the Aerated Static Pile dewatered and stabilized biosolids from the Agency and CSDLAC’s wastewater treatment processes, as well as wood waste from local communities. It uses the Aerated Static Pile composting process to recycle approximately 150,000 wet tons per year of dewatered and stabilized biosolids from the Agency and CSDLAC’s wastewater treatment processes, as well as wood waste from local communities. It

The facility is currently operating at its design capacity, receiving nearly 600 tons per day of combined biosolids and recycled waste amendments and producing over 230,000 cubic yards of high quality compost each year for local landscaping and horticultural use. For energy management purposes, RP-4 and IERCF are considered to be a single entity, as they share the same electrical meter.

**Work Completed since 2008**

**Renewables**

IEUA began the renewable energy procurement process by issuing Requests for Proposals (RFPs) for solar, wind, fuel cell, and in-conduit hydroelectric projects in 2008. The RFPs offered vendors the ability to propose outright sale of equipment or Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs) that would eliminate up front capital costs, aside from labor, for the Agency. Multiple proposals were received for solar, wind, and fuel cell projects, while no in-conduit hydroelectric proposals were received. IEUA performed Business Case Evaluations (BCEs) for the proposals received to determine the most economical projects for each facility. In addition to cost and operational reliability, site variations such as digester gas production, land use, and electrical load were important factors in determining the site-specific feasibility of each project.

The first product of the RFP process was a PPA, signed in June 2008, for 3.5 MW of solar energy across four Agency facilities. The solar installations were completed in
December of the same year. Through the agreement, IEUA purchases the energy produced by the solar panels at a competitive rate with fixed escalation over a 20-year period.

This rate structure, typical for all PPAs that the Agency has since entered into, allows the Agency to avoid capital outlay while still receiving the benefit of on-site renewable energy. In each PPA project, the private entity has financed, designed, constructed, operated, and maintained the generation equipment. In return, the private entity receives any incentives available through government funding programs and sells the energy generated to IEUA at a fixed rate.

In March 2010, IEUA entered into a second public-private partnership to install a 1 MW wind turbine at Regional Plant No. 4. The PPA is structured similarly to the solar agreement, with the Agency purchasing 100 percent of the energy produced by the equipment at a fixed escalating rate over 20 years. The turbine installation was completed in December 2011.

In September 2010, IEUA entered into a third public-private partnership with an environmental engineering consulting firm to develop IEUA’s RP-5 Solids Handling Facility (RP-5 SHF) as a food waste digestion site. The facility, initially designed as a
manure digestion site, has been diverting food waste regionally since 2012 with the goal of producing enough digester gas to fuel two 1.5 MW cogeneration engines that will provide power for the facility. The project is still under development, with engine commissioning expected in June 2015.

The Agency has historically employed cogeneration engines to combust the digester gas and produce heat and power to be used on-site. However, the 2008 amendment to South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Rule 1110.2 required cogeneration engines to be retrofitted with costly pollution control technologies in order to achieve stringent emissions limits. The Agency issued another RFP to evaluate potential alternatives that could utilize the digester gas in a more cost-effective manner.

In October 2010, IEUA entered into a PPA with a third party to install, maintain, and operate a 2.8 MW molten carbonate fuel cell operating on digester gas at Regional Plant No. 1. The fuel cell is also equipped with a 4.1 MMBtu/hr heat recovery unit to increase overall plant efficiency. As with other PPAs, the Agency agreed to purchase all renewable electricity generated by the fuel cell at a fixed escalation rate over 20 years. The agreement not only provided the Agency with the ability to procure clean renewable energy with no capital costs, but it also mitigated risk associated with the fuel cell technology by combining the digester gas cleaning system and fuel cell power plant under a single entity. In researching the feasibility of a fuel cell system, IEUA staff discovered that previous installations suffered from ineffective gas conditioning that resulted in prolonged shutdowns and reduced equipment lifecycles. IEUA’s fuel cell agreement is structured to ensure that downtime is minimized and equipment maintenance is optimized.

Conservation

In addition to the renewable installations, IEUA worked with third parties to perform energy audits at Agency facilities. Select recommendations from these audits were implemented to reduce energy consumption. Lighting retrofits and controls were installed across several facilities, along with variable frequency drives (VFDs) on many pumps and motors. Damper installation on high volume air blowers also resulted in significant electricity savings at the Agency’s composting facility. Furthermore, a project is currently underway to improve the aeration basin air handling system at Regional Plant No. 1 to minimize air leaks. This project is expected to reduce electricity consumption at the plant by approximately 1,500 MWh annually.
Demand Response

IEUA has also been involved in Demand Response (DR) programs to reduce Agency costs and to ease pressure on the electrical grid during times of high usage. The Agency's first involvement in DR was in a Time-of-Use Base Interruptible Program (TOU-BIP) from 2008 to 2010. However, because of the financial risk associated with the BIP, the Agency terminated the TOU-BIP contract, and since July 2011, has participated in a Demand Response (DR) program through EnerNOC (a SCE authorized third-party DR provider), a private entity providing energy intelligence software that displays real-time electricity usage. In addition to facilitating DR events, EnerNOC software is used to track consumption from facility processes over time.

The Agency has agreed to provide EnerNOC a total cumulative curtailment of 1,230 kW for all facilities enrolled in the program (RP-1, RP-2, RP-4/IERCF, RP-5 and CCWRF) at a value of approximately $74,000 per year. Reduced energy import from the grid during demand response events is primarily achieved by shutting down some of the recycled water pump stations and through reduced ventilation at the IERCF. These temporary energy conservation techniques do not have any negative impact to the recycled water customers (operations staff was able to increase the reservoir level prior to the event) or to the indoor air quality at IERCF.

Table 1 shows the results of the six DR events that SCE dispatched during FY 13/14. Each facility enrolled in the DR program has a curtailment target, but the IEUA combined total of 1,230 kW is used to determine whether the Agency will be compensated for its performance during each event. IEUA's DR contract with EnerNOC contains a provision that requires the delivered load capacity to be at least 75 percent of the target reduction. If the delivered capacity falls below 75 percent, IEUA does not receive any credit for reducing load during the DR event. However, IEUA strives to reduce its load to match 100 percent of the target reductions at each plant during every event. In FY 13/14, IEUA reached its overall reduction goal in three of the six DR events.

Table 1 shows that IEUA's facilities generally perform better during DR events that occurred in warm months. The reason for this seasonal difference stems from reduced recycled water demand during winter months. Because each DR reduction target is calculated using a baseline averaging energy usage from the previous ten working days, reducing energy usage from RW pumping is difficult, or even impossible, during periods in winter months when pumping is limited or stopped completely due to low demand. The table also shows that RP-1 consistently
TABLE 1. FY 13/14 IEUA DEMAND RESPONSE RESULTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Date</th>
<th>Percentage of Target Reduction Achieved</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RP-1</td>
<td>RP-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/31/2013</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/29/2013</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/30/2013</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/6/2014</td>
<td>666</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/6/2014</td>
<td>1,608</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/29/2014</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>941</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

performed above expectations, while CCWRF had difficulty meeting its target goal. The DR capabilities of each facility will be examined in detail later in the EMP.

**Monitoring**

ENERNOC's software also allows IEUA to track electricity usage at each facility in real time. The Agency invested in sub-meters that gauge electricity usage from individual processes within the treatment facilities. Sub-metering involves the use of digital meters connected to the SCADA system as a resource to help monitor kW, kWh, amperes, load factor and other units of energy consumption.

A combination of sub-meters and load profiling data can help staff understand operating patterns, increase operating efficiency, assist in identifying malfunctioning equipment and reduce energy demand charges. In addition, this electronic data can be brought into the treatment plant control systems, which will enhance operational control of the facilities, reduce maintenance costs, and prolong equipment operating life.

As of April 2015, the sub-metering installation was complete, but various pieces of equipment were undergoing modifications to improve performance and reliability. Once the modifications are complete, IEUA intends to compare the energy usage of each process to industry metrics to gauge levels of efficiency. Continuous energy tracking of treatment processes will also allow Agency staff to measure the effectiveness of energy projects that are implemented.
SHORT-TERM GOALS

This EMP establishes goals aimed to improve the Agency's energy management through various means, including renewable portfolio diversification, increased monitoring, resource optimization, and strategic procurement. This section focuses on goals that are to be achieved within the next five years.

Procurement

IEUA's renewable PPAs benefit IEUA by establishing energy rates for the next 20 years and eliminating uncertainty that comes with purchasing imported electricity. Nevertheless, IEUA is continuously evaluating the economic landscape of its renewable resources, and is in the process of evaluating the option of purchasing the solar installations that were procured through a PPA in 2008. If the purchase value is economical, IEUA could benefit over the remaining term of the agreement. As the owner of the solar arrays, IEUA would assume responsibility for any required Operations and Maintenance (O&M) expenses, but would also avoid electricity expenses for the energy generated from the panels moving forward.

In addition to renewable installations, IEUA is consistently evaluating procurement options for imported purchases. IEUA purchases both electricity and natural gas from an Energy Service Provider (ESP) through the Direct Access (DA) program. These services are procured via an agreement that has a one-year term. The term length is designed to allow the Agency flexibility to adapt to market changes. IEUA will continue to evaluate its procurement options on an annual basis and extend the DA agreement in one-year increments, as necessary.

Integrated Demand Side Management

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) has funded programs designed to help Investor-Owned Utilities (IOUs) develop Integrated Demand Side Management (IDSM) programs that focus on energy efficiency, conservation, demand response, and distributed generation (DG). With an array of renewable resources at its disposal, IEUA has plenty of opportunity to assist the IOUs by improving demand side management at all of its facilities.

IEUA's solar, wind, and fuel cell installations provide a DG portfolio with a total nameplate capacity of 7.3 MW. IEUA will track the generation profiles of these resources to optimize their integration into the grid. Further expansion of the
Agency's renewable portfolio will consider current and future load demands to determine the impact on imported needs and potential for export. IEUA is also pursuing energy storage technology, which would add significant flexibility to the Agency's energy usage profile. By integrating energy storage into its renewable installations, IEUA could temporally manage its load on the grid at each facility. Storage would also impact procurement, as IEUA could take advantage of TOU rates by purchasing and storing electricity when grid demand and tariffs are lowest.

Integrating energy storage into IEUA's energy infrastructure would also benefit the DR capabilities of each facility. During DR events, facilities with energy storage maximize electricity consumption from batteries in order to offset grid demand. Unlike typical DR load reduction techniques, which require turning off equipment otherwise used for normal operations, integrating energy storage into IEUA's DR program would reduce imported electricity levels without interrupting operations. Combining both techniques could result in significantly more load reduction capacity to offer SCE during DR events.

Increasing energy efficiency at IEUA facilities is another component of improving IDSM. IEUA has partnered with The Energy Network, which is part of the Energy Coalition and funded by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), to conduct comprehensive energy audits of IEUA's treatment plants and identify efficiency measures that can reduce energy consumption. Results from these audits will provide direction on the potential reductions that can be achieved at each site.
Each of these IDSM concepts will require collaboration with SCE. New distributed generation projects will require interconnection agreements with SCE, as will incorporating battery storage into IEUA's energy infrastructure. Efficiency projects may also be eligible for SCE's incentive programs, so IEUA will coordinate with The Energy Network and SCE to ensure that all available funding resources are properly utilized.

**Resource Management**

RP-1 and RP-2 generate renewable digester gas. Gas produced at RP-1 is either consumed by a fuel cell, boilers, or an emergency flare. Gas produced at RP-2 is either consumed by an internal combustion engine (ICE), boilers, or an emergency flare. Both sites utilize anaerobic digestion processes to generate the gas. The first phase of this process produces a low quality acid phase gas that has a heat content between 200 and 300 Btu/scf. Due to its reduced quality, this acid phase gas cannot be directly consumed by the boilers, ICE, or fuel cell. At RP-1, this acid phase gas is constantly flared. At RP-2, the acid phase gas is injected in the digester gas mixing system, blended with the high BTU gas, and beneficially used.

IEUA will conduct an evaluation to determine the most effective method of utilizing the acid phase gas at RP-1. Even with a low heat content, continuous flaring of this gas amounts to wasted energy that could otherwise be beneficially used. IEUA Engineering, Technical Services, and Operations staff will collaborate to identify projects that can utilize the acid phase gas through mixing, conditioning, or storage.

In addition, this EMP establishes a goal of reducing the total digester gas consumed by the flares at RP-1 and RP-2 by 50 percent within the next five years. Integrating acid phase gas into the gas loop will significantly reduce the amount of gas flared at RP-1, but IEUA will also pursue projects that optimize gas usage.

**Long-Term Goals**

Long-term goals, discussed in the following section, are expected to be completed within the next 20 years. These goals typically require significant modifications to the Agency's infrastructure and coordination with multiple utilities, which requires considerable planning and engineering efforts.
Peak Independence

IEUA's Business Goals state that peak power independence will be achieved by 2020. This EMP details the Agency's current sustainable capacity during peak periods. Achieving peak power independence will require further distributed generation projects and improved energy management capabilities. New projects that can take advantage of IEUA's renewable resources will be evaluated to determine the most cost effective and prudent path to accomplishing this goal.

Carbon Neutrality

In FY 13/14, approximately 36 percent of the electricity consumed at IEUA facilities was generated by carbon neutral sources. This includes IEUA's solar, wind, fuel cell, and biogas ICE installations, as well as a portion of imported electricity that was procured from Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) certified sources. By continuing to improve the Agency's renewable portfolio, optimizing digester gas utilization, increasing energy efficiency, and procuring greater amounts of RPS-certified electricity as needed, IEUA intends to procure 100 percent of its electricity needs from carbon neutral sources by the year 2030.

Table 2 summarizes the short and long-term goals established in this EMP. Each goal is evaluated in greater detail in following sections of the EMP.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Estimated Completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Provide Energy Management Training to Staff</td>
<td>Educating IEUA’s Operations and Maintenance teams will not only increase awareness of the Agency’s energy demands and usage, but also empower employees to consider new ways to conserve.</td>
<td>2nd Quarter of FY 15/16 and once annually thereafter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Incorporate Energy Efficiency Measures Into Project Solicitation</td>
<td>Whenever new projects are solicited, IEUA issues RFPs that detail the scope of work and equipment required. Beginning in FY 15/16, RFPs issued by IEUA will require high-efficiency equipment that reduces energy consumption. Furthermore, the energy impacts of each proposal will be considered in the review and selection process.</td>
<td>End of FY 15/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Develop Sub-meter Tracking Program</td>
<td>IEUA’s sub-metering data contains valuable information that can be used to identify potential areas of improvement and provide a blueprint for each facility’s demand side management. In order to take advantage of these resources, IEUA will need to develop a program to record and monitor the data on a regular basis.</td>
<td>End of FY 15/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>Facility Energy Audits</td>
<td>Third party energy service companies can conduct comprehensive energy audits that not only evaluate potential savings from equipment retrofits, but also process modifications that can result in higher operational efficiencies.</td>
<td>End of FY 15/16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>Establish Efficiency Reduction Targets</td>
<td>Based on the results of the energy audits and existing energy usage baselines, IEUA will establish efficiency goals and target reductions in consumption for each Agency facility.</td>
<td>2nd Quarter of FY 16/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Evaluate Purchase of Existing Solar Installations</td>
<td>IEUA currently procures electricity from 3.5 MW of solar arrays through a PPA. Solar technology economics indicate that an outright purchase of the installations could benefit the Agency, although cooperation of the PPA provider and owner of the solar installations is required.</td>
<td>2nd Quarter of FY 16/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Estimated Completion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>Implement Efficiency Projects</td>
<td>IEUA will consider the recommendations from the energy audits and implement projects deemed to be cost effective.</td>
<td>End of FY 16/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Digester Gas Optimization</td>
<td>Acid phase digester gas produced at RP-1 is currently sent directly to the flare due to its low quality and BTU content. IEUA will investigate options for incorporating the acid phase gas into facility's gas loop so that the renewable acid phase gas can be used beneficially. Options will include, but not be limited to, gas conditioning, mixing, and storage.</td>
<td>End of FY 16/17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Install Energy Storage at IEUA Facilities</td>
<td>Energy storage would significantly improve IEUA's IDSM capabilities. Traditional procurement strategies have shown current technology to be cost prohibitive, but IEUA will pursue alternative procurement strategies, including PPAs, grant subsidization, and Demand Response Energy Storage Agreements to cost effectively install energy storage at IEUA facilities.</td>
<td>End of FY 17/18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Reduce Flaring by 50 Percent</td>
<td>RP-1 and RP-2 operate emergency flares to combust digester gas that cannot be otherwise used beneficially. IEUA aims to reduce flaring at these treatment plants by 50 percent by the end of FY 18/19.</td>
<td>End of FY 18/19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Peak Power Independence by 2020</td>
<td>Aligning with IEUA's Business Goals, the Agency aims to achieve a level of sustainability that will ensure grid independence during peak periods.</td>
<td>2nd Quarter of FY 20/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>100 percent Carbon Neutrality by 2030</td>
<td>IEUA's renewable portfolio and production of digester gas provide a blueprint for carbon neutrality. In 2013, IEUA established a carbon footprint by reporting GHG emissions to the Climate Registry. Annual emissions reporting will continue, and IEUA will strive to pursue projects with the goal of achieving 100 percent carbon neutrality by 2030.</td>
<td>2nd Quarter of FY 30/31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

Inland Empire Utilities Agency

Improving energy management requires effort in many facets of an organization. In addition to monitoring and analyzing energy data, IEUA staff must raise awareness within the Agency of energy conservation opportunities. Training will be given to IEUA employees to bring attention to current consumption trends and highlight areas or strategies that can improve efficiency. This training will be conducted annually to foster and maintain continued awareness.

Additionally, IEUA’s procurement strategy will be revised to include standard language requiring high-efficiency equipment whenever possible. Proposals received are typically weighed by selection criteria such as cost, experience, and operational impact. IEUA will add another criterion that evaluates the impact on energy consumption. Proposals that reduce energy consumption will be judged more favorably than those with negligible or adverse impacts.

Southern California Edison

Any substantial energy improvements at IEUA will rely on coordination with SCE. Each project is subject to the CPUC’s policies, and interconnection of new projects requires significant effort from both SCE and IEUA staff. IEUA has secured interconnection agreements for all of the renewables at Agency facilities. Overall, IEUA has generally experienced difficulties during the interconnection process. Significant staff time and costs have been devoted to completing the agreements.

IEUA concedes that interconnecting large DG projects with the capacity for intermittent export presents complexities that must be addressed to ensure uninterrupted grid service. Interconnection agreements at RP-5 SHF and RP-1 saw marked progress improvement when twice-weekly conference calls were held with SCE staff, though SCE is assuredly not capable of offering this level of service for every interconnection agreement. IEUA believes that improving communication and policy understanding can streamline the interconnection process, and IEUA is committed to maintaining a dialogue with SCE and assist as needed.

IEUA’s IDSM improvements rely on participation in SCE’s DR program. IEUA is enrolled in SCE’s Aggregator-Managed Portfolio DR program through EnerNOC. Increasing the Agency’s load reduction capacity will benefit SCE during periods of
high grid demand. IEUA’s contract with EnerNOC contains a provision that requires the delivered load capacity to be at least 75 percent of the target reduction. If the delivered capacity falls below 75 percent, IEUA does not receive any credit for reducing load during the DR event. As a result, IEUA is hesitant to increase the curtailment target until reliable load reduction measures can be identified.

Furthermore, the current DR program does not provide any incentive for additional power that is exported to the grid during DR events. Adding energy storage could further increase reduction capacities, but current DR program language is unclear regarding integration of energy storage. Modifying the DR program to include incentives for exported power above a baseline export level could result in higher DR commitments.

**California Public Utilities Commission**

IEUA has relied on PPAs to install renewable technologies, each of which has a purchase rates between $0.08 and $0.13 per kWh. Since exported is compensated at a rate between $0.04 and $0.06 per kWh, IEUA’s DG projects are typically sized to maximize on-site use of the electricity generated and avoid export. Increasing export rates would benefit IEUA, but are unlikely to occur.

An alternative solution to improving renewable economics would rely on modifications to the RPS, which mandates that all electric service suppliers provide at least 33 percent of their energy from renewable sources by 2020. These suppliers can achieve the mandated limits by purchasing Renewable Energy Certificates (RECs) that satisfy one of three content categories, often referred to as buckets:

- **Bucket 1:** Energy and RECs (bundled) from an RPS-eligible facility that is directly connected to the transmission grid
- **Bucket 2:** RECs are purchased and renewable energy is firmed and shaped with substitute electricity that is scheduled into a California Balancing Authority within the same calendar year as the RPS generation
- **Bucket 3:** Unbundled RECs from RPS-eligible facility

Because IEUA uses the renewable energy it generates on site, any RECs generated fall into Bucket 3, which carries the lowest value on the trading market. IEUA’s experience in pursuing RECs for its renewable installations found that the cost of obtaining the certificates often negated the potential profits of any sale. However, the California assembly, with assistance from the California Association of Sanitation Agencies (CASA) and the Bioenergy Association of California (BAC), is pursuing
legislation that would allow for renewable installations at wastewater facilities to be eligible for Bucket 1 status as part of Assembly Bill 1144. This designation could drastically improve renewable project economics. IEUA is in support of AB 1144 and will track its progress closely.
Energy Data

NATURAL GAS

Figure 2 shows the Agency’s wide fluctuation in natural gas usage in recent years. The changes are mainly due to the renewable self-generation technologies employed at the biosolids handling facilities. Beginning in 2001, IEUA operated natural-gas fired engines which generated electricity during peak periods to assist the SCE grid. A blend of natural gas and digester gas was also used in the cogeneration engines at RP-1. However, the peaking engines were removed from service in 2008, and SCAQMD Rule 1110.2 curbed natural gas usage in digester gas-fueled cogeneration engines in the same year, resulting in a dramatic reduction in natural gas consumption.

FIGURE 2. AGENCY-WIDE NATURAL GAS USAGE FROM FY 07/08 TO 13/14
Between FY 09/10 and FY 11/12, the natural gas usage at the Agency was limited to hot water boilers used to meet the anaerobic digestion thermal demand and a minimal amount needed to maintain temperature in the digester gas-fueled cogeneration engines. The usage increase since FY 12/13 is due to the fuel cell installation, which is operated on a blend of digester gas and natural gas. Since the fuel cell catalyst is highly sensitive to air contaminants, the blend may vary depending on the status of the gas conditioning system. As such, natural gas can account for anywhere from 25 to 100 percent of the total fuel cell gas blend.

The fuel cell agreement structure contains provisions that outline IEUA’s natural gas responsibility depending on the operating condition of the power plant. Under normal conditions, the fuel cell is expected to operate on a blend of approximately 75 percent digester gas and 25 percent natural gas by flow. As such, IEUA is responsible for the procurement of 25 percent of the natural gas utilized by the fuel cell. Natural gas usage on site is heavily dependent on the operational status of the fuel cell and digester gas conditioning systems. The figures included in this plan distinguish the natural gas used at IEUA’s discretion and any supplemental natural gas required by the PPA provider to maintain operation of the fuel cell while the gas conditioning system is down (“Fuel Cell Credit”).

A breakdown of natural gas usage by facility is shown on Figure 3. This data further elucidates the point that natural gas usage at the Agency is driven by the requirements of the technologies installed. Natural gas usage was effectively terminated at RP-4 and CCWRF when the natural gas peaking engines were removed from service in 2008. Since the fuel cell was installed at RP-1 in 2012, the facility has accounted for approximately 87 percent of the Agency’s total natural gas consumption.
As aforementioned, one of the core goals of the Energy Management Plan is to reduce energy costs as well as usage. Figure 4 shows the Agency’s overall costs for natural gas consumption from FY 07/08 to FY 13/14 with the average rate, on a $/therm basis, tracked alongside. The recent decline in natural gas pricing resulted in lower natural gas costs for FY 13/14 when compared to FY 07/08, despite the fact that gas usage was approximately 31 percent higher in FY 13/14. Consequently, IEUA routinely analyzes energy rate trends in addition to overall cost.
While the decreased price of natural gas has contributed to lower rates in recent years, IEUA has also reduced costs by procuring natural gas through an Energy Service Provider, rather than SCGC. The ESP offers both fixed and variable rates for natural gas that are based on market trends. By utilizing these variable rate structures through an ESP, IEUA has seen consistent cost savings when compared to SCGC rates.

**ELECTRICITY**

The Agency’s efforts to optimize electricity consumption by increasing energy efficiency and expanding its renewable portfolio are evident on Figure 5. The figure shows the total electricity usage for the regional wastewater facilities, composting facility, recycled water pumping stations, and groundwater recharge basins between FY 07/08 and FY 13/14, as well as the energy efficiency projects certified by SCE over the same time period. Efficiency projects included damper installations at the IERCF and VFD installations and chiller replacement at RP-1.
In FY 08/09, IEUA installed its solar generation systems and began implementing energy efficiency projects, resulting in lower electricity usage when compared to FY 07/08. Electricity usage has climbed incrementally since FY 09/10. This can be attributed to expansions of the Agency’s Recycled Water and Groundwater Recharge programs, which require significant pumping demand to move water regionally. Despite the increase in energy demand to the Agency, these practices play a vital role in sustainable water management in the region and significantly reduce the global energy consumed in importing water from the State Water Project (SWP). In FY 13/14 alone, the electricity used by IEUA to distribute 38,252 acre-feet (AF) of recycled water to end users and groundwater recharge basins resulted in the conservation of approximately 91,000 MWh that would have been required to pump the equivalent amount of water from the SWP.²

The amount of renewable energy utilized by the Agency has fluctuated annually, with electricity produced by cogeneration engines decreasing and low-emitting renewables (solar, wind, and fuel cells) steadily increasing each year. Due to increasingly stringent air quality regulations, the Agency has decreased reliance on the cogeneration engines in favor of technologies with lower emissions. The fuel cell installation at RP-1 resulted in a reduction of the facility’s criteria pollutant

emissions by approximately 90 percent while matching the nameplate generation capacity, maintaining the ability to utilize digester gas, and recovering waste heat for the anaerobic digestion process.

Figure 6 shows the annual electricity usage at each facility, including lift stations, recycled water pumping stations, and groundwater recharge facilities. In 2011, IEUA began to separately track electricity consumed by the recycled water pumps at each RWRP. Prior to 2011, the lack of data availability prevented IEUA staff from separating electricity usages from treatment and RW processes, so the RW pumping power consumption is embedded in the totals for each plant. RP-1, RP-4, RP-5, and CCWRF all employ RW pumping stations on site. For the purposes of this Energy Management Plan, energy consumption in Fiscal Year 13/14 will be considered the baseline value when calculating potential future energy savings.

FIGURE 6. ELECTRICITY USAGE FROM FY 07/08 TO 13/14 BY FACILITY
This figure illustrates the high energy intensity of RP-1 and RP-4/IERCF. In FY 2013/2014, these two sites combine to account for approximately 53 percent of the total Agency energy demand. As such, IEUA’s Energy Management Plan has particularly focused on these facilities when exploring potential efficiency projects.

Recycled water pumping also contributes significantly to the Agency’s electrical demand. RW usage in the region has grown steadily in recent years, and is expected to continue increasing moving forward. Due to the region’s reliance on the Agency’s RW distribution system, IEUA has begun to investigate projects that can optimize electrical consumption in the energy intensive process.

In addition to total electricity usage, the Agency monitors the electrical demand of each facility on an hourly basis. This information is required to assess the level of self-generation needed to pursue grid independence during peak periods. The electrical demand at IEUA’s facilities fluctuates throughout the day and also varies by plant. As shown on Figure 7, the average hourly electrical demand across all IEUA facilities varies seasonally as well.

**FIGURE 7. FY 13/14 AVERAGE PEAK FACILITY ELECTRICAL LOAD**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Jul</th>
<th>Aug</th>
<th>Sep</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Feb</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Apr</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>Jun</th>
<th>Ave.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RP-1</td>
<td>2,954</td>
<td>2,949</td>
<td>3,007</td>
<td>2,646</td>
<td>2,651</td>
<td>2,851</td>
<td>2,638</td>
<td>2,560</td>
<td>2,443</td>
<td>2,530</td>
<td>2,509</td>
<td>2,634</td>
<td>2,698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-2</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-4/IERCF</td>
<td>2,364</td>
<td>2,235</td>
<td>2,307</td>
<td>2,061</td>
<td>2,148</td>
<td>2,163</td>
<td>2,320</td>
<td>2,132</td>
<td>1,941</td>
<td>1,912</td>
<td>1,911</td>
<td>1,962</td>
<td>2,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-5</td>
<td>1,050</td>
<td>1,127</td>
<td>1,115</td>
<td>1,155</td>
<td>1,211</td>
<td>1,426</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>1,101</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>979</td>
<td>1,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCWRF</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>575</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>721</td>
<td>632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW</td>
<td>2,548</td>
<td>2,633</td>
<td>2,959</td>
<td>2,555</td>
<td>1,873</td>
<td>1,999</td>
<td>2,015</td>
<td>1,723</td>
<td>1,513</td>
<td>2,177</td>
<td>2,611</td>
<td>2,774</td>
<td>2,282</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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For reasons explained in the following section, the Agency does not intend to install enough renewable energy technology to export electricity back to the grid. Instead, the goal of IEUA’s Energy Management Plan is to procure sufficient renewable technology to meet the average load identified through historical and projected demand. Figure 8 compares the maximum hourly electrical generation by renewable sources during each month of FY 2013/2014 to the average peak load for all Agency facilities.

**FIGURE 8. FY 13/14 MAXIMUM PEAK RENEWABLE GENERATION**

In FY 2013/2014, the Agency’s renewable portfolio was capable of providing approximately 59 percent of the peak electrical demand for all facilities during summer months, and approximately 62 percent over the course of the entire year. Future energy efficiency projects and new technologies will be needed to grow the renewable portfolio and progress toward sustainability.

Unlike natural gas procurement, IEUA’s electricity purchases are procured through a mixture of Direct Access and bundled service through SCE. The advantages of
bundled service (paying the local utility for both transmission and generation charges) through the IOU or DA (paying the local utility for transmission charges and a competing ESP for generation charges) vary greatly depending on many facility-specific factors.

Typically, ESPs offer cost savings opportunities with simplified rates that vary with market trends and do not include expensive demand charges. SCE’s electricity rates, although fixed, vary with time of use, and can include standby and departing load charges that vary by facility and inflate (or in some cases, decrease) costs. Due to the temporal and site-specific variability in energy rates, the Agency closely evaluates the procurement options at each facility regularly. Figure 9 displays cost data beginning in FY 07/08, including the overall average electricity rate, on a $/kWh basis, that the Agency paid. This rate is inclusive of all renewable, IOU, and ESP costs. Since there is no significant change over the years, the rate and usage data track each other fairly closely.

FIGURE 9. AGENCY-WIDE ELECTRICITY COSTS FROM FY 07/08 TO 13/14
Figures 10 and 11 outline IEUA's overall energy costs for FY 07/08 and FY 13/14 respectively. In both bases, electricity costs account for over 90 percent of the total energy costs. Furthermore, current natural gas usage is almost exclusively tied to fuel cell consumption, which is highly sensitive to operational adjustments. Electricity usage, on the other hand, is widespread across all facilities and offers more opportunities for optimization and efficiency increases. Therefore, much of the focus of this Energy Management Plan and projects discussed herein will be on reducing electricity consumption or increasing on-site electricity generation through various means.

**FIGURE 10. FY 07/08 AGENCY-WIDE ENERGY COSTS**

- **IMPORTED ELECTRICITY (DA)** 61.0%
- **IMPORTED ELECTRICITY (SCE)** 13.5%
- **IEUA NATURAL GAS** 7.3%
- **ICE ELECTRICITY (O&M)** 18.2%

**TOTAL ENERGY COSTS = $10,248,000**
The financial impact of renewable installations is apparent when comparing the two fiscal years. In FY 13/14, approximately 30 percent of all energy procurement came from PPA sources (including natural gas paid for by PPA provider). Imported electricity costs were reduced by approximately 10 percent, though the percentage of bundled electricity purchased increased. The changes seen since FY 07/08 are the result of several factors, including energy tariffs and procurement options. To better understand the variance with each facility, the following section includes details on each site.

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS

Greenhouse Gases (GHGs) emitted in the state are regulated by the California Air Resources Board (CARB). CARB has also developed the Climate Change Scoping plan, most recently updated in March 2014, which targets industries and large facilities with high global warming potential and mandates reduction measures to in an effort to steadily decrease GHG emission levels. Wastewater treatment plants and composting facilities are not subject to the reduction measures addressed in the Scoping Plan. Furthermore, no IEUA facility emits GHGs at a level high enough to reach the regulated threshold for GHG reporting.
Despite the lack of any GHG reporting requirements, in February 2014, IEUA became a member of The Climate Registry (TCR), a nonprofit organization that develops standards and protocols for GHG calculations and reporting. Membership in TCR is voluntarily, and is a result of the Agency’s aim to practice environmental stewardship as a regional leader. As a member of TCR, IEUA has committed to publicly report annual GHG emissions. The first Agency-wide reported inventory, spanning the 2013 calendar year, is shown in Table 3.

**TABLE 3. 2013 GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS BY SOURCE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>GHG Emissions (Metric Tons CO$_2$e)</th>
<th>Percentage of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heavy Duty vehicles</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet vehicles</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosolids Hauling</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Generators</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPG Combustion</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digester Gas Combustion</td>
<td>9,341</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas Combustion</td>
<td>6,735</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchased Electricity</td>
<td>16,868</td>
<td>50.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>33,506</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The reported emissions use TCR protocols to calculate the metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents (CO$_2$e, a combination of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and N$_2$O) emitted by IEUA processes. Both direct (i.e., stack emissions) and indirect (i.e., emissions associated with services procured by IEUA, such as purchased electricity) emissions were included in the calculations. 2013 GHG emissions were reported through TCR but not verified. IEUA has committed to pursuing verification for 2014 emissions.

In addition to annual reporting, IEUA aims to reduce these annual emissions moving forward in order to align with state and federal GHG reduction goals. An analysis of the reported data shows that an overwhelming majority of the Agency’s GHG emissions came from electricity purchases and stationary combustion. Identifying the largest contributor to GHG emissions will also assist IEUA in determining where reductions can be most effectively achieved. Figures 12 and 13 compare the percentage of GHG emissions and electricity usage, respectively, for each facility.
The contribution of gas combustion to GHG emissions is apparent when comparing the figures above. Three IEUA facilities (RP-1, RP-2, and RP-5) consume digester gas produced on-site. These facilities combine to account for approximately 66 percent of the Agency-wide GHG emissions. However, the same facilities accounted for only 46 percent of the electricity usage during the same time period.

RP-4/IERCF and the RW program, on the other hand, used a combined 46 percent of the Agency's electricity consumption in 2013, but only produced 29 percent of the GHG emissions. These data indicate that digester gas consumption is the major contributing factor to IEUA's carbon footprint.

The renewable installations and efficiency projects have had a significant impact on IEUA's GHG emissions profile. Although the Agency only began reporting GHG emissions in 2013, historical fuel usage and electricity purchase data can be used to determine emissions in previous years under the same standards. Due to the increase in on-site renewable generation and reduced cogeneration engine operation, IEUA has reduced GHG emissions by approximately 36 percent since 2008. Neither the 2008 or 2013 emissions have been verified by a certified third party.

**TABLE 4. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS COMPARISON**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2008 GHG Emissions (Metric Tons CO₂e)</th>
<th>2013 GHG Emissions (Metric Tons CO₂e)</th>
<th>Percent Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>52,400</td>
<td>33,506</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It should be noted that these emissions totals also include biogenic emissions, or GHGs that were recently contained in living organisms and are therefore considered carbon neutral. The Climate Registry requires these emissions to be reported, though they are distinguished from anthropogenic source emissions. Of the reported 2013 GHG emissions, approximately 28 percent are from biogenic sources.

Overall, approximately 36 percent of the electricity consumed at IEUA facilities during FY 2013/2014 was generated by carbon neutral sources (Figure 14). This value only considers the digester gas usage in the RP-1 fuel cell. Natural gas consumption in the equipment, though nearly devoid of criteria pollutant emissions, does result in anthropogenic GHG emissions. The carbon neutrality figure also accounts for the proportion of imported electricity that is obtained from renewable or hydroelectric sources, which were obtained from the IOU or DA
provider directly. As previously stated in the Introduction, IEUA strives to increase the carbon neutrality of electricity procurement to 100 percent by 2030. The long term is needed to account for the significant planning and engineering efforts involved in changing IEUA's generation and procurement strategies to permit 100 percent neutrality.

IEUA has developed a preliminary Carbon Management Plan, included in Appendix B, that identifies a proposed path to achieve 100 percent carbon neutrality. IEUA will work with third party consultants to further develop the Carbon Management Plan to include GHG reduction strategies and monitoring efforts.

**FIGURE 14. CARBON NEUTRAL SOURCES OF FY 13/14 ELECTRICITY USAGE**
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- **53%** Imported (Non-RPS)
- **16%** Imported (RPS)
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Evaluations for new projects will consider potential GHG reductions that benefit the Agency's carbon footprint. Due to the relative infancy of the reporting protocols and emergence of new technologies, emission factors are not always readily available through TCR. In these cases, IEUA must perform independent research to estimate potential GHG emissions reductions.

Furthermore, IEUA has committed to assisting The Climate Registry to develop Water-Energy GHG Reporting Protocols. With the advent of these protocols, quantifying and verifying GHG emissions reductions can be standardized, an essential component in establishing GHG credits and measuring reductions.
IEUA is also aware of the impact its facilities can have in reducing emissions of methane, a short-lived climate pollutant (SLCP). In May 2015, CARB released a concept paper documenting the importance of decreasing SLCP emissions and potential measures that could achieve reductions. Wastewater treatment plants have the potential to reduce methane emissions through effective resource recovery. IEUA already utilizes anaerobic digestion and co-composting to minimize methane emissions at its facilities. In addition, the RP-5 SHF diverts organic food waste from landfills to further reduce methane emissions. This Energy Management Plan will consider additional ways that IEUA’s facilities can minimize fugitive methane emissions from equipment and potentially divert more organic waste in a cost effective and reliable manner.
Facility Descriptions

REGIONAL PLANT NO. 1

RP-1 is IEUA’s largest treatment plant and is capable of treating an average of 44 MGD of wastewater flow. The facility employs primary, secondary, and tertiary treatment to produce Title 22 compliant recycled water that is provided to end users and groundwater recharge basins. RP-1 contains anaerobic digesters and dewatering facilities that generate renewable digester gas from the sludge removed during the liquids phase wastewater treatment process.

Gas Production

RP-1 has seven digesters operated in a three-phase thermophilic process. In FY 13/14, the digestion operation produced an average of 560 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm) of digester gas, or approximately 800,200 scf per day. Approximately 14 percent of total gas production was acid phase gas, which is the product of the first phase of thermophilic digestion with a heat rating ranging from 200 to 300 Btu/scf. Due to its low quality, the acid phase gas is not consumed in the boiler or fuel cell. Instead, the gas is continuously flared.

The heating value of the remaining 86 percent of digester gas typically measures between 575 and 625 Btu/scf. The preferred destination for this gas is the 2.8 MW fuel cell operated on site. Prior to introduction to the fuel cell, the gas is directed through an extensive gas conditioning system that is designed to remove Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC), sulfides, and siloxanes that may prove harmful to the fuel cell catalyst. As part of the PPA, IEUA is required to deliver a minimum of 612,000 scf of digester gas per day to the fuel cell, averaged annually. This requirement accounts for approximately 90 percent of the total consumable (high Btu) gas produced at the facility daily.

RP-1’s hot water boilers are the second option for the digester gas. The boilers are required to produce heat for the digestion process, and are capable of operating on either digester gas or natural gas, but not a blend. The boilers are operated on digester gas when production is high enough to operate both the fuel cell and
boiler, or when the fuel cell is operating exclusively on natural gas. In instances when the digester gas production exceeds both the fuel cell and boiler demands, the excess gas is combusted in the flare. The frequency of flare operation heavily depends on the status of the fuel cell’s gas conditioning system. If the gas conditioning system is inoperable, the boilers can combust up to 420,000 scf per day, with the excess digester gas being flared.

**Facility Load**

The average hourly electrical load for summer (June through September) and winter (December through February) months at RP-1 are shown on Figure 15. Imported electricity, fuel cell generation, and solar generation are all included on these two load profiles. The figure shows a slight reduction in overall load during colder months, with peak consumption is approximately 4.2 MW in summer and approximately 3.9 MW in the winter. In both cases, the peak electrical load occurs around 13:00 in the afternoon. The generated solar electricity also varies between seasons, as days are longer and sunnier in summer than winter.

The figure also shows that imported electricity consumption is fairly steady throughout the day, with RP-1 purchasing approximately 1.4-1.7 MW throughout the year. The amount of electricity imported was higher than expected, as the generation capacities of the fuel cell and solar systems should have accounted for more of the total facility load. In September 2013, a sulfides breakthrough in the fuel cell’s gas conditioning system diminished the catalyst performance and constrained fuel cell operation to a reduced load. In FY 13/14, the fuel cell generated, on average, approximately 420 kW less during winter months than during summer months. However, since this limitation was operational in nature, the power output of the fuel cell is not expected to vary seasonally in the future.

The data charted on Figure 15 includes electricity used by the recycled water distribution pumps located on site. These pumping demands were removed in previous sections to highlight the increasing power requirements that IEUA faces in distributing recycled water. However, these recycled water distribution pumping demands must be included when considering the overall facility load because they impact the procurement and self-generation opportunities that IEUA can pursue (as described below).
FIGURE 15. FY 13/14 AVERAGE RP-1 LOAD PROFILE DURING SUMMER AND WINTER MONTHS
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Electricity Procurement

RP-1 receives electricity from a mix of generation sources, which are listed in Table 5. RP-1’s imported electricity purchases are obtained through Direct Access at day-ahead market pricing. The cost of generation is paid to an ESP, which means that RP-1 is not subject to high generation demand charges from SCE. Transmission costs, paid to SCE for the imported power, are determined by the applicable tariffs imposed by the IOU for large commercial customers with standby service (TOU-8-B-Standby). The facility is assessed demand charges as part of the transmission costs, although the demand is reduced by the nameplate rating of the fuel cell each month. RP-1 is also subject to departing load charges as a result of the on-site generation from the fuel cell.

**TABLE 5. FY 13/14 RP-1 ELECTRICITY PROCUREMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation Source</th>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Rate Type</th>
<th>Percentage of Facility Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imported (as needed)</td>
<td>Direct Access</td>
<td>Market-priced</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Cell (2.8 MW)</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Fixed w/ annual escalator</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar (0.83 MW)</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Fixed w/ annual escalator</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In FY 13/14, on-site generation, consisting of the fuel cell and solar array, accounted for 55 percent of the total facility load. This generation is lower than expected due to the fuel cell’s extended operation at a reduced load. Table 6 shows the anticipated electricity procurement scenario assuming full operation from the fuel cell and 95 percent uptime.

**TABLE 6. ANTICIPATED RP-1 PROCUREMENT WITH FULL FUEL CELL OPERATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation Source</th>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Rate Type</th>
<th>Percentage of Facility Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imported (as needed)</td>
<td>Direct Access</td>
<td>Market-priced</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Cell (2.8 MW)</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Fixed w/ annual escalator</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar (0.83 MW)</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Fixed w/ annual escalator</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From data graphed on Figure 15, the average hourly winter load at RP-1 varies from 3.1 – 3.8 MW, which means that RP-1’s renewable installations are periodically capable of producing more than 100 percent of the facility’s electrical demand during peak generation periods. As such, RP-1 was required to secure an export agreement with SCE to enable transmission of power back to the grid.

RP-1 was granted a multiple-tariff agreement that only compensates for power export from the solar array. The export agreement was completed by utilizing SCE’s Net Energy Metering (NEM) program. However, because SCE’s NEM program limits inclusion of fuel cells to systems below 1 MW, since RP-1 is a DA customer, only the transmission portion of the power exported and attributed to the solar array will be compensated by SCE. The entire generation portion will be sold by the ESP at market price, effectively debiting the total electricity amount provided by the ESP per the DA contract.

**Demand Response**

RP-1 participates in the Demand Response program through ENERNOC. During a DR event, RP-1 staff is tasked with reducing the facility load by 50 kW through reduced operation of the recycled water pumps. This drop in load represents approximately four percent of the overall load reduction target of 1,230 kW that IEUA has agreed to across all Agency facilities. In FY 13/14, RP-1 exceeded 100 percent of its target in all six DR events and averaged a load reduction of 470 kW per event. The load reductions were achieved through limiting RP-1’s recycled water pumping. In FY 13/14, RP-1’s recycled water distribution increased to counteract the recycled water distribution that was lost due to a construction project at CCWRF. As a result, RP-1 had more flexibility to curtail RW load during DR events.

IEUA’s DR contract with ENERNOC contains a provision that requires the delivered load capacity to be at least 75 percent of the target reduction. If the delivered capacity falls below 75 percent, IEUA does not receive any credit for reducing load during the DR event. As a result, IEUA is hesitant to increase the curtailment target until reliable load reduction measures can be identified.

Furthermore, the current DR program does not provide any incentive for additional power that is exported to the grid during DR events. RP-1’s potential to export power is increased if load reductions are achieved during DR events. However, since only a portion of the power exported is compensated by SCE,
generating more energy than needed to meet the facility load provides no cost benefit to IEUA. If the DR program were to also incentivize power that is exported above the facility’s baseline, IEUA could evaluate the potential for further reductions without fear of triggering cost prohibitive exports during DR events.

**Energy forecast**

IEUA’s Wastewater Facilities Master Plan (WFMP) was developed to strategically prepare Agency facilities for forecasted flow demands. The WFMP is also used to recommend engineering projects that will modernize facilities to more effectively treat influent flows. The current WFMP forecasts flow projections and facility improvements through the year 2035. This EMP uses the same projections to forecast energy demands over the next 20 years to meet the anticipated flow increases and process changes. Figure 16 shows the forecasted demand for the summer and winter months, respectively.

The figure incorporates the expected renewable generation from the solar arrays and fuel cell with expected performance degradation and equipment (fuel cell catalyst) replacement factored in. The demand growth is proportional to expected flow increases of approximately 1 percent each year. The WFMP includes three major projects to be implemented at RP-1 within the 20-year period. The first two projects are modifications to the flow equalization process and installation of two additional anaerobic digesters, which will command a small increase in electrical demand. The third project involves the replacement of RP-1’s aeration system with a membrane bioreactor (MBR) system and will result in a higher energy demand estimated at 10 percent. TYCIP projects included for implementation at RP-1 also considered in these projections.

The red shaded area in each figure represents the RP-1 demand exceeding the generation capabilities of RP-1’s renewable portfolio. The red hatched area represents the anticipated energy reductions to be achieved through efficiency projects that are either under construction or included in the WFMP or TYCIP. The excess summer load ranges from approximately 500 kW in FY 15/16 to 1,400 kW in FY 33/34. The excess winter load fluctuates from approximately 200 kW in FY 15/16 to 1,100 kW in FY 33/34.
FIGURE 16. RP-1 20-YEAR POWER DEMAND FORECAST FOR SUMMER AND WINTER MONTHS
for a public agency with limited capital, it is essential to pursue self-generation projects that are cost effective. Renewable energy projects are typically cost effective at higher capacities and when the energy generated is used on-site. RP-1’s renewable portfolio may be stagnant until the excess load during winter months approaches 1 MW, which is expected to coincide with the MBR installation. Alternatively, installing new distributed generation systems may make sense in the near term if IEUA can secure an export agreement with SCE that is economically favorable to power export from renewable sources. For these reasons, the focus on energy management at RP-1 over the next 10 years will be placed on conservation and efficiency projects.

RP-1’s digester gas production also plays a vital role in the energy potential of the facility. In FY 13/14, the treatment plant produced an average of 560 scfm of digester gas. However, low BTU acid gas accounted for approximately 80 scfm of the production total. Figure 17 charts RP-1’s anticipated gas production with expected flow increases, sludge thickening upgrades in the TYCIP, and two new digesters coming online over the next 20 years.

FIGURE 17. RP-1 20-YEAR GAS PRODUCTION FORECAST

Currently, the acid gas is combusted in the flare and only digester gas produced in
the second of third phases of the thermophilic process are utilized in the fuel cell. While there may be potential to use the acid gas phase in a future process, Figure 17 accounts for the difference in heat rating between the gases by converting the amount of acid gas to an equivalent quantity of digester gas with a heat rating of 600 Btu/scf (the average heat rating of digester gas used in the fuel cell).

The green shaded area represents the amount of digester gas that IEUA is contractually obligated to provide for the fuel cell operator. The dashed line shows the level of gas production needed to simultaneously operate the boiler and fuel cell at full load. Since RP-1’s boilers are not currently capable of operating on a natural/digester gas blend, digester gas is only utilized in the boilers when the fuel cell is operating solely on natural gas due to gas conditioning restrictions. As a result, the facility is producing excess digester gas that cannot be used for energy generation. This EMP will explore several projects that can take advantage of the energy content in the digester gas.

**Potential New Projects**

RP-1’s large electrical load and digester gas production offer a multitude of opportunities for additional self-generation and efficiency projects. Table 7 outlines projects that are being considered for implementation at RP-1 and discusses the feasibility of each. These projects may or may not align with the goals introduced in Table 2. The objective of this section is to evaluate any concept that could potentially result in energy conservation at IEUA facilities. Select projects in the table were evaluated in separate fact sheets, which are included in Appendix C.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Feasibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Energy Audit</td>
<td>Third party energy service companies can conduct comprehensive energy audits that not only evaluate potential savings from equipment retrofits, but also process modifications that can result in higher operational efficiencies.</td>
<td>IEUA has seen benefits from past audits, but has never committed to comprehensive evaluations of each facility. The Energy Network offers a no-cost audit service designed to assist public agencies with these types of evaluations. RP-1 would likely be the first facility considered for this service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Lighting Upgrades</td>
<td>RP-1 has extensive indoor and outdoor lighting systems that can be replaced with low-usage LEDs or outfitted with controls to increase efficiency.</td>
<td>The high volume of lighting systems at RP-1 means that energy conservation opportunities are likely to be cost effective. A comprehensive audit of existing lighting infrastructure will be required to assess the potential savings and cost effectiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>HVAC Controls and Upgrades</td>
<td>The RP-1 facility houses many buildings that use HVAC units for climate control. Many of these units can be upgraded to more efficient models or outfitted with controls that limit HVAC operation to non-peak periods.</td>
<td>An assessment of RP-1’s existing HVAC units is underway to identify which pieces of equipment can be replaced. Controls to limit HVAC operation to non-peak periods is not currently considered cost effective, since RP-1 imports electricity through DA and therefore is not subject to the high TOU charges that these controls are designed to mitigate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Compressed Air Optimization</td>
<td>Many of the treatment processes require compressed air. As a result, the facility contains multiple compressed air systems located throughout the facility. It is possible that energy savings could be achieved through centralizing or even downsizing the facility’s compressed air systems.</td>
<td>An audit of the facility’s compressed air system would be needed to assess the current infrastructure and determine if energy conservation measures are cost effective. This type of assessment could be achieved through a comprehensive energy audit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Condense Operations Buildings</td>
<td>Operations and maintenance staff are scattered across the facility property, which requires multiple buildings, each requiring separate lighting and HVAC systems. The facility could potentially reduce overall electricity usage by condensing all staff offices into one building.</td>
<td>This measure would require significant planning and capital costs. IEUA will evaluate potential savings and operational impacts to determine feasibility.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Aeration Basin Upgrades</td>
<td>Aeration is an energy intensive process, as it requires significant continuous air flow. Energy conservation could be achieved by upgrading the existing aeration system to higher efficiency blowers or diffusers with higher oxygen transfer efficiency.</td>
<td>IEUA would need to evaluate potential replacement options, identify cost effectiveness of the new equipment, and adjust operation schedules accordingly to allow for aeration retrofits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable</td>
<td>Digester Mixing Optimization</td>
<td>The anaerobic digesters at RP-1 currently utilize gas mixing introduced at the base of the digesters to produce biogas. Alternative technologies or mixing strategies can be evaluated to determine if energy savings and/or increased gas production can be achieved.</td>
<td>Retrofitting the seven anaerobic digesters at RP-1 would be an expensive undertaking, and would potentially require subsidization from grants or other sources. Increased gas production could be used to eliminate natural gas in the boilers or to open an opportunity for further renewable technologies on site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resources</td>
<td>Digester Retrofit</td>
<td>Emerging technology focuses on retrofitting existing digesters with proprietary sludge mixing and thickening processes that are designed to increase the digestion capacity without increasing the digester footprint.</td>
<td>This retrofit project would require significant capital, unless pursued as a public-private partnership similar to existing PPAs. The innovative technology was recently implemented at other treatment facilities, so this project will be considered as further data becomes available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Install Gas Storage</td>
<td>The facility currently does not have the ability to store digester gas on site. Any gas that is not combusted in the boilers or processed in the fuel cell is combusted in the flare. Installation of low pressure gas storage tanks could provide cost effective storage and better utilize the facility’s renewable resources. High pressure tanks could provide greater storage capacity, but would require more infrastructure for usage in facility equipment. This project could also include blending of the acid gas in order to increase the beneficial use of biogas.</td>
<td>Gas storage tanks would provide greater operational flexibility in utilizing the renewable digester gas at RP-1. However, an engineering evaluation would need to be conducted to determine how the additional stored gas would be utilized. This project may need to be considered in parallel with other projects that focus on increased gas production. Acid gas blending would require an evaluation of the gas quality to determine if the blended gas could meet the specifications required at the fuel cell. Any feasibility study conducted will also need to include potential gas compression costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Co-Digestion Project</td>
<td>RP-1 currently only accepts sludge from treated wastewater in its digestion process. Gas production could be increased with the introduction of food waste or Fats, Oils, and Greases (FOG) into the anaerobic digesters as well.</td>
<td>IEUA has explored food waste projects in the past and encountered operational challenges due to the lack of an appropriate automated food waste receiving and feeding station. Recent projects completed by other wastewater treatment facilities have shown positive results with co-digestion. Further evaluation would be required to identify influent sources, capacities, concentrations, and a potential receiving station.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Installation</td>
<td>In addition to using digester gas in the boiler or fuel cell, IEUA staff has considered converting the biogas to CNG to be used in the Agency’s fleet vehicles. Such a project would require retrofit of the fleet vehicles to operate on CNG. A CNG installation could take advantage of RP-1’s location near several major highways and provide CNG for commercial use.</td>
<td>The scale of this project is currently not feasible, as a high majority of the biogas produced at RP-1 is processed in the fuel cell. IEUA is evaluating the viability of converting the acid phase gas to CNG as well. This project may become viable in the future with an increase in digester gas production and/or subsidized grant funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Acid Phase Gas Turbine</td>
<td>The low heat content of the acid phase digester gas presents difficulties in producing renewable power. An emerging technology packages thermal oxidizers and gas turbines that can cost effectively utilize low quality biogas while producing electricity and heat.</td>
<td>While the technologies have been developed, there is little data indicating reliability. Unless such a project is heavily subsidized through grant funding, this project is likely infeasible until the technology is proven.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Expand Solar Installation</td>
<td>RP-1 currently has 0.83 MW of solar panels installed on site. The facility can evaluate the potential to install more solar on available land.</td>
<td>Any increase in solar generation at the facility would require a modified net metering agreement with SCE, which could take time considering the complexity of RP-1’s current agreement. Additionally, the facility is subject to departing load charges, which would decrease the cost effectiveness of the technology. A feasibility study should consider that any new generation may result in power export at a low rate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Electric Vehicle Charging Station</td>
<td>RP-1 can take advantage of its central location near major highways to install and operate an electric vehicle (EV) charging station powered by the on-site renewable installations. The station could be used by public and Agency fleet vehicles.</td>
<td>This project would require grant funding, as the charging station alone is not cost effective. The most viable route for project implementation would be as a component of a larger renewable energy project at the site or across Agency facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Equalization Basin Cover and Solar Array</td>
<td>RP-1 currently uses flow equalization to temporarily store primary effluent during the treatment process, which can create odors. This project would install a cover over the basin with a solar array affixed atop the cover to generate electricity on-site.</td>
<td>Covering the equalization basin will require significant capital. Although costs may be offset by the solar installation, increasing the solar generating capacity of the facility could increase departing load charges and reduce the cost benefit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Purchase Existing Solar</td>
<td>The power generated from the 0.83 MW of solar panels on site is currently sold to IEUA through a PPA. IEUA is considering purchasing the panels at fair market value to eliminate future electricity costs from solar generation.</td>
<td>IEUA has inquired about the potential purchase with the current project owner. However, the owner must be willing to sell the arrays at a value that is cost effective for the Agency. IEUA will continue to work with the PPA parties on this evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Convert Equalization Basin</td>
<td>RP-1 currently uses flow equalization to temporarily store primary effluent during the treatment process, which can create odors. Modifying the basins to store secondary or tertiary treated effluent may reduce odors while maintaining operational flexibility.</td>
<td>This project would require significant changes to the facility's piping infrastructure. Energy savings could be seen with reduced or off-peak pumping, though construction costs may be too high to be considered economical.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Energy Storage Installations</td>
<td>With the variation in load throughout the day and the potential for export during periods of peak renewable generation, RP-1 may benefit from the installation of energy storage technology to assist with load management. Storage could ensure that electricity purchases are minimized during peak periods and stored for later use on site when export would otherwise be required.</td>
<td>Current energy storage technology is not cost effective at RP-1 due to the facility's status as a DA customer. Load shifting, achieved through storing electricity during off-peak periods, has the potential to save on electricity costs by avoiding TOU demand charges. However, since RP-1 is not subject to these charges as a DA customer, the cost benefit is not enough to make the project viable without subsidization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>Demand Response Energy Storage</td>
<td>Energy storage as a demand response tool is an innovative approach that is currently in initial stages of development. The project would involve a third party installing battery storage at host sites that could be used by IOUs for demand response during periods of peak consumption a portion of the time, and by the host site for peak shaving at other times. Capital expenditures for the storage installations would be covered by the third party.</td>
<td>IEUA has been approached by a third party to develop Demand Response Energy Storage projects at Agency facilities. The lack of capital costs and benefit of load flexibility and cost savings are attractive. IEUA will evaluate the potential agreement to determine the project's impact on the Agency's existing infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Forecasts

RP-1’s procurement strategy, current demand, and limited capital eliminate many of these projects in the near term. Projects focusing on increasing operational efficiencies are more favorable to current conditions, assuming cost effective measures are identified. Table 7 includes broad areas of operation where energy reductions could be realized, but further work will need to be conducted to isolate and quantify savings from specific conservation measures. Tracking electrical demand with the facility’s sub-meters will assist Agency staff IEUA in this endeavor. IEUA will work with a third party energy consultant within the next year to conduct a comprehensive energy audit of the RP-1 facility to develop a list of energy efficiency projects.

Despite the fact that RP-1 imported approximately 45 percent of the total electricity usage in FY 13/14, the potential to export power during periods of peak generation impacts the facility’s ability to install new renewable generation projects. A revision of IEUA’s net energy metering agreement with SCE would be required. Previous agreement revisions have proven to be costly and time consuming for Agency staff. Furthermore, any renewable technology utilizing digester gas would require an increase in gas production, as over 90 percent of RP-1’s gas production is reserved for use in the fuel cell. The acid phase digester gas presents an opportunity for renewable technology on-site, but no reliable, cost effective solution has yet been found to properly utilize this gas.

Cost savings opportunities and operational flexibility could be achieved through gas storage projects. IEUA will conduct further evaluations to determine the potential savings opportunities from storing the gas, which will impact project viability. Energy storage projects that require IEUA to purchase battery storage are currently not cost effective because the facility purchases electricity through Direct Access. IEUA will continue to monitor energy storage technologies and pursue grant funding opportunities though, as the technology does present the benefit of operational flexibility and improved demand side management.

RP-1 contains a significant portion of the Agency’s renewable portfolio that contributes toward the goal of peak power independence by 2020. Further evaluations will need to be conducted to determine the viability of expanding the facility’s portfolio through increased digester gas production. In the short term, IEUA will commit to an energy audit to identify efficiency projects that can reduce the facility load and optimize the treatment processes.
REGIONAL PLANT NO. 4 AND INLAND EMPIRE REGIONAL COMPOSTING FACILITY

RP-4 and the IERCF are located adjacent to one another on 6th Street in Rancho Cucamonga. RP-4 is designed to treat an average of 14 MGD of wastewater flow. The treatment plant employs primary, secondary, and tertiary treatment to produce Title 22 compliant recycled water that is provided for direct use and groundwater recharge basins. Biosolids removed from the RP-4 treatment process are conveyed by gravity through the regional sewer system as influent to RP-1.

The IERCF is capable of recycling approximately 210,000 wet tons of biosolids and amendment per year into high quality compost. Although RP-4 and the IERCF operate independently of one another, the two facilities share the same electricity utility meter. For this reason, the EMP considers RP-4 and the IERCF together.

Facility Load

The average hourly electrical load for summer (June through September) and winter (December through February) months at RP-4 and the IERCF are shown on Figure 18. Imported electricity, wind turbine generation, and solar generation are all included in the load profiles. The figure shows a slight reduction in overall load during colder months, with peak consumption at approximately 3.7 MW in summer and approximately 3.3 MW in the winter. In both seasons, the peak electrical load is generally stable between 8:00 and 15:00. The generated solar electricity also varies between the two seasons, as generation increases in summer months having more sunlight hours each day. During winter months in FY 13/14, the wind turbine produced more consistently. However, the maximum power generated occurred during summer months from the late afternoon to early evening.
The figure also shows that imported electricity demand peaks between the hours of 7:00 15:00. This coincides with typical operations at the IERCF. Large fans are used to continuously exhaust the fully enclosed composting process. These fans operate at a higher flow rate during the day to achieve more frequent air exchanges for staff working within the enclosed facility. As a result, the facility experiences peak demand during the middle of the day.

The RP-4/IERCF load charts include electricity used by the recycled water pumps at RP-4. These pumping demands were not included in previous sections to illustrate IEUA’s increasing power requirements in distributing recycled water. However, these demands must be included when considering the overall facility load because they influence the power procurement and self-generation opportunities that IEUA can pursue.

The existing single electricity meter for RP-4/IERCF requires that the two facilities be considered as a single power entity. However, load management of RP-4/IERCF varies due to operational differences and can be improved by using the sub-metering equipment installed in 2014. Future versions of the EMP will use the sub-meter data to analyze the demand at each facility independently and focus on specific site opportunities.

Electricity Procurement

RP-4/IERCF receives electricity from a mix of generation sources, as summarized in Table 8. Until April 2014, RP-4/IERCF received imported electricity through Direct Access at day-ahead market pricing. These facilities were required to withdraw from the Direct Access program as a result of the interconnection agreement for RP-5 that was obtained through the Renewable Energy Self-Generation Bill Credit Transfer (RES-BCT) program. As part of that agreement, exported power is compensated with bill credits on other utility accounts owned by the generating Agency. In order to qualify, IEUA had to identify non-RP-5 bundled accounts with sufficient load to credit the full RP-5 generation capacity. RP-4/IERCF was selected as a credit account and removed from the DA program.

Switching to bundled service has resulted in high generation demand charges from SCE during peak periods. Transmission costs, paid to SCE for the imported power, are determined by the applicable tariffs imposed by the IOU for large commercial customers with standby service (TOU-8-B-Standby). The facility is assessed demand charges as part of the transmission costs, although the demand is reduced
by the nameplate rating of the wind turbine each month. RP-4/IERCF is also subject to departing load charges as a result of the on-site generation from the wind turbine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation Source</th>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Rate Type</th>
<th>Percentage of Facility Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imported</td>
<td>Bundled</td>
<td>TOU-8-B Standby</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar (1 MW)</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Fixed with annual escalator</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind (1 MW)</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Fixed with annual escalator</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In FY 13/14, on-site generation, consisting of the wind turbine at RP-4 and solar array at the IERCF, accounted for 10 percent of the total load of these facilities. As shown on Figure 18, the minimum load at RP-4/IERCF was approximately 2.1 MW. Even assuming peak generation, the wind turbine and solar array are not capable of matching the minimum RP-4/IERCF load. As such, RP-4/IERCF is not required to have an export agreement with SCE.

**Demand Response**

RP-4/IERCF participates in the DR program through EnerNOC. During a DR event, RP-4 staff reduces operation of the recycled water pumps and IERCF staff reduces fan operation. These practices aim to achieve reductions of 830 kW, which represents 67 percent of the overall Agency DR target. Additional reliable load reductions at RP-4 have been difficult to identify. IEUA’s DR contract with EnerNOC contains a provision that requires the delivered load capacity to be at least 75 percent of the target reduction. If the delivered capacity falls below 75 percent, IEUA does not receive any credit for reducing load during the DR event. In FY 13/14, RP-4/IERCF reached 100 percent of their target in only one of six DR events. On average, RP-4/IERCF achieved 85 percent of its reduction goal, which is enough to achieve the minimum delivered capacity, but too low to commit to any additional reductions in the near future.
Energy forecast

Figure 19 shows 20 years of forecasted demands at RP-4/IERCF for the summer and winter months, based on the WFMP projections. The figure includes the expected renewable generation from the solar arrays and wind turbine with expected performance degradation factored in. The demand growth is proportional to an expected flow increase of approximately 2 percent each year at RP-4 and an expected 0.5 percent increase in energy demand each year at the IERCF. TYCIP and WFMP projects expected to affect the power demand were included in the forecast. However, the only significant project demand involves the replacement of RP-4’s aeration system with a membrane bioreactor (MBR) system. This installation will result in a higher energy demand estimated at 10 percent.

The red shaded area in the two graphs represents the facility demand exceeding the generation capabilities of the solar and wind turbine installations. The red hatched area represents the anticipated energy reductions to be achieved through efficiency projects that are either under construction or included in the WFMP or TYCIP. The excess summer load ranges from approximately 1,500 kW in FY 14/15 to 3,700 kW in FY 33/34. The excess winter load fluctuates from approximately 1,400 kW in FY 14/15 to 3,600 kW in FY 33/34. Figure 19 shows that the peak demand at RP-4/IERCF does not have much seasonal difference.
Despite the rated capacity of the solar and wind turbine installations, data have shown that RP-4/IERCF relies heavily on imported electricity during peak periods. This reliance is expected to increase steadily over the next 20 years. The amount of imported power indicates that the facility is capable of increasing the amount of renewable generation on site. Depending on the size and timing of any new renewable technology installed, it is possible that SCE would require an export agreement to be established. If the rated capacity of new distributed generation installations, when combined with the 2 MW generation capacity of the existing solar and wind installations, is more than or equal to the minimum demand of the facility at the time of installation, then IEUA will need to secure an export agreement with SCE.

**Potential New Projects**

The large electrical load and bundled service at RP-4/IERCF offer an array of opportunities for further self-generation, energy management, and efficiency projects. Table 9 outlines projects that have been considered for implementation at RP-4 and IERCF and discusses the feasibility of each.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Feasibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Energy Audit</td>
<td>Third party energy service companies can conduct comprehensive energy audits that not only evaluate potential savings from equipment retrofits, but also process modifications that can result in higher operational efficiencies.</td>
<td>IEUA has seen benefits from past audits, but has never committed to comprehensive evaluations of each facility. The Energy Network offers a no-cost audit service designed to assist public agencies with these evaluations. This service could yield several cost-saving measures at RP-4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Lighting Upgrades</td>
<td>RP-4 has extensive indoor and outdoor lighting systems that can be replaced with low-usage LEDs or outfitted with controls to increase efficiency. Lighting within the IERCF may not be as conducive to retrofit. Worker safety is paramount within the composting building, as visibility can be diminished without enough light.</td>
<td>A comprehensive audit of the existing lighting infrastructure will be required to assess the potential savings and cost effectiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>HVAC Controls and</td>
<td>RP-4 and IERCF have many buildings that use HVAC units for climate control. Many of these units can be upgraded to more efficient models or outfitted with controls that limit HVAC operation to non-peak periods.</td>
<td>An assessment of RP-4’s existing HVAC units is underway to identify equipment that can be replaced. Controls to limit HVAC operation to non-peak periods can be cost effective because RP-4/IERCF is subject to high demand charges as a bundled service customer. IEUA will pursue an HVAC control project at the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Upgrades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Compressed Air</td>
<td>Many of the RP-4 treatment processes require compressed air. As a result, the facility contains multiple compressed air systems located throughout the facility. It is possible that savings could be achieved through centralizing or even downsizing the facility’s compressed air systems.</td>
<td>An audit of the facility’s compressed air system would be needed to assess the current infrastructure and determine if energy conservation measures are cost effective. This type of assessment could be achieved through a comprehensive energy audit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td>Optimization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Aeration Basin Upgrades</td>
<td>Aeration is an energy intensive process, as it requires significant continuous air flow. Energy conservation could be achieved at RP-4 by upgrading the existing aeration system to higher efficiency blowers or diffusers with higher oxygen transfer efficiency.</td>
<td>IEUA would need to evaluate potential replacement options, identify cost effectiveness of the new equipment, and adjust operation schedules accordingly to allow for aeration retrofits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Expand Solar Installation</td>
<td>The IERCF currently has 1 MW of solar panels installed on the roof of the composting building. There is additional space available for further arrays to be installed. The facility can evaluate the potential to expand the existing solar system using available roof space. Land space at RP-4 could also be utilized for additional arrays.</td>
<td>Any increase in solar generation at the facility would require a modified net metering agreement with SCE. Additionally, the facility is subject to departing load charges, which would decrease the cost effectiveness of the technology. A feasibility study will be conducted to determine the cost effectiveness of adding more solar panels to the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>RP-4 Electric Vehicle Charging Station</td>
<td>RP-4 can take advantage of its central location near major highways to install and operate an electric vehicle (EV) charging station powered by the on-site renewable installations. The station could be used by public and Agency fleet vehicles.</td>
<td>This project would require grant funding, as the charging station alone is not cost effective. The most viable route for project implementation would be as a component of a larger renewable energy project at the site or across Agency facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>IERCF Purchase Existing Solar Installations</td>
<td>The power generated from the 1 MW of solar panels on site is currently sold to IEUA through a PPA. IEUA is considering purchasing the panels at fair market value to eliminate future electricity costs from solar generation.</td>
<td>IEUA has inquired about the potential purchase with the current project owner. However, the owner must be willing to sell the arrays at a value that is cost effective for the Agency. IEUA will continue to work with the PPA parties on this evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Type</strong></td>
<td><strong>Name</strong></td>
<td><strong>Description</strong></td>
<td><strong>Feasibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Energy Storage Installations</td>
<td>Considering the facility load is highest during the middle of the day, when TOU pricing is highest from the IOU, RP-4/IERCF may benefit from the installation of energy storage technology to assist with load management. Storage could ensure that renewable installations could be used to charge batteries (or similar storage technology) outside of peak periods and then used on site when IOU rates are highest.</td>
<td>IEUA has received proposals from energy storage vendors and found that current technology is not cost effective at RP-4/IERCF. IEUA will continue to pursue the technology, as storage can provide invaluable management flexibility. The project may be viable with grant subsidization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Separate RP-4 and IERCF with two utility meters</td>
<td>RP-4 and IERCF operate independently of one another but share an electrical utility meter. Separating the sites into two metered facilities could improve resource management and renewable incentive opportunities.</td>
<td>IEUA has received cost estimates from SCE for metering the two facilities independently and found the project to be cost prohibitive. However, the cost effectiveness would be different since the switch to bundled service. Separating the facilities would also mean the elimination of departing load charges, but also reduced benefit from standby demand pricing. Further evaluation is required to determine the cost impact of this project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Increase Service Voltage</td>
<td>RP-4/IERCF currently operates on a 12 kV system. Facilities operating at service voltages above 50 kV can purchase electricity from SCE at tariffs that have lower transmission and generation rates.</td>
<td>Retrofitting the electrical distribution system at RP-4 and IERCF would require significant engineering and capital. A feasibility study would need to be conducted to evaluate the cost savings that could be achieved through such a project. Future cost savings would decrease with the implementation of additional renewable installations or energy storage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Project Forecasts**

Based on RP-4/IERCF’s high electrical demand, current generation capacity, and status as a bundled service customer, there are many opportunities to improve energy management at these sites. Cost effectiveness will be the main consideration when determining the feasibility of potential new projects. Available space can also be a limiting factor when considering expansion of the solar system.

As RP-4/IERCF is IEUA’s second largest user of electricity, IEUA will work with a third party energy consultant to conduct a comprehensive energy audit of the RP-4 and IERCF facilities once the RP-1 audit has been completed. Such an audit would be required to develop focused energy efficiency measures and reduce power consumption cost effectively.

RP-4/IERCF, as a bundled service customer, is an ideal candidate for energy management technologies that reduce load during peak periods. The load profile shows that IERCF’s peak usage coincides with SCE’s on-peak rates. Reducing imported electricity during these periods could result in savings from time-related generation and demand charges.

Improved HVAC controls could improve energy management and reduce the overall consumption across the facility during peak hours. IEUA staff intends to pursue the HVAC control technology for implementation at RP-4 and IERCF. Based on the project results, the technology could be used at other facilities as well.

Energy storage could have a large impact on load and cost management. As mentioned in Table 6, current storage technologies have proven cost prohibitive in IEUA’s BCEs. IEUA is pursuing grant opportunities that will utilize energy storage with existing or new renewable technologies. Implementing energy storage on site is considered a valuable asset that can improve energy management capabilities, reduce operating costs, and provide relief for the grid during peak periods.

Solar costs and land-use efficiency have changed considerably since IERCF entered into its PPA in 2008. As a result, there may be the potential to add up to 1 MW of additional capacity between IERCF and RP-4. IEUA will pursue proposals for new solar installations at each site.
CARBON CANYON WATER RECYCLING FACILITY

CCWRF is designed to treat an average of 11.4 MGD of wastewater flow. The treatment plant employs primary, secondary, and tertiary treatment to produce Title 22 compliant recycled water that is provided to end users. Biosolids removed from the treatment process are pumped to RP-2 for processing.

Facility Load

The average hourly electrical load for summer (June through September) and winter (December through February) months at CCWRF are shown on Figure 20. Imported electricity and solar generation are included in the load profiles. The figure shows energy consumption is 30 to 40 percent lower during winter months than summer months. Peak summer consumption is approximately 1,200 kW and peak winter consumption is approximately 820 kW.

The variation is due to the operation of CCWRF’s recycled water pumps. During the winter months in FY 13/14, the RW distribution system at CCWRF was under construction and did not operate. However, the operation of these pumps typically varies seasonally because RW direct usage is lower during winter months. When RW demand is low, IEUA is able to satisfy direct use customer needs through RP-1’s supply system. As a result, the CCWRF RW pump distribution system can be non-operational for weeks or months at a time. Therefore, despite the lack of pump station operation, the FY 13/14 facility load is considered characteristic of operations during the summer and winter months.

The CCWRF load profiles are unique in that two peak usage periods occur, one during the morning (between 8:00 and 9:00) and the other during the evening (20:00 to 24:00). During summer months, the daily electrical load varies based on recycled water pumping demand. The load profile shows peak usage around 1,200 kW at 10:00 and a minimum consumption of approximately 880 kW at 5:00. During winter months, when the recycled water pumps are typically non-operational, the electrical load is consistent during the evening, then peaks in the morning. Peak usage (approximately 820 kW) occurs between 8:00 and 10:00, and the facility load is at a minimum (approximately 560 kW) between 15:00 and 16:00.
FIGURE 20. FY 13/14 AVERAGE CCWRF LOAD DURING SUMMER AND WINTER MONTHS
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CCWRF receives electricity from two generation sources, as listed in Table 10. CCWRF purchases imported electricity through Direct Access at day-ahead market pricing. In FY 13/14, imported purchases accounted for approximately 83 percent of the total electricity consumed. The remaining consumption was generated from the solar array.

**Table 10. FY 13/14 CCWRF Electricity Procurement**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation Source</th>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Rate Type</th>
<th>Percentage of Facility Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imported</td>
<td>Direct Access</td>
<td>Market-priced</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar (625 kW)</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Fixed with annual escalator</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown on Figure 20, CCWRF’s winter power demand can drop below 600 kW in the afternoon. This demand could potentially be met with peak generation of the solar array, which would result in a small amount of power being exported. CCWRF does not currently have an export agreement with SCE. No export agreement will be pursued considering the infrequency and small amount of power that could be exported. However, if any additional distributed generation projects were installed at CCWRF, IEUA would need to enter into an export agreement with SCE.

**Demand Response**

CCWRF participates in the DR program through EnerNOC. During a DR event, CCWRF staff reduces operation of the recycled water pumps. The load reduction goal of 290 kW represents 24 percent of the overall Agency DR target. Due to the seasonal nature of CCWRF’s recycled water operations, IEUA’s ability to meet the reduction target varies. The DR program uses data from the ten working days immediately prior to a DR event to calculate the baseline for each DR event. If CCWRF did not utilize its recycled water pumps during these times, which is likely during winter months, then meeting a winter reduction goal at CCWRF is impossible.

In FY 13/14, CCWRF failed to reach 100 percent of its target in all six DR events and actually saw a load increase in two events. This was a result of the recycled water pumping system’s non-operation during reconstruction. The Agency’s total cumulative curtailment of 1,230 kW can be achieved through a combination of the enrolled facilities. In FY 13/14, RP-1 reduced recycled water pumping loads to
compensate for CCWRF's inability to drop load.

Energy forecast

Figure 21 shows the 20-year forecasted demand at CCWRF for the summer and winter months, respectively, based on the WFMP projections. The figure incorporates the expected renewable generation from the solar arrays, with expected performance degradation factored in. Flow projections at CCWRF are consistent with current operation, as the WFMP only predicts a cumulative increase of 0.1 MGD over the 20-year period. As such, there are no significant demand reduction projects expected at CCWRF. Existing equipment is expected to be capable of providing the treatment necessary to produce and distribute Title 22 quality water until at least 2034.

The red shaded area in each graph represents the facility demand exceeding the generation capabilities of the solar installation. There is no red hatched area on the figure because IEUA has not yet identified efficiency projects to reduce energy consumption. The seasonal difference in load at CCWRF is again apparent on Figure 21. Imported power demand during summer months ranges from approximately 560 kW in FY 14/15 to 660 kW in FY 33/34. The excess winter load fluctuates from approximately 130 kW in FY 14/15 to 220 kW in FY 33/34. The increase in these demands over the 20-year period is not a result of increased flow projections. Rather, it reflects the amount of imported electricity that is expected to increase each year because of the expected performance degradation of the solar arrays (estimated to be 1 percent annually).
CCWRF projections indicate a reliable demand over the next 20 years. The amount of imported electricity during winter months is not well-suited toward adding additional renewable power projects, as installations in the 100-200 kW range are typically cost prohibitive. Furthermore, expansion of the current solar system on site is infeasible due to a lack of available space. Should a cost-effective distributed generation project be identified, it would almost certainly require an export agreement with SCE.

Potential New Projects

Opportunities for further self-generation, energy management, and efficiency projects at CCWRF are limited due to the consistent electrical load, existing solar generation, and status as a Direct Access customer. Table 11 lists projects that have been considered for implementation at CCWRF and discusses the feasibility of each.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Feasibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Energy Audit</td>
<td>Third party energy service companies can conduct comprehensive energy audits that not only evaluate potential savings from equipment retrofits, but also process modifications that can result in higher operational efficiencies.</td>
<td>IEUA has seen benefits from past audits, but has never committed to comprehensive evaluations of each facility. The Energy Network offers a no-cost audit service designed to assist public agencies with these types of evaluations. This service could yield cost-saving measures at CCWRF.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Lighting Upgrades</td>
<td>CCWRF has indoor and outdoor lighting systems that can be replaced with low-usage LEDs or outfitted with controls to increase efficiency.</td>
<td>A comprehensive audit of the existing lighting infrastructure will be required to assess the potential savings and cost effectiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>Aeration Basin Upgrades</td>
<td>Aeration is an energy intensive process, as it requires significant continuous air flow. Energy conservation could be achieved by upgrading the existing aeration system to higher efficiency blowers or diffusers with higher oxygen transfer efficiency.</td>
<td>IEUA would need to evaluate potential replacement options, identify cost effectiveness of the new equipment, and adjust operation schedules accordingly to allow for aeration retrofits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Purchase Existing Solar Installations</td>
<td>The power generated from the 625 kW solar array is currently sold to IEUA through a PPA. IEUA is considering purchasing the panels at fair market value to eliminate future electricity costs from solar generation.</td>
<td>IEUA has inquired about the potential purchase with the current project owner. However, the owner must be willing to sell the arrays at a value that is cost effective for the Agency. IEUA will continue to work with the PPA parties on this evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Energy Storage Installations</td>
<td>CCWRF may benefit from the installation of energy storage technology to assist with load management. Storage could ensure that electricity purchases are minimized during peak periods and stored for later use on site when export would otherwise be required.</td>
<td>Load shifting, achieved through storing electricity during off-peak periods, has the potential to save on electricity costs by avoiding TOU demand charges. Current energy storage technology is not cost effective at CCWRF due to the facility's status as a DA customer. However, subsidization or modifying the DA rate could potentially yield a cost effective project. IEUA will continue to evaluate potential energy storage projects.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Demand Response Energy Storage Installation</td>
<td>Energy storage as a demand response tool is an innovative approach that is currently in initial stages of development. The project would involve a third party installing battery storage at host sites that could be used by IOUs for demand response during periods of peak consumption a portion of the time, and by the host site for peak shaving at other times. Capital expenditures for the storage installations would be covered by the third party.</td>
<td>IEUA has been approached by a third party to develop Demand Response Energy Storage projects at Agency facilities. The lack of capital costs and benefit of load flexibility and cost savings are attractive. CCWRF would likely need to switch to bundled service to take advantage of peak period cost savings. IEUA will evaluate the potential agreement to determine the project's impact on the Agency's existing infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Forecasts

IEUA's ability to install new renewable energy projects at CCWRF is limited by available land and low import demand. In the near term, IEUA will focus on energy efficiency projects to optimize the treatment process and minimize the electrical demand. IEUA will work with a third party energy consultant to conduct a comprehensive energy audit of CCWRF that will identify potential efficiency projects.
REGIONAL PLANT NO. 2

RP-2 has been in operation since 1960. Originally designed to treat both liquids and solids, the facility has exclusively treated biosolids since 2002. At RP-2, all solids removed from RP-5 and CCWRF are thickened and digested. RP-2 contains digesters and dewatering facilities that generate renewable digester gas from the solids that have been removed during the liquids phase wastewater treatment process.

RP-2 is operated under a lease with the United States Army Corps of Engineers. With the lease term set to expire in 2035, IEUA plans to remove RP-2 from service within the next eight to ten years and relocate the solids processing to RP-5. As a result, the energy management opportunities at RP-2 are limited to projects with short payback periods without significant infrastructure.

Gas Production

RP-2 has three anaerobic digesters in operation and an aerobic digester that is only put in service during emergencies. In FY 13/14, the digestion operation produced an average of 160 standard cubic feet per minute (scfm) of digester gas, or approximately 229,100 scf per day. The acid phase gas, which is the product of the first phase of mesophilic digestion, cannot be consumed in the boiler or cogeneration engine due to its low quality. Unlike RP-1, RP-2's acid phase gas is not continuously flared. The acid phase gas is sent to gas mixers and injected into the second phase of the digestion system. After assisting with solids mixing, the acid phase gas is combined with the digester gas from the second phase and is combusted as needed in RP-2's boilers, engine, or flare. The acid phase gas can also be sent directly to the flare if operating pressures of the second phase digesters are too high.

The heating value of the digester gas typically measures between 550 and 625 Btu/scf. The preferred destination for this gas is RP-2's 580 kW cogeneration engine. This ICE is operated and maintained by IEUA staff on site. In FY 13/14, the ICE consumed an average of 116 scfm. The ICE is subject to SCAQMD Rule 1110.2, which requires that stationary digester gas-fueled engines meet stringent emissions limits by January 1, 2016 for VOC, nitrogen oxides (NOx), and carbon monoxide (CO). While there has been discussion of extending this implementation deadline to January 1, 2017, no rule language has been promulgated indicating as
such. Retrofitting the RP-2 ICE with sufficient emissions control technology to meet these standards would prove to be cost prohibitive. Therefore, IEUA plans to remove the ICE from service by the end of the 2015 calendar year.

RP-2's hot water boilers are the second option for the digester gas. The boilers are required to produce heat for the digestion process, and are capable of operating on either digester gas or natural gas, but not a blend. The boilers are operated on digester gas when production is high enough to operate both the ICE and boiler, or when the ICE is down for maintenance. When the digester gas production exceeds both the ICE and boiler demands, the excess digester gas is combusted in the flare.

**Facility Load**

The average hourly electrical load at RP-2 for summer (June through September) and winter (December through February) months are shown on Figure 22. Imported electricity and ICE generation are included on these two load profiles. The figure shows a slight reduction in overall load during summer, with peak consumption around 180 kW in summer and approximately 165 kW during winter. The load at RP-2 is so low that approximately 40 percent of the electricity generated from the ICE was used on site in FY 13/14, while 60 percent was exported.
FIGURE 22. FY 13/14 AVERAGE RP-2 LOAD PROFILE DURING SUMMER AND WINTER MONTHS
Figure 22 also shows that imported electricity consumption is much higher at RP-2 during summer months. RP-2 has two electrical meters with SCE. One meter serves the control room building, which typically imports electricity to power the lighting and HVAC needs of the building. The second meter services the rest of the treatment plant. With the two meter configuration, the ICE may be exporting power while RP-2 still receives imported electricity for the control room needs. Imported electricity is significantly lower during winter months, when the HVAC system is not operated as frequently.

**Electricity Procurement**

Table 12 lists the two sources of electricity at RP-2. Imported electricity purchases are obtained through general bundled service with SCE. The cost of the electricity generated by the ICE is determined by the average O&M costs IEUA spends to keep the ICE in operation divided by the total electricity produced. Electricity costs generated by the ICE have historically been estimated at $0.08/kWh. The compensation that IEUA receives for exported power fluctuates each month. In FY 13/14, SCE paid IEUA an average of $0.052/kWh for electricity exported from RP-2.

**TABLE 12. FY 13/14 RP-2 ELECTRICITY PROCUREMENT**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation Source</th>
<th>Service</th>
<th>Rate</th>
<th>Percentage of Facility Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imported</td>
<td>Bundled</td>
<td>General Service</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE (580 kW)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>O&amp;M Costs</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In FY 13/14, on-site generation accounted for 78 percent of the total facility load. As expected with the engine size, the amount of electricity generated on site regularly exceeds RP-2's total consumption. However, power generated by the ICE cannot be used in the control room building due to the separate utility metering. As a result, 22 percent of RP-2's power needs are met through importing electricity.
Demand Response

RP-2 participates in the DR program through EnerNOC. During a DR event, RP-2 staff is tasked with reducing the facility load by 10 kW, a nominal value that was selected in order to include RP-2 in the Agency's DR portfolio. This drop in load represents less than one percent of the overall load reduction target of 1,230 kW that IEUA has agreed to across all Agency facilities. In FY 13/14, RP-2 exceeded 100 percent of its target in two of the six DR events and averaged a load reduction of 6 kW per event.

RP-2 could contribute additional load during demand response events in the form of exported power. Increasing the ICE output could have the same grid effect as dropping load at the facility, but exported power is not compensated in the current DR program. If the DR program were to incentivize power that is exported above the facility's baseline, IEUA could temporarily increase ICE load to maximize the power output.

Energy forecast

Figure 23 shows the 20-year energy forecast for RP-2. There are no significant energy demand projects planned for the facility because the solids processing is expected to be relocated within ten years. Figure 23 incorporates the expected renewable generation from the ICE through December 2015. The demand growth is assumed to be proportional to expected flow increases at CCWRF and RP-5.

The blue shaded area in each figure represents the anticipated generation from the RP-2 ICE, which exceeds the RP-2 demand when operational. With the ICE operation terminated by the end of 2015, RP-2 will import electricity for all of its power needs beginning in 2016. The maximum summer load of approximately 180 kW occurs in FY 23/24, RP-2's expected final year of operation. The maximum winter load of approximately 170 kW occurs in FY 23/24 also. RP-2 is expected to remain in full operation until the solids processing operation is complete and active at RP-5.
Despite the ICE shutdown at the end of 2015, RP-2 will continue to produce digester gas. In FY 13/14, the treatment plant produced an average of 160 scfm of digester gas. Figure 24 charts RP-2’s anticipated gas production based on expected flow increases to RP-5 and CCWRF. The projected ICE gas usage is shown in dark blue. Once the ICE is shut down, digester gas will primarily be consumed by the boiler. Boiler gas usage, shown in light blue, is estimated to meet the average heat demand of the facility beginning in 2016. RP-2’s digestion process does not require the amount of heat generated by the boilers operating at full load, so there will be a portion of digester gas combusted in the flare as well.

**FIGURE 24. RP-2 20-YEAR GAS PRODUCTION FORECAST**

RP-2 is in a unique position as a generator of renewable digester gas as it will no longer be able to operate the existing ICE due to environmental restrictions. IEUA's Business Goals identify the need to beneficially use digester gas and strive toward energy independence during peak periods. However, these goals must be achieved cost effectively. New self-generation projects are difficult to justify for a facility that is only expected to be in operation for an additional eight to nine years.

**Potential New Projects**

RP-2’s digester gas production offers several opportunities for distributed generation and efficiency projects, as shown in Table 13.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Feasibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operational</td>
<td>Lighting Upgrades</td>
<td>RP-2 has many indoor lighting systems that can be replaced with low-usage LEDs or outfitted with controls to increase efficiency.</td>
<td>It is likely that lighting upgrades or retrofits would only be pursued if they carried a short payback and did not require significant work for staff. An audit of existing lighting infrastructure will be required to assess the potential savings and cost effectiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Install Post-Combustion Control on ICE</td>
<td>This project would take advantage of the existing ICE by installing a gas conditioning system upstream of the ICE and emissions control technology downstream. Currently, only selective reduction catalyst (SCR) systems coupled with catalytic oxidizers have been proven to be effective at reducing emissions low enough to meet the 2016 emissions limits imposed by AQMD Rule 1110.2.</td>
<td>The facility layout, gas quality, and engine size all present complications when considering an SCR/catalytic oxidizer installation for the RP-2 engine. IEUA received proposals for such installations in the past and determined that the project would be cost prohibitive. Alternative control technologies are currently being demonstrated on digester gas-fueled engines, but nothing has yet proven to be effective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Fuel Cell Installation</td>
<td>Once the ICE is shut down at the end of 2015, IEUA could install a digester gas fuel cell to generate heat and power from the gas produced on site. Based on proposals provided, a 300 kW fuel cell would be the optimal size for operation at RP-2. Emissions from the fuel cell would be low enough that they would not be regulated by the SCAQMD.</td>
<td>IEUA received a proposal for a 300 kW fuel cell installation. The estimate was determined to be cost prohibitive, even with government incentives. The technology would also be very difficult to relocate once the solids processing is moved to RP-5. This project was determined to be infeasible for implementation at RP-2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Microturbine Installation</td>
<td>This project would replace the cogeneration engine with a 600 kW microturbine and gas conditioning system. The microturbine would not be subject to emissions limitations under SCAQMD Rule 1110.2, and could utilize all of the gas produced by the facility to generate electricity and heat.</td>
<td>IEUA received a proposal for a 600 kW microturbine installation and is currently evaluating the feasibility of the project. Installation of the microturbine and gas conditioning systems would be designed with the intent of relocating after 7 to 8 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Installation</td>
<td>IEUA staff has considered converting the biogas to CNG to be used in the Agency's fleet vehicles. Such a project would require retrofit of the fleet vehicles to operate on CNG. Based on the amount of digester gas available, RP-2 would generate enough CNG to develop a fueling station on site.</td>
<td>Although digester gas utilization is preferred, developing a CNG fueling station does not necessarily fit within IEUA's typical operations. Furthermore, relocating a fueling station would carry significant costs and difficulties. This project is currently infeasible at RP-2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Natural Gas Pipeline Injection</td>
<td>This project would require conditioning the digester gas produced at the facility to a quality sufficient for direct injection into the Southern California Gas Company's (SCGC) pipeline. Significant sampling and recordkeeping would be required to document the gas quality. Costs of pipeline injection include gas conditioning, interconnection, and ongoing maintenance costs.</td>
<td>SCGC previously provided a biomethane injection evaluation to POTWs. Injection costs were considered so costly that only treatment plants consistently producing in excess of 1,000 scfm of digester gas were incentivized. As a result, IEUA facilities did not qualify for the proposed project. However, several grant opportunities are being considered, so IEUA will continue to evaluate this option.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Project Forecasts**

The relocation of solids processing from RP-2 to RP-5 within the next ten years eliminates many energy projects from consideration. Efficiency projects with short paybacks may be warranted, but the introduction of large pieces of equipment is difficult to justify. Removing the cogeneration engine from service by the end of December 2015 complicates RP-2's energy forecast. RP-2 will continue to generate renewable biogas with valuable energy content, but investing capital into a facility expecting to cease operation within ten years has limited value.

IEUA evaluated several projects that could utilize RP-2's existing digester gas production and comply with the stringent air quality regulations. Of the projects identified, installation of a microturbine appears to be the most feasible based on cost and portability. However, project success would rely on meeting strict schedules and budgets. Deviating from either could drastically affect the cost effectiveness of the microturbine installation. IEUA will consider all aspects of this project before determining whether to invest in the technology.
REGIONAL PLANT NO. 5 AND IEUA HEADQUARTERS

RP-5 is designed to treat an average of 15 MGD of wastewater flow. The treatment plant employs primary, secondary, and tertiary treatment to produce Title 22 compliant recycled water that is provided to direct use end users. Biosolids removed from the RP-5 treatment process are pumped to RP-2 for thickening, digestion, and dewatering.

RP-5 SHF is located adjacent to the RP-5 treatment plant and is designed to process up to 705 tons per day of food waste and dairy manure. IEUA currently leases the RP-5 SHF property and equipment to Inland Bioenergy, LLC (IBE). IBE operates and maintains the facility with the goal of producing sufficient biogas to operate two 1.5 MW cogeneration engines. IEUA has the option to purchase all of the power purchased by the engines. Any excess power produced will be exported to SCE. Currently, RP-5 SHF only processes food waste in two anaerobic digesters. The first cogeneration engine began to produce power in February 2015. Currently, IBE plans to operate only one ICE at any time.

IEUA’s two Headquarters (HQ) buildings are located directly west of the treatment plant. The electricity used at the buildings and Central Plant (designed for heating and cooling the HQ) is metered with the same utility meter as RP-5. For this reason, energy efficiency projects considered for implementation in the HQ buildings and Central Plant will be considered with RP-5.

Facility Load

The average hourly electrical load for summer (June through September) and winter (December through February) at RP-5 are shown on Figure 25. Imported electricity and solar generation are included in the load profiles. The figure shows a slight reduction in average load during winter, with peak consumption at approximately 2.0 MW in summer and approximately 1.7 MW in the winter. The load reduction during winter months is a result of reduced HVAC operation and recycled water pumping. The generated solar electricity also varies between the two seasons, as generation increases in summer months, which have more sunlight hours each day than winter months.
FIGURE 25. FY 13/14 AVERAGE RP-5 LOAD DURING SUMMER AND WINTER MONTHS
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Figure 25 also shows that total electricity consumption is fairly steady throughout the day with the exception of a peak between the hours of 6:00 and 8:00 and a drop in consumption between 16:00 and 18:00. The variability in overall electrical consumption is more dramatic in summer months, as the average load fluctuates between 1,200 – 2,000 kW. In winter months, the average load varies between 1,400 – 1,700 kW. The amount of electricity imported is expected to decrease in FY 14/15 with the introduction of the cogeneration engines at RP-5 SHF.

Figure 25 includes electricity used by the recycled water pumps. These pumping demands were not included in previous sections to illustrate IEUA’s increasing power requirements in distributing recycled water. However, these demands must be included when considering the overall facility load because they influence the power procurement and self-generation opportunities that IEUA can pursue.

Electricity Procurement

RP-5 receives electricity from the mix of generation sources listed in Table 14. Electricity imported to RP-5 is procured through bundled service with SCE. RP-5 also utilizes two distributed generation sources in addition to SCE import. The combination of 3 MW from the ICEs and the existing 1 MW of generation from the solar array results in a renewable generation capacity that exceeds the typical facility load. SCE required IEUA to obtain an interconnection agreement through the RES-BCT program, which compensates exported electricity through bill credits at other Agency facilities that are on bundled service. RP-5 distributed generation projects began to export power in March 2015.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation Source</th>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Rate Type</th>
<th>Percentage of Facility Load</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imported</td>
<td>Bundled</td>
<td>TOU-8-B Standby</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar (1 MW)</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Fixed with annual escalator</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICEs (3 MW)</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>89% of equivalent import cost</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IEUA did not purchase power from IBE in FY 13/14 because the ICEs were not yet operational. The procurement rate under the PPA with IBE allows IEUA to purchase the electricity generated by the engines at a rate equal to 89 percent of what IEUA would have otherwise paid SCE. A third-party energy service contractor developed the appropriate tariff structure for power generated from the engines.
The contractor will also annually reconcile the billing to ensure that the PPA provisions are met.

**Demand Response**

RP-5 participates in the SCE DR program through EnerNOC. During a DR event, RP-5 staff reduce operation of the recycled water pumps. The RP-5 reduction target of 50 kW represents 4 percent of the Agency’s DR obligation. Achieving the DR target is difficult during winter months because RW pumping and demands are already reduced. In FY 13/14, RP-5 participated in four summer DR events and two winter DR events. During the summer events, RP-5 reached 100 percent of its target in all four events and averaged load reductions of 349 kW. However, during the winter events, RP-5’s load increased by an average of 186 kW. Due to the seasonal demand variations, it is unlikely that IEUA will commit to additional load reduction measures.

If the ICEs are operated at full load, RP-5 will likely consistently export to the grid. Under this scenario, RP-5’s participation in the DR program would be minimal because reductions could not be achieved from a facility with no appreciable load. Until the operational nature of the ICEs is known, RP-5’s DR contribution will remain static.

**Energy forecast**

Figure 26 shows 20 years of forecasted average demands at RP-5 for the summer and winter months, based on the WFMP projections. The figure includes the expected renewable generation from the cogeneration engines and solar array including expected solar performance degradation. Although the engines have a combined capacity of 3 MW, their actual generation is limited by RP-5 SHF’s digester gas production from the food waste feedstock available for processing. Because RP-5 SHF has yet to achieve full operation, this plan assumes a consistent output of 500 kW at the end of FY 14/15 and an increase of 500 kW every two years thereafter until reaching a maximum sustained generation of 1.5 MW in FY 18/19.

The energy demand growth at RP-5 is proportional to an expected flow increase of approximately 1 percent each year. TYCIP and WFMP projects expected to affect the power demand were included in the forecast. The relocation of RP-2 solids processing is expected to be completed in FY 23/24. This project is expected to
increase the facility load by over 50 percent. There are currently no energy reduction projects planned at RP-5.

Figure 26 shows that the peak energy demand at RP-5 does not have much seasonal difference. The red shaded area in the two graphs represents the facility demand exceeding the generation capabilities of the solar and cogeneration installations. Assuming the food waste digestion project is capable of generating approximately 800 kW, RP-5’s renewable portfolio is expected to result in continuous energy export. Once RP-5 is expanded to include RP-2’s solids processing, the facility load is expected to exceed the energy generated on site.
**Potential New Projects**

RP-5's imported electricity consumption depends heavily on the success of IEUA's food waste digestion project with IBE. With no generation from the engines, RP-5 will continue to import electricity and be subject to high SCE demand charges during peak periods. If the engines consistently produce more than 800 kW, RP-5 will likely become a continuous exporter of electricity.

Due to the high variability of RP-5's energy forecast, RP-5 would be best served by taking a cautious approach to new energy projects. Potential projects will also be evaluated as part of the RP-5 expansion and RP-2 relocation Pre-design Reports. Cost effective efficiency measures are most likely to be implemented in the short term. Table 15 outlines projects that have been considered for implementation at RP-5 and discusses the feasibility of each.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Feasibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>Energy Audit</td>
<td>Third party energy service companies can conduct comprehensive energy audits that not only evaluate potential savings from equipment retrofits, but also process modifications that can result in higher operational efficiencies.</td>
<td>IEUA has seen benefits from past audits, but has never committed to comprehensive evaluations of each facility. The Energy Network offers a no-cost audit service designed to assist public agencies with these types of evaluations. This service could yield cost-saving measures at RP-5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>RP-5/HQ Lighting Upgrades</td>
<td>RP-5 and HQ have extensive indoor and outdoor lighting systems that can be replaced with low-usage LEDs or outfitted with controls to increase efficiency. IEUA HQ buildings were designed as a LEED Platinum building, which required a level of lighting efficiency measures. However, a retrofit to LED technology could result in more energy savings.</td>
<td>A comprehensive audit of the existing lighting infrastructure will be required to assess the potential savings and cost effectiveness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>HQ Central Plant Improvements</td>
<td>The heating and cooling for HQ is achieved through a central plant located at RP-5. Improving the efficiency of the plant through retrofits, controls, or modified operation could result in energy savings.</td>
<td>A project focusing on improving the reliability of the central plant was completed in 2014. As part of this project, a new, efficient electric chiller was installed. Due to the recent investment, retrofits are not likely to be considered, but an evaluation of the current operation is recommended to determine if the process can be optimized.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>RP-5 Compressed Air Optimization</td>
<td>Many of the RP-5 treatment processes require compressed air. The facility contains multiple compressed air systems located throughout the facility. It is possible that energy savings could be achieved through optimizing the facility's compressed air systems.</td>
<td>An audit of the facility's compressed air system would be needed to assess the current infrastructure and determine if energy conservation measures are cost effective. This type of assessment could be achieved through a comprehensive energy audit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>RP-5 Aeration Basin Upgrades</td>
<td>Aeration is an energy intensive process, as it requires significant continuous air flow. Energy conservation could be achieved by upgrading the existing aeration system to higher efficiency blowers or diffusers with higher oxygen transfer efficiency.</td>
<td>IEUA would need to evaluate potential replacement options, identify cost effectiveness of the new equipment, and adjust operation schedules accordingly to allow for aeration retrofits.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>RP-5 Decrease Solar Installation</td>
<td>RP-5 currently has 1 MW of solar panels installed on the southwest portion of the facility, covering nearly 10 acres of land. With the re-location of solids processing to RP-5, land use is expected to be a concern when designing the plant modifications. An understanding of IEUA's options to remove or relocate a portion of the solar panels would be beneficial prior to project design.</td>
<td>Because the solar array is owned by a third party, IEUA will need to coordinate with the PPA provider to determine the feasibility of removing or relocating the panels. An option to retrofit existing panels with new, more efficient panels with smaller footprints should also be explored.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>HQ Electric Vehicle Charging Stations</td>
<td>IEUA HQ is already equipped with several EV charging stations that can be used by public vehicles. IEUA can take advantage of the increased renewable energy by installing more EV charging stations powered by RP-5's distributed generation projects. Retrofitting the Agency vehicle fleet to EVs would also result in GHG reductions.</td>
<td>This project would require grant funding, as the charging station alone is not cost effective. The most viable route for project implementation would be as a component of a larger renewable energy project at the site or across Agency facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Feasibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>RP-5 Purchase Existing Solar Installations</td>
<td>The power generated from the 1 MW of solar panels on site is currently sold to IEUA through a PPA. IEUA is considering purchasing the panels at fair market value to eliminate future electricity costs from solar generation. Ownership of the solar panels would also expand the Agency's options once solids processing is relocated to RP-5.</td>
<td>IEUA has inquired about the potential purchase with the current project owner. However, the owner must be willing to sell the arrays at a value that is cost effective for the Agency. IEUA will continue to work with the PPA parties on this evaluation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>RP-5 Energy Storage Installations</td>
<td>As a bundled service facility, RP-5 may benefit from the installation of energy storage technology to assist with load management. Storage could ensure that renewable installations could be used to charge batteries (or similar storage technology) outside of peak periods and then used on site when IOU rates are highest.</td>
<td>IEUA has received proposals from energy storage vendors and found that current technology is not cost effective at RP-5. IEUA will continue to pursue the technology, as storage can provide invaluable management flexibility. The project may be viable with grant subsidization.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>RP-5 Demand Response Energy Storage Installation</td>
<td>Energy storage as a demand response tool is an innovative approach that is currently in initial stages of development. The project would involve a third party installing battery storage at host sites that could be used by IOUs for demand response during periods of peak consumption a portion of the time, and by the host site for peak shaving at other times. Capital expenditures for the storage installations would be covered by the third party.</td>
<td>IEUA has been approached by a third party to develop Demand Response Energy Storage projects at Agency facilities. The lack of capital costs and benefit of load flexibility and cost savings are attractive. IEUA will evaluate the potential agreement to determine the project's impact on the Agency's existing infrastructure.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Forecasts

Forecasting the energy future of RP-5 is difficult with the uncertainty surrounding the food waste digestion project at RP-5 SHF. The cogeneration engines fueled by the digester gas from RP-5 SHF are rated at 3 MW. The facility has secured an interconnection agreement with SCE that compensates the Agency for exported power, but the food waste project has yet to prove that sustained operation.

Projects focusing on energy efficiency and load flexibility should yield positive results regardless of the food waste digestion project’s success. IEUA will work with a third party energy consultant to conduct a comprehensive energy audit of the RP-5 and HQ facilities to develop energy efficiency measures and reduce power consumption cost effectively.

As a bundled service customer with distributed generation, RP-5 is an ideal candidate for energy storage that could reduce utility costs during peak periods and optimize load management. Pursuing the Demand Response Energy Storage project could improve RP-5’s resource flexibility and lower utility bills without committing capital outlay.

The relocation of RP-2’s solids processing and RP-5 expansion will significantly impact RP-5’s infrastructure and energy profile. The pre-design phase of the relocation project is expected to begin in July 2015. Given the large area currently dedicated to the solar array, IEUA will evaluate the available options for modifying the array if the land is needed for new solids processing equipment.
ALL IEUA FACILITIES

Overall, IEUA has the capacity to treat an average of 84.4 MGD of wastewater flow. In FY 13/14, the RP-1, RP-4, RP-5, and CCWRF combined to produce 38,252 AF of Title 22-compliant recycled water for indirect reuse and groundwater recharge and the biosolids processed at RP-1 and RP-2 accounted for approximately 36 percent of the 147,800 wet tons of biosolids composted at the IERCF. In addition to the treatment plants and composting facility, electrical consumption from the pump stations and GWR facilities are included in this section as well.

**Gas Production**

IEUA generates renewable digester gas from solids processing at RP-1 and RP-2. In FY 13/14, the two facilities combined to produce over 375 million cubic feet of biogas at an average of 715 scfm. One of the Agency’s energy goals is to effectively manage the renewable digester gas by maximizing its beneficial use. Figure 27 categorizes the gas consumption at IEUA facilities in FY 13/14.

**FIGURE 27. FY 13/14 DIGESTER GAS CONSUMPTION BY EQUIPMENT**
In FY 13/14, over half of the digester gas produced by IEUA facilities was flared. Ideally, the flares at RP-1 and RP-2 should be utilized as emergency relief valves for the gas loop, rather than serving as the primary consumer. However, the amount of gas flare in FY 13/14 was largely due to the complications with the fuel cell’s gas conditioning system. Because the gas conditioning system was unable to sufficiently treat the digester gas for consumption in the fuel cell, the fuel cell operated strictly on natural gas during the majority of the year. At RP-2, the ICE proved more reliable as a consumer of digester gas. In FY 13/14, only 34 percent of the digester gas produced at RP-2 was flared, while 58 percent of RP-1’s gas was consumed by the flare. Predicting the Agency’s gas consumption with full fuel cell operation will be shown in the Energy Forecast section of this plan.

**Agency Load**

The average hourly electrical load for summer (June through September) and winter (December through February) months at all IEUA facilities are shown on Figure 28. Imported electricity, energy reductions, and generation from solar, wind, fuel cell, and ICE installations are included on these two load profiles. Beginning in 2016, the RP-2 ICE will no longer operate, but the two 1.5 MW ICEs at RP-5 SHF are expected to be operational. The figure shows an average load reduction of 1.1 MW during colder months. Peak consumption is around 11,300 kW in summer and approximately 9,800 kW in the winter. In FY 13/14, on average, approximately 68 percent of the Agency’s summer load was imported from the grid, and approximately 72 percent of the electricity consumed during winter months was imported. During peak periods, imported electricity accounted for 62 percent and 70 percent, respectively.
FIGURE 28. FY 13/14 AVERAGE IEUA LOAD PROFILE DURING SUMMER AND WINTER MONTHS
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Electricity Procurement

IEUA’s diverse generation portfolio results in a number of procurement strategies and sources. Table 16 lists the various sources of generation that provide power to the Agency. The fuel cell costs in Table 16 include IEUA’s natural gas costs that are required for the fuel cell operation. The cost of the electricity generated by the cogeneration engines is determined by the average O&M costs IEUA spends to keep the ICE in operation. These costs have historically been estimated at $0.08/kWh.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generation Source</th>
<th>Service Type</th>
<th>Rate Type</th>
<th>Percentage of Overall Load</th>
<th>Percentage of Overall Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Imported</td>
<td>Bundled</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imported</td>
<td>Direct Access</td>
<td>Market-prices</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>45.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel Cell (2.8 MW)*</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Fixed with annual escalator</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>22.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar (3.5 MW)</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Fixed with annual escalator</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind (1 MW)</td>
<td>PPA</td>
<td>Fixed with annual escalator</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICE (0.58 MW)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>O&amp;M Costs</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes IEUA’s natural gas costs for fuel cell operation.

In FY 13/14, on-site generation accounted for 31 percent of the total facility load and 33 percent of the Agency’s electrical costs. The load from each generation source only includes electricity. Thermal generation from the fuel cell and cogeneration engine is not included in this table. The table shows that the fuel cell electricity costs are the most expensive generation sources in IEUA’s portfolio. It is likely that the proportionate cost of power generated from the fuel cell will decrease in future years because the PPA’s annual escalation rate is lower than historically averaged imported rate increases.

Demand Response

IEUA participates in the DR program through EnerNOC. During a DR event, IEUA staff is tasked with reducing the overall Agency load by 1,230 kW. In FY 13/14, IEUA exceeded 100 percent of its target in three of the six DR events and averaged
a load reduction of 1,355 kW per event. IEUA's ability to meet its reduction target depended heavily on the time of year. Over the four warm weather DR events, IEUA facilities averaged a load reduction of 1,619 kW per event. Over two events during colder months, the average load reduction was 828 kW. The difference is reduction ability is due to the seasonal RW pumping demands to which IEUA is subject.

IEUA will further refine its demand response capabilities by evaluating the treatment processes that can be turned off during DR events. In coordination with Operations staff, IEUA's Energy Management group will use the sub-metering data to quantify the load required for each process, then formulate a DR plan that details which processes can be called upon for load reductions throughout the year. Seasonal variation will be avoided to the extent possible to ensure that DR load reduction targets can be reliably met.
For the time being, IEUA’s inability to meet its DR reduction targets during colder months means that the Agency is unlikely to increase the target in the next DR contract. However, if SCE would consider compensating facilities for increased export during DR events, IEUA could optimize its renewable resources to increase the load available to the grid during demand response events.

IEUA will also evaluate Demand Response Energy Storage projects at several facilities. Using energy storage to reduce grid demand is a favorable alternative to taking facility processes offline. Stored electricity not used for grid dispatches can then be used for peak shaving, resulting in cost savings for the Agency. The proposed concept would also allow for more frequent demand response events, which means the IOU would benefit as well.

**Energy forecast**

Figure 29 shows the 20-year energy forecast for the treatment plants, pump stations, and composting facility. Energy efficiency projects currently planned are anticipated to reduce peak consumption by approximately 875 kW. On site renewable generation is expected to account for a minimum of 49 percent of the Agency’s load in FY 33/34, and a maximum of 72 percent of Agency load in FY 18/19.

The difference between summer and winter demand ranges from 830 kW to 920 kW. The minimum Agency load over the next 20 years is expected to occur in the winter of FY 14/15. In both summer and winter forecasts, the Agency demand is expected to increase by approximately 4 MW over the course of the next 20 years, with the largest demand increases coinciding with the solids expansion and MBR installation projects.
Gas production forecasts for the entire agency are shown on Figure 30. The figure estimates changes in gas production at RP-1, RP-2, and RP-5, as well as anticipated gas usages in the RP-1 fuel cell, RP-2 engine, and RP-2 boiler. The relocation of RP-2's solids handling process to RP-5 will result in the removal of the RP-2 boiler. However, because the heat demand is not expected to change with the solids handling relocation, an equivalently sized boiler is expected to begin operation at RP-5. For this forecast, the RP-2 and RP-5 boilers are estimated to use the same amount of digester gas.

The dark red shaded area on the figure shows the average digester gas production that exceeds the needs of the digester gas-consuming equipment on site. IEUA will evaluate potential projects that can utilize this digester gas beneficially in order to minimize flaring and optimize renewable resources.

**Potential New Projects**

This EMP has presented and assessed the feasibility of potential new projects at each facility. Table 17 summarizes the potential projects considered to be feasible based on available resources, facility load, and cost effectiveness. The projects listed in this table will be evaluated further for implementation at IEUA’s facilities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Project Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Path to Implementation</th>
<th>Estimated Budget</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Comprehensive Energy Audits</td>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>Third party energy service companies can conduct comprehensive energy audits that not only evaluate potential savings from equipment retrofits, but also process modifications that can result in higher operational efficiencies.</td>
<td>IEUA has signed up with The Energy Network and initiated the audit process in February 2015. IEUA engineering, operations, maintenance, and planning staff will coordinate efforts with The Energy Network to identify cost-effective energy conservation measures that can be implemented at each facility.</td>
<td>None (measures identified in audits will require future funding)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Lighting Upgrades</td>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>All IEUA facilities can benefit from lighting retrofits and increased controls. A preliminary evaluation showed that retrofitting indoor and outdoor lighting systems with LEDs could reduce demand by over 550 kW and yield a payback of five years or less.</td>
<td>An audit of existing lighting infrastructure will be required to assess the potential areas of retrofit and/or control and identify the optimal equipment. Lighting efficiency will be a priority of the audits conducted by The Energy Network.</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Purchase Existing Solar Installations</td>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>All of the existing solar arrays at IEUA are owned and maintained by a third party. If IEUA would like to purchase the arrays at fair market value in order to terminate ongoing costs of purchasing the power generated by the solar systems.</td>
<td>IEUA inquired with the PPA provider regarding a potential purchase of the arrays, but has not received any proposals. IEUA staff will continue to reach out to the PPA provider to pursue the purchase option. Once a proposal is received, IEUA will perform a cost-benefit analysis to determine if the arrays will be purchased.</td>
<td>$7,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Path to Implementation</td>
<td>Estimated Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Install 5 MW Solar Array</td>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>SCE's RES-BCT program allows for exported electricity from renewable energy projects to act as credits on other accounts held by the same organization. This project would involve the installation of a solar array at one IEUA facility that could export enough electricity to offset utility costs at IEUA's other facilities.</td>
<td>IEUA will evaluate potential sites that can accommodate large solar arrays. Following site selection, a request for proposals will be issued to solar energy providers. A Business Case Evaluation will be conducted to determine the feasibility of the proposals received.</td>
<td>$20,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-1, RP-5, and CCWRF</td>
<td>Demand Response Energy Storage Installation</td>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>The DRES project would involve a third party installing battery storage at IEUA facilities (at no cost to IEUA) that could be used by IOUs for demand response during periods of peak consumption a portion of the time, and by the host site for peak shaving at other times.</td>
<td>IEUA entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with a third party to develop DRES projects at IEUA facilities. IEUA planning, engineering, maintenance, and contract services staff will collaborate to develop an agreement for a DRES project that meets IEUA's needs.</td>
<td>None (staff time only)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-1</td>
<td>Digester Gas Mixing</td>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>Acid phase gas produced at RP-1 is currently directed to the flare. Projects utilizing the gas for beneficial use have shown to be cost prohibitive. An evaluation will be conducted to determine the most cost efficient way to mix the acid phase gas with the digester gas loop so that all of the gas produced at RP-1 is beneficially used. The project could involve gas storage.</td>
<td>IEUA engineering, planning, operations, and technical services staff will collaborate to identify several options designed to incorporate the acid phase gas into the digester gas loop. Once all options have been assembled, a business case evaluation will be conducted to determine the cost effectiveness and operational feasibility of each option.</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Path to Implementation</td>
<td>Estimated Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-4/IERCF</td>
<td>HVAC Controls and Upgrades</td>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>RP-4 and IERCF have many buildings that use HVAC units for climate control. Many of these units can be upgraded to more efficient models or outfitted with controls that limit HVAC operation to non-peak periods.</td>
<td>An assessment of RP-4's existing HVAC units is underway to identify equipment that can be replaced. IEUA has met with a vendor to supply controls designed to limit HVAC operation to non-peak periods. IEUA will continue to work with the vendor to pursue implementation at RP-4 and IERCF.</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-4/IERCF</td>
<td>Expand Solar Installation</td>
<td>Operational Efficiency</td>
<td>The power generated from the 1 MW of solar panels on site is currently sold to IEUA through a PPA. IEUA is considering installing additional panels on the roof of IERCF or on available land at RP-4 to expand the solar generation capacity.</td>
<td>IEUA will consult with vendors to determine the potential generation capacity that could be achieved with the available land use. Following this consultation, a request for proposals will be issued and a Business Case Evaluation performed.</td>
<td>$4,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-4/IERCF</td>
<td>Energy Storage Installation</td>
<td>Energy Management</td>
<td>Considering the facility load is highest during the middle of the day, when TOU pricing is highest from the IOU, RP-4/IERCF can benefit from the installation of energy storage technology to assist with load management. Storage could ensure that renewable installations could be used to charge batteries (or similar storage technology) outside of peak periods and then used on site when IOU rates are highest.</td>
<td>Previous proposals for the purchase of energy storage installations were cost prohibitive. IEUA has continued to pursue energy storage options and found energy service providers that offer cost share agreements or utilize government subsidies to make projects cost effective. IEUA will continue to discuss options with these providers to identify potential energy storage projects.</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Project Type</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Path to Implementation</td>
<td>Estimated Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-2</td>
<td>Microturbine Installation</td>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>This project would replace the RP-2 ICE with a 600 kW microturbine and gas conditioning system. The microturbine would not be subject to emissions limitations under SCAQMD Rule 1110.2, and could utilize all of the gas produced by the facility to generate electricity and heat.</td>
<td>IEUA received a proposal for a 600 kW microturbine installation and is currently conducting a Business Case Evaluation of the project. Installation of the microturbine and gas conditioning systems would be designed with the intent of relocating after 7 to 8 years.</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-5</td>
<td>Decrease Solar Installation</td>
<td>Renewable Resources</td>
<td>RP-5 currently has 1 MW of solar panels installed on the southwest portion of the facility, covering nearly 10 acres of land. With the relocation of solids processing to RP-5, land use is expected to be a concern when designing the plant modifications. An understanding of IEUA’s options to remove or relocate a portion of the solar panels would be beneficial prior to project design.</td>
<td>Assuming the solar panels cannot be purchased, IEUA will coordinate with the PPA provider to determine the feasibility of removing or relocating the panels. Available options will be evaluated in parallel with the predesign phase of the solids handling facility relocation project, which is expected to begin in July 2015.</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Forecasts

Implementing all of the projects listed in Table 13 is estimated to require $38,025,000 in capital expenditures. However, these projects will require further evaluation before funds can be committed to the Agency's budget. Efficiency projects with low payback periods are most likely to be implemented. IEUA will depend on The Energy Network's comprehensive energy audits to identify potential efficiency projects at each facility. Measures identified will be assessed by IEUA staff for feasibility and operational impacts prior to implementation.

IEUA will investigate several new solar projects. Evaluating the cost effectiveness of purchasing the existing solar arrays is a current priority, although it requires collaboration from the PPA provider and equipment owner. Purchasing the
existing panels will also impact the Agency's ability to remove or relocate a portion of RP-5’s solar array, if deemed necessary as part of the RP-2 solids processing relocation project. Potential solar system expansion will be considered at RP-4/IERCF, in addition to a new multi-megawatt capacity array that can benefit from SCE’s RES-BCT program by crediting IEUA’s SCE costs at Agency’s facilities through export.

Energy storage will be heavily pursued to improve IEUA’s demand side management capabilities. IEUA will pursue energy storage installations through two separate avenues: 1) as a demand response tool employed collaboratively with SCE, and 2) through direct purchase with subsidization. Introducing energy storage to IEUA’s portfolio would allow progression toward the goal of peak period independence without devoting resources to new distributed generation projects.

Retrofitting inefficient HVAC equipment and installing controls to limit peak period operation will target cost reductions at RP-4/IERCF. This project will be closely monitored to determine actual savings based on system performance. If the project proves to be a success, it will be considered for implementation at other IEUA facilities that experience high demand charges.

Optimizing digester gas utilization will be addressed at RP-1 by evaluating options to allow for the beneficial use of acid phase gas. IEUA staff will coordinate with industry professionals to investigate several operational modifications that would permit RP-1’s equipment to operate on a fuel mixture that includes the acid phase gas. At RP-2, removal of the ICE by the end of 2015 signals the end of distributed generation using digester gas. IEUA evaluated several projects that could utilize RP-2’s existing digester gas production and comply with the stringent air quality regulations. Of the projects identified, installation of a microturbine appears to be the most feasible based on cost and portability. IEUA will perform a detailed BCE of this project before determining whether to invest in the technology.
Path to Implementation

Evaluating potential energy projects at each IEUA facility produced several viable projects to be considered for implementation. The process also uncovered complexities that frequently affected the viability of potential projects. This section aims to foster understanding of new project implementation by delineating the typical incentives and disincentives.

NEW PROJECT DRIVERS

Electrical Demand

Any energy project considered for implementation should cost effectively achieve at least one of two goals: 1) reduce the facility load through efficiency measures, process modification, or new technology, and/or 2) increase the Agency's self-generation capacity. These goals can be achieved in several ways, but in each case, the facility demand must be considered. Furthermore, recognition of the facility's electrical requirements alone is not enough. Since new projects are typically evaluated for feasibility over a ten to twenty year period, each evaluation must include current and future electrical loads. Forecasts should include anticipated demand increases as well as efficiency measures. The EMP also considers facility demands during summer and winter months because of seasonal variation in operations. For reasons described below, new project may be designed to avoid power export. In such cases, the lowest facility demand must be considered when determining the facility's available load.

The scope of potential projects at IEUA facilities will vary widely depending on the percentage of electrical load at each facility that is being supplied by an external source (through either bundled or Direct Access service). Evaluations conducted in this EMP have shown that projects focused on efficiency measures alone are more likely to be considered when the imported contribution to facility load is below 1 MW. Distributed generation projects below 1 MW typically carry long payback periods and/or risks that hinder viability.
Available Resources

New project implementation, especially for distributed generation projects, is also driven by the facility resources available. Renewable digester gas production at RP-1 and RP-2 opens up a multitude of projects that can take advantage of the heat content in the gas or optimize its use through more efficient processing. Increasing IEUA’s renewable portfolio through additional solar or wind installations would require available land space, which is increasingly more difficult to attain as regional development grows.

Regulatory Impact

Environmental regulations must also be considered when evaluating a potential project. As a public agency located in Southern California, IEUA is located in a region that contains some of the more stringent regulatory air and water quality measures in the country. IEUA’s ability to install renewable energy projects has been greatly affected by air quality regulations for digester gas-fueled engines. SCAQMD Rule 1110.2 played a role in IEUA’s decision to pursue fuel cell technology at RP-1, and compliance with the rule will also factor into future ICE operations at RP-2 and RP-5 SHF.

Assembly Bill 32 (AB 32), the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006, requires industries to implement GHG reduction measures in order to achieve 1990 emissions levels by 2020 in the state. Although wastewater treatment plants have not been identified in the state’s scoping plans and no IEUA facility emits GHGs above the reporting thresholds identified in the bill, the Agency has proactively begun to track GHG emissions and consider global warming potential of new projects. IEUA recently joined the Climate Registry to voluntarily report GHG emissions across the agency on an annual basis.

Cost Effective Sustainability

While each of these factors can drive potential projects toward or away from feasibility, IEUA’s Business Goals dictate that new projects must be cost effective. This EMP strives to work within the confines of Southern California’s environmental regulations and modest capital as a public agency to achieve sustainability at peak periods through efficiency projects and renewable generation. New projects often require subsidization from outside sources to be considered cost effective. As such, IEUA staff is continually pursuing grant
opportunities that can be applied toward beneficial projects.

IEUA has utilized several sources of grant funding since 2002 to develop energy efficiency projects. Both SCE and SCGC offer incentives for efficiency projects, although IEUA has partnered with SCE more regularly due to the amount of electricity usage at the Agency and greater potential for reductions. IEUA also received funding from the Department of Energy (DOE) and the Natural Resources Conservation Service to complete renewable generation projects in the past. The most significant source of grant funding has historically come from the California Energy Commission, which has provided nearly $20 million to fund various energy projects across Agency facilities over the past 12 years.

NEW PROJECT BARRIERS

In its experience installing and evaluating energy projects, IEUA has observed several barriers that can detrimentally affect project feasibility. Identifying these difficulties and offering solutions is imperative to fostering sustainable growth and a key component of this EMP.

Grid Interconnection

Generating facilities in SCE’s service area are required to obtain an interconnection agreement under SCE’s Rule 21 tariff. All of IEUA’s renewable energy installations have interconnection agreements, each achieved with varying levels of difficulty depending on the level of project complexity.

The 3.5 MW of solar arrays were granted interconnection with SCE in 2008. Interconnection of the 1 MW wind turbine was approved in 2010. The installations were not complex, so the interconnection agreements were obtained quickly and without difficulty. Because the nameplate capacities were designed to use 100 percent of the generated electricity on site, the interconnection agreements did not contain export provisions, which simplified the process.

RP-1’s fuel cell installation proved to be a more complex interconnection process than previous agreements. The combined nameplate capacities of the fuel cell and solar array resulted in potential export during times of peak generation. In December 2012, IEUA submitted an interconnection application for the fuel cell and solar installations that would compensate IEUA for any electricity exported. SCE’s NEM program allows for export from solar electrical generating facilities with capacities below 1 MW. However, SCE’s fuel cell NEM schedule contains the
same 1 MW limit, which means that IEUA cannot export electricity from the fuel cell installation.

The combination of two renewable energy installations at the same facility with opposing export capabilities presented difficulties in the interconnection process. In April 2014, following extensive discussions with SCE staff, IEUA executed a multiple tariff interconnection agreement that would allow IEUA to export a maximum of 3.5 MW; however, only the portion generated by the solar facility would be eligible for compensation. Tracking the electricity from the renewable installations would be achieved through Net Generation Output Meters (NGOMs).

IEUA evaluated the proposed NGOM project and determined that installing the meters would be cost prohibitive. Considering RP-1’s load and renewable generation capacity, the amount of electricity exported is expected to be minimal and sporadic. As of April 2015, IEUA was in discussions with SCE to allow for export from RP-1 without compensation, while maintaining the ability to install NGOMs in the future if the project is later deemed to be cost effective.

IEUA also encountered difficulties obtaining an interconnection agreement at RP-5 that would allow for export from the REEP ICEs. IEUA initially applied for an interconnection agreement for the ICEs in 2006 under SCE’s biogas NEM program, but the agreement was never finalized because the ICEs were never commissioned. As a result, IEUA was required to submit a new application for interconnection under SCE’s RES-BCT program, which would allow for exported electricity to be compensated as bill credits on IEUA’s other SCE accounts. IEUA submitted the RES-BCT application in June 2013 and executed the interconnection agreement in May 2014. The REEP ICEs were commissioned in January 2015 and first exported to the grid the following month.

Based on these experiences, IEUA considers the process of obtaining interconnection agreements with SCE to be unfavorable toward new renewable projects. When progress stalled on both RP-1’s NEM and RP-5’s RES-BCT agreements, IEUA held conference calls with SCE staff twice per week to ensure that information was processed as quickly as possible and that the application evaluation continued in a timely manner. The recommendations and requirements provided by SCE to comply with interconnection standards were costly, and in the case of RP-1, were considered cost prohibitive. For a public agency attempting to expand renewable generation with a limited project budget, the interconnection process contains significant expenditures, both in capital outlay and staff time.
In both experiences at RP-1 and RP-5, the interconnection process was complicated because one renewable installation exceeded the 1 MW limit established in the NEM tariff. Increasing this limit to allow for larger renewable installations to be considered in the NEM program could reduce the time and costs involved in obtaining interconnection agreements. Furthermore, IEUA noticed a marked improvement in progress once regular conference calls were scheduled to maintain communication with SCE staff. Although this contributed to the considerable staff time devoted to the interconnection process, the conference calls reduced the overall duration of the application evaluation. Establishing frequent communication with SCE staff during the entirety of the evaluation process will be considered an essential component of any future interconnection agreement.

IEUA is currently working with BAC and CASA to open a dialogue with the CPUC regarding interconnection concerns. By communicating past difficulties to the CPUC, the IEUA is attempting to help identify straining areas of the interconnection process and hopefully foster discussion on potential paths to improvement.

**Renewable Energy Economics**

Consistent with IEUA’s Business Goals, projects that improve sustainability during peak periods will only be pursued if they are determined to be cost effective after thorough analysis and evaluation. Most of the renewable installations at IEUA’s facilities were designed to avoid exporting electricity when facility demand is lowest. Although inadvertent export is allowed under SCE’s Rule 21 and IEUA is compensated for electricity exported at RP-2 and RP-5, the economics of exporting electricity to the grid are not favorable to IEUA. IEUA’s average costs of electricity, on a $/kWh basis, in FY 13/14 are shown on Figure 31. For comparison, SCE’s average compensation rate for exported electricity from NEM customers is shown in red on the same figure.

The figure shows that current renewable installations are cost effective because they supplement imported electricity at a lower rate. Although the average cost of solar was $0.001 higher per kWh in FY 13/14, the fact that solar panels generate power during SCE’s peak periods means that the solar installations are cost effective on a TOU basis since they supplement imported electricity that would otherwise carry high demand charges.
Because the export compensation rate is anywhere from $0.033-0.081 lower per kWh than IEUA’s electricity procurement rate (based on FY 13/14 data), IEUA cannot recover the procurement costs of exported electricity through compensation alone. Compensation rates for NEM customers are calculated using a market-based mechanism derived from hourly day-ahead electricity pricing, similar to the mechanism used by ESPs that provide electricity to IEUA through the Direct Access program. The rate reflects the costs that SCE avoids in procuring power during the time that it is produced by the generating facility. It is unlikely that SCE will substantially increase the compensation rate to accommodate facilities desiring more robust renewable portfolios with the ability to regularly export. As such, revised economic models must focus efforts elsewhere.

An alternative solution would rely on modifications to the CEC’s RPS, which mandates that all electric service suppliers provide at least 33 percent of their energy from renewable sources by 2020. These suppliers can achieve the mandated limits by purchasing RECs that satisfy one of three content categories, often referred to as buckets. Because IEUA uses the renewable energy it generates on site, any RECs generated fall into Bucket 3, which carries the lowest value on the trading market.
IEUA’s experience in pursuing RECs for its renewable installations found that the cost of obtaining the certificates often negated the potential profits of any sale. However, the California assembly, with assistance from CASA, is pursuing legislation that would allow for renewable installations at wastewater facilities to be eligible for Bucket 1 status as part of Assembly Bill 1144. This designation could drastically improve renewable project economics. IEUA is in support of AB 1144 and will track its progress closely.

In addition to export compensation, project economics also depend heavily on the capital expense required for installation. Although IEUA was able to avoid capital expenditures for the solar, wind, and fuel cell installations by entering into PPAs, the cost of installation was factored into the electricity procurement rate for each agreement. The procurement rate was also affected by potential government incentives, grant subsidizations, and for the wind and fuel cell installations, transfer of RECs to the PPA provider.

Whether IEUA pursues PPAs or outright purchase, the cost of installing renewable technologies greatly affects the project feasibility. In the past, IEUA evaluated several technologies for implementation that were determined to be cost prohibitive, including gas storage, energy storage, fuel cells, biogas conversion to compressed natural gas, small hydropower, geothermal, and biogas conditioning to inject into the natural gas pipeline. IEUA’s resources allow for a wide array of energy projects, many of which are simply too expensive to implement at present. However, with additional government incentives or further subsidization opportunities, some of these technologies may be considered cost effective if the capital required is sufficiently reduced.

Furthermore, greater incentive and subsidization opportunities could result in more applications of new technology across the industry. The renewable resources utilized at IEUA are not unique to its facilities. With wider application of new technologies leading to greater market saturation, projects that were once cost prohibitive could now be considered cost effective.

**Energy Forecasting**

IEUA’s BCEs rely on energy forecasts to determine potential savings over the life of the project being evaluated. IEUA uses the historical rates published by SCE to estimate average rate increases moving forward. Historically, SCE’s rates for commercial customers have increased by an average of six percent per year, which
includes the rate of inflation. To remain conservative, IEUA uses annual utility increases of four and six percent when evaluating new projects, which provides a range of potential savings.

The California Independent System Operator (CAISO) operates the bulk of the state's wholesale energy market. CAISO's operation of the power grid allows insight and open access into the energy industry. As part of its activities, CAISO tracks the average net load for the state each day. In 2013, CAISO identified that California's solar installations have had a combined effect on the net load that could significantly influence the energy needs of the state in years to come.

Figure 32, often referred to as the "duck curve," shows CAISO's actual net loads for March 31 in 2012 and 2013, along with projections each year until 2020. Between the hours of 7:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m., the figure shows slight energy increases that closely track the previous year. Between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m., or during daylight hours, the demand on the grid declines sharply each year. Figure 32 estimates that mid-day grid demand in 2020 could be as much as 9,000 MW less than the actual demand in 2012. The reason for the drop in demand is the widespread installation of solar energy systems across the state, which will further reduce grid demand during daylight hours if solar installation trends continue.

FIGURE 32. CA'S NET LOAD PROJECTIONS THROUGH 2020 (DUCK CURVE)

This new load profile carries significant concern for the state’s energy generators. Between the hours of 5:00 p.m. and 8:00 p.m. in 2020, grid demand is expected to increase by 80 percent over the course of three hours. The problems presented by this curve will require creative solutions by energy generators, and will involve employment of energy storage in large quantities. Nevertheless, the scope of this concern extends beyond IEUA’s influence.

However, the Agency could be impacted by the resulting change in tariffs that utilities can implement in response to the duck curve. New projects are evaluated under the assumption that tariffs structures will be similar over the next 20 years. Certain potential projects, such as solar installations, rely on avoiding or reducing demand charges from the electrical utility to achieve an economic benefit. If the peak period is shifting from mid-day to late evening to match the expecting net load peak, project economics could be greatly affected. Other projects that increase efficiency or can be programmed to adjust to varying peak periods may not be affected by potential modifications to SCE’s tariffs. IEUA will continue to monitor discussion of the duck curve, as well as SCE’s plan to respond to the changing net load.
Management Practices

In addition to tracking energy usage and evaluating potential projects, IEUA's EMP includes several measures that are applied throughout the year to optimize resources and better understand the Agency portfolio.

PROCUREMENT

With the exception of RP-4 and RP-5, IEUA has the option of procuring electricity through IOUs or separate ESPs. Natural gas is procured through an ESP for IEUA's larger usage needs (core accounts), as well as its smaller accounts (non-core). IEUA currently purchases electricity through unbundled service, or DA, at three of its five largest accounts, and bundled service at the remaining two and all smaller
accounts (standalone RW and GWR facilities). The Agency has realized considerable savings during the summer months utilizing direct access (DA) agreement.

The pricing structure for electricity generated from IEUA's renewable installations varies between each agreement. The PPA rates are structured similarly, with fixed rates and annual escalators that were negotiated to produce long-term financial benefits for the Agency. Considering the large historical variation in grid pricing from year to year, establishing a fixed energy pricing forecast for much of the Agency's demand is a valuable planning tool for energy management.

Prospective cost savings depend on the negotiated electricity purchase price of each PPA, anticipated rate increases from the electrical grid, and expected power generation from the installations. The negotiated purchase pricing (Figure 33), on a $/kWh basis, generally compares favorably to grid purchase pricing.

FIGURE 33. PPA RATE COMPARISON TO GRID FORECASTS
Current PPA purchase rates are competitive with the grid purchase rates, but the long-term benefits become apparent when comparing the annual escalating scales between the two costs. Based on energy industry forecasts, grid electricity costs are expected to increase between four and six percent, on average, over the next 20 years. Since each PPA's annual escalation rate is below four percent, the Agency anticipates that all PPA installations will realize annual savings within the next two to three years. The amount of savings achieved can vary widely, as shown on Figure 34.

Each agreement also contains the option of purchasing the equipment rather than continue as a PPA customer. IEUA is continually evaluating this opportunity, as well as imported energy procurement options, annually to determine the most cost effective solution in both the short and long term.

INCREASED MONITORING

With the advent of sub-metering at each facility, IEUA will have the capability of tracking electricity usage by process. As of April 2015, the Agency's sub-meter
equipment was still undergoing modifications to reliably provide electrical usage data. Once the installation is complete, IEUA will be able to identify the energy intensity of each treatment process. As aforementioned, the sub-meters will be used to quantify energy usage for each process and identify potential load reductions that can be incorporated in the DR program.

Several resources have made strides in recent years in establishing energy metrics for wastewater treatment processes. The Agency can use these resources to compare the sub-meter data and gauge potential areas for improvement. Rather than targeting processes that are simply energy-intensive, efficiency projects should focus on processes that use more energy than is considered necessary or standard within the industry.

Moreover, tracking energy usage from each process will benefit IEUA’s Operations and Maintenance staff, as sudden variations in energy usage can signal the need for repair or replacement. To the extent allowed by currently available data, performance management tools (i.e., Key Performance Indicators (KPI) and Unit Production Costs (UPC)) are being used to monitor energy use and energy generation at the facilities. These tools are important components of an effective energy management program. As more data on energy use become available through sub-metering, the KPI and UPC tools will be expanded to take full advantage of the information collected from the meters. IEUA staff will be tasked with incorporating the process energy usage into regular Operations and Maintenance staff responsibilities.

EDUCATION

In addition to tracking data and identifying programs, the Agency must educate its employees on their role in improving energy management. Raising awareness of energy usage and cost impacts can empower staff to conserve and even recommend process changes that might otherwise be overlooked by an auditor unfamiliar with process details.

IEUA’s external affairs staff produces a monthly newsletter that is distributed to all employees at the Agency. Beginning in May 2015, the monthly newsletter will include a regular update focusing on energy management, conservation opportunities, or education. Additionally, IEUA Operations and Maintenance employees will be given annual training that explains IEUA’s energy procurement strategy, cost impacts, and how they can help reduce energy usage.
NEW PROJECT SOLICITATION

IEUA has the ability to include specific standards or performance objectives in project scopes whenever issuing RFPs. Beginning in FY 15/16, RFPs issued by IEUA will require vendors to include high-efficiency equipment in any project, as warranted. New project evaluations will also consider the impact on energy consumption and management. Proposals that improve energy management will be prioritized over similar proposals that are neutral or adverse to energy management.

AUDITING

Along with sub-metering information data, an energy audit can help identify efficiency opportunities within the treatment plants. Agency staff regularly audits equipment through the Asset Management Plan to determine if processes can be optimized through equipment retrofit/replacement or operational adjustments. IEUA will utilize The Energy Network to conduct comprehensive energy audits of each of the treatment plants by the end of FY 15/16.

Furthermore, the Energy Management Plan, updated every two years, will serve as an annual analysis of energy usage with the goal of targeting energy intensive processes and uncovering potential conservation opportunities.
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Business Goal Development

PURPOSE: It is critical that IEUA Business Goals align with the Agency's Mission, Vision & Values which are defined by the needs of our Stakeholders and the value provided to the Public. The Business Goal Development process includes a review of existing Agency-wide policy goals and their refinement based on current and future needs. It is also critical in setting the framework for the development of the IEUA Strategic Plan that will shape and guide the Agency's fundamental decisions and actions over the next several years.

BACKGROUND: Over the last several years, the Agency-wide policy goals, which have guided the Agency's decisions and actions in executing its mission and attaining its vision, have been categorized into nine major thematic areas: Conservation & Water Quality, Technological Innovation, Rate Stabilization and Cost Effectiveness, Operational and Maintenance Efficiency, Strategic Planning and Capital Implementation, Waste Management and Resource Utilization, Interagency Relationships and Community Partnerships, Fiscal Accountability and Regulatory Compliance, and Staff Training, Development and Well Being.

These Agency-wide policy goals guide the development of the capital improvement program, operational budget, and organizational goals and objectives each budget cycle. As a way to further define the Agency’s levels of service (LOS), several workshops were held with the IEUA Board of Directors in 2011. However, the LOS developed as part of these workshops were primarily focused on the Agency’s operational functions. In early 2013 staff recommended the LOS be expanded into more broad based IEUA Business Goals to also include the following topics: water reliability, fiscal accountability and employee wellbeing. It was also determined that the development of the IEUA Business Goals should include input from Stakeholders including: IEUA Board of Directors, IEUA staff, Technical Committee members and Policy Committee members.
**BUSINESS GOALS FUNCTION:** For any organization to remain relevant and effective, its ability to adapt and prepare for change is essential. As illustrated below, the IEUA Business Goals must be evaluated continually as part of the planning process to ensure that they meet the current and future needs of the Region.

**BUSINESS GOALS STRUCTURE:** The IEUA Business Goals were categorized into six main areas: *Fiscal Responsibility, Workplace Environment, Business Practices, Water Reliability, Wastewater Management and Environmental Stewardship*. Within each Business Goal (i.e. Water Reliability), several Objectives were established to support the Business Goal (i.e. beneficial use of recycled water, etc.). For each Objective, a Commitment was developed to define the level of service that IEUA will provide (i.e. develop recycled water infrastructure to reuse 50,000 AFY). The structure of the Business Goals is shown in the following figure:
**DOCUMENT STRUCTURE:** Included within this narrative is one page for each Business Goal – which outlines the Business Goal intent, each Objective and the corresponding recommended Commitment. Background on each Objective/Commitment is included within the Appendix.

**SCHEDULE:** The development, review and approval of Business Goals entails a sequence as indicated in the schedule below:

- **4/30**
  - IEUA Staff Workshop I
- **5/16**
  - IEUA Staff Workshop II
- **6/5**
  - IEUA Board Workshop I
- **7/23**
  - Water Managers'/Technical Committee Workshop
- **8/7**
  - IEUA Board Workshop II
- **8/15**
  - Water Managers'/Technical Committee Workshop II
- **9/23**
  - Joint IEUA Board/Policy Member Workshop
- **10/16**
  - IEUA Board Approval

Following the completion of this process, the adopted Business Goals will be used as the basis for the development of several planning documents, including the Strategic Plan, Integrated Water Resources Plan, Facilities Master Plan Update and the Asset Management Plan.
**DEFINITIONS:** The following list is provided to define key terms utilized in the Business Goals Narrative.

**Board of Directors** – Five elected officials providing the governance of Inland Empire Utilities Agency and representing the following Divisions:
- Division 1: Terry Catlin
- Division 2: Gene Koopman
- Division 3: Steve Elie
- Division 4: Vacant
- Division 5: Michael Camacho

**Chino Groundwater Basin** – 5,000,000 AF of groundwater storage encompassing approximately 235 square miles of the upper Santa Ana River Watershed within San Bernardino, Riverside and Los Angeles Counties. A substantial portion of the Chino Groundwater Basin overlaps with the IEUA Service Area.

**IEUA Service Area** – 242 square miles located in the southwest corner of San Bernardino County incorporating: the City of Chino, the City of Chino Hills, the City of Fontana, the City of Montclair, the City of Ontario, the City of Upland and unincorporated areas of San Bernardino County.

**Imported Water** – A supplemental water source to local water supplies generally purchased through the State Water Project.

**Member Agencies** – Cities, agencies and districts that contract with IEUA for regional wastewater services and Imported Water deliveries (* denotes member agencies who also are signatories to the Regional Sewage Contract):
- City of Chino*
- City of Chino Hills*
- Cucamonga Valley Water District*
- City of Fontana*
- Fontana Water Company
- City of Montclair*
- Monte Vista Water District
- City of Ontario*
- San Antonio Water Company
- City of Upland*
**Policy Committee** – A committee comprised of policy members from Regional Sewage Contract member agencies and IEUA.

**Public** – The approximately 850,000 residents within the IEUA Service Area who receive the benefits of the services provided by the Member Agencies and IEUA.

**Region** – The geographical location where IEUA maintains a sphere of influence which is broader than the IEUA Service Area.

**Regional Water Agencies** – Agencies and districts having water interests within the Region but are not Member Agencies. These include but are not limited to:

- Chino Basin Watermaster
- Jurupa Community Services District
- Los Angeles County Sanitation District
- Metropolitan Water District
- Orange County Sanitation District
- Orange County Water District
- San Bernardino Flood Control and Water Conservation District
- Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority
- Western Municipal Water District

**Stakeholders** – A general term to define all interested parties including: Board of Directors, Policy Committee, Technical Committee, Member Agencies and Regional Water Agencies.

**Supplemental Water** – An additional water supply originating from outside the IEUA Service Area that may offset the demand for Imported Water – may include outside groundwater, recycled water, etc.

**Technical Committee** – A committee comprised of public works/water managers from the Member Agencies and IEUA.
A. Business Goal: Fiscal Responsibility

IEUA will safeguard the Agency's fiscal health through organizational efficiency, adoption of balanced multiyear budgets and rates that meet full cost-of-service targets, maintain a high quality credit rating and preserve established fund balance reserves to effectively address short term and long term economic variability. Furthermore, IEUA will provide open and transparent communication to educate the Member Agencies on the fiscal policies of the Agency.

1. Funding & Appropriation [Agency Management, Financial Planning, Accounting & Fiscal Management]
   
   **Objective:** IEUA will appropriately fund operational, maintenance and capital investment costs.

   **Commitment:** IEUA will adopt service rates and fees that fully support the costs of service and provide a reliable and steady flow of operating revenue to support all operational expenses, capital replacement and debt service costs. In addition, IEUA will ensure that service rates and fees support the Agency's goal to sustain high quality Commitment Levels.

   **Reference Material:** Appendix A.1


   **Objective:** IEUA will accurately forecast future operational, repair & replacement, capital improvement and debt service costs as needed for the creation of multiyear budgets and rate resolutions that create fiscal stabilization for IEUA and the Member Agencies.

   **Commitment:** IEUA will provide multiyear forecasts for operational, repair & replacement, capital investment and debt service costs to support the adoption of multiyear budgets and rates enhancing dependability and stability.

   **Reference Material:** Appendix A.2

3. Reserves [Financial Planning, Accounting & Fiscal Management]

   **Objective:** IEUA will preserve fund reserves that sustain the Agency's long term fiscal health, high quality credit rating and ensure its ability to effectively address economic variability.

   **Commitment:** IEUA will adopt financial policies to establish and preserve fund reserves above legally or contractually mandated levels to maintain Commitment Levels. In addition, IEUA will support short and long term funding requirements and sustain the Agency's long term fiscal health and high quality credit rating to reduce future borrowing costs.

   **Reference Material:** Appendix A.3


   **Objective:** IEUA will sustain a high quality credit rating and debt service coverage ratio to safeguard the Agency's fiscal health and reduce future borrowing costs.

   **Commitment:** IEUA will reinstate the Agency's credit rating to AAA by FY 17/18 to reduce borrowing costs anticipated for the expansion and improvement of existing facilities to meet future growth in the Agency's service area.

   **Reference Material:** Appendix A.4
B. Business Goal: Workplace Environment

IEUA is committed to provide a positive workplace environment by recruiting, retaining and developing a highly skilled team dedicated to the Agency’s Mission, Vision and Values.

1. Mission, Vision & Values [All Agency Staff & Board]
   Objective: IEUA will uphold Business Goals, Objectives and Commitment Levels that support and advance the Agency’s Mission, Vision and Values.

   Commitment: IEUA will maintain the highest standard of ethical conduct from all Agency staff by promoting values of prudent leadership, integrity, collaboration, open communication, respect, accountability, high quality, passion and efficiency to support the Agency’s Mission, Vision and Values.

   Reference Material: Appendix B.1

2. Employer of Choice [Human Resources, & Agency Management]
   Objective: IEUA will be an Employer of Choice.

   Commitment: IEUA will provide a work environment that will attract and retain highly skilled, motivated, professional and committed employees.

   Reference Material: Appendix B.2

3. Training [Agency Management & Human Resources]
   Objective: IEUA will provide employees with state-of-the-art skills and knowledge to meet current and anticipated Agency needs.

   Commitment: IEUA will facilitate and provide opportunities for staff to further their personal/professional development in support of maintaining a highly skilled workforce.

   Reference Material: Appendix B.3

4. Staff Safety [Safety, Human Resources, & Agency Management]
   Objective: IEUA will promote and ensure a safe and healthy work environment to protect employees and Stakeholders.

   Commitment: IEUA will have no more than 1 day of lost time due to work related illness or injury per 1,000 days worked.

   Reference Material: Appendix B.4
C. Business Goal: Business Practices

IEUA is committed to applying ethical, fiscally responsible and environmentally sustainable principles to all aspects of business and organizational conduct.

1. Efficiency & Effectiveness [All Departments]

Objective: IEUA will promote standards of efficiency and effectiveness in all Agency business practices and processes.

Commitment: IEUA will integrate Lean techniques to evaluate its current business practices and processes and identify ways to improve the quality, cost and value of the services the Agency provides to the Member Agencies and the Public.

Reference Material: Appendix C.1

2. Customer Service [All Departments]

Objective: IEUA will provide excellent customer service that is cost effective, efficient, innovative and reliable.

Commitment: IEUA will respond to and meet the Member Agencies expectation for enhanced value added services. IEUA will solicit Stakeholder feedback on performance and goal alignment on an annual basis.

Reference Material: Appendix C.2

3. Regional Leadership and Community Relations [Agency Management, Planning, & Engineering]

Objective: IEUA will cultivate a positive and transparent relationship with its Stakeholders to enhance quality of life, preserve our heritage and protect the environment.

Commitment: IEUA will partner with its Stakeholders on common issues to create and implement integrated and innovative solutions, minimize duplication of efforts and support education and outreach to the Public. Furthermore, IEUA will incorporate Member Agencies and Regional Water Agencies into various IEUA related projects and programs to ensure that a transparent and broader regional representation is achieved.

Reference Material: Appendix C.3


Objective: IEUA will effectively advocate, campaign and guide the development of policies and legislation that benefit the Region IEUA serves.

Commitment: IEUA will promote a collaborative approach for the development of positions on policies, legislation and regulations that impact Agency policy objectives.

Reference Material: Appendix C.4
D. Business Goal: Water Reliability

IEUA is committed to the development and implementation of an integrated water resource management plan that promotes cost-effective, reliable, efficient and sustainable water use along with economic growth within the IEUA Service Area.

1. Water Use Efficiency & Education [Planning, Engineering, & Public Information]
   
   **Objective:** IEUA will promote education and water use efficiency to enhance water supplies within the Region and exceed State goals for reductions in per capita water use within the IEUA Service Area.

   **Commitment:** IEUA will promote to reduce water use in the IEUA Service Area to less than 200 gallons per capita per day (gpcd) by 2018.

   **Reference Material:** Appendix D.1

2. New Water Supplies [Planning & Engineering]
   
   **Objective:** IEUA will support the Member Agencies and Regional Water Agencies with the development of reliable, drought-proof and diverse local water resources and Supplemental Water supplies in order to reduce dependence on Imported Water supplies.

   **Commitment:** IEUA will promote reducing demand for Imported Water during dry and normal years and storing Imported Water into the Chino Groundwater Basin during wet years. In addition, IEUA will support maximizing the beneficial use of existing water infrastructure, while meeting future increased demands through investment in local water resources, Supplemental Water supplies and conservation efforts.

   **Reference Material:** Appendix D.2

   
   **Objective:** IEUA will support maximizing beneficial reuse of recycled water to enhance reliability and reduce dependence on Imported Water.

   **Commitment:** IEUA will complete the development of recycled water infrastructure and will support the Member Agencies in achieving reuse of 50,000 AFY by 2025.

   **Reference Material:** Appendix D.3

   
   **Objective:** IEUA will maximize all sources of groundwater recharge.

   **Commitment:** IEUA will support the recharge of all available stormwater and maximize the recharge of recycled water within the Chino Groundwater Basin. Furthermore, IEUA will pursue the purchase and storage of cost-effective Supplemental Water supplies.

   **Reference Material:** Appendix D.4
E. Business Goal: Wastewater Management

*IEUA systems will be master planned, managed and constructed to ensure that when expansion planning is triggered, designs/construction can be completed to meet regulatory/growth needs in an expeditious, environmentally responsible and cost effective manner.*

1. **Capacity**  
   **Objective:** IEUA will maintain capacity within systems and facilities to meet essential service demands and to protect public health and environment.

   *Commitment:* IEUA will ensure that systems are managed and constructed so that 90% of capacity is never exceeded.

   *Reference Material:* Appendix E.1

2. **On-Time Construction**  
   **Objective:** IEUA will ensure capital projects are designed and implemented in a timely and economically responsible manner.

   *Commitment:* IEUA will design and construct facilities through efficient project management to ensure that 80% of projects are completed on schedule and 90% of projects are on budget.

   *Reference Material:* Appendix E.2

3. **Biosolids Management**  
   **Objective:** IEUA will manage all Agency produced biosolids in a compliant, fiscally prudent and environmentally sustainable manner.

   *Commitment:* IEUA will ensure that 95% of the Inland Regional Compost Facility’s capacity is utilized, all biosolids produced by IEUA are treated at IERCF, Agency solids generation is minimized through efficient dewatering operations and all compost is marketed for beneficial use.

   *Reference Material:* Appendix E.3

4. **Energy Management**  
   **Objective:** IEUA will optimize facility energy use and effectively manage renewable resources to achieve peak power independence, contain future energy costs, achieve statewide renewable energy, distributed generation and greenhouse gas reduction goals, and provide for future rate stabilization.

   *Commitment:* IEUA will achieve peak power independence by 2020 through the implementation of renewable projects, energy management agreements and operational efficiencies.

   *Reference Material:* Appendix E.4
F. Business Goal: Environmental Stewardship

IEUA is committed to the responsible use and protection of the environment through conservation and sustainable practices.

1. Regulatory Compliance [Compliance, Operations, & Maintenance]
   
   **Objective:** IEUA will comply with all federal, state and local laws at each Agency facility.
   
   **Commitment:** IEUA will have no more than 2 notices of violation annually from the State Water Resources Control Board, Air Quality Management District, or Non-Reclaimable Waste System for all Agency owned and operated facilities.
   
   **Reference Material:** Appendix F.1

2. Good Neighbor Policy [Compliance, Operations, & Maintenance]
   
   **Objective:** IEUA will control odors at all Agency facilities for the purpose of improving the environment and being a good neighbor to the local community.
   
   **Commitment:** IEUA will perform a quarterly odor monitoring assessment to develop actual and acceptable baseline odor thresholds. Acceptable baseline thresholds will be used to measure treatment plant performance and drive necessary capital improvements.
   
   **Reference Material:** Appendix F.2

3. Response & Complaint Mitigation [Compliance, Operations, & Maintenance]
   
   **Objective:** IEUA will investigate and appropriately respond in a timely manner to any environmental issue or complaint received at any Agency Facility.
   
   **Commitment:** IEUA will immediately respond to any event that threatens public health and safety and will respond within 5 working days to any non-emergency complaint or suggestion.
   
   **Reference Material:** Appendix F.3

   
   **Objective:** IEUA will strive to implement actions that enhance or promote environmental sustainability and the preservation of the region’s heritage.
   
   **Commitment:** IEUA will consider and assess environmental sustainability, public use and heritage preservation options for all of its programs and projects.
   
   **Reference Material:** Appendix F.4
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Appendix A.1
Fiscal Responsibility – Funding & Appropriation

Business Goal: IEUA will safeguard the Agency’s fiscal health through organizational efficiency, adoption of balanced multiyear budgets and rates that meet full cost-of-service targets, maintain a high quality credit rating and preserve established fund balance reserves to effectively address short term and long term economic variability. Furthermore, IEUA will provide open and transparent communication to educate the Member Agencies on the fiscal policies of the Agency.

Objective: IEUA will appropriately fund operational, maintenance and capital investment costs.

Commitment: IEUA will adopt service rates and fees that fully support the costs of service and provide a reliable and steady flow of operating revenue to support all operational expenses, capital replacement and debt service costs. In addition, IEUA will ensure that service rates and fees support the Agency’s goal to sustain high quality Commitment Levels.

Commitment Level Background

- Historically, the Agency’s operating revenues (net of property tax supplement) have been lower than operating expenses (i.e., services provided by the Agency do not generate revenues needed to pay for total cost of operations), resulting in an operating structural deficit. The operating structural deficit has been supported by a combination of property tax receipts and fund reserves.

- The allocation of property tax receipts and fund reserves to support operating activities reduced the amount of property taxes available to support capital investment, and over time, diminished the Agency’s fund reserve balances.

- Given the uncertainty of property taxes, it is essential for the Agency to reduce its reliance on this funding source to support recurring expenditures (O&M and debt service costs) over time.

- In 2013, IEUA will release the first Asset Management Plan, which will provide management strategies and funding requirements to repair and replace aging equipment at each of the treatment facilities based on condition assessments. Funding of R&R is essential to ensuring facilities are maintained to support the Agency’s Commitment Levels.

- IEUA is committed to ultimately having rates that fully support recurring costs, including O&M, R&R, and debt service costs. Achieving this goal will allow the Agency to fully allocate property tax receipts to support capital investment, including future expansion of existing facilities, and reduce future borrowing costs.

- Fiscal Year 2013/14 is the second year of a three-year rate resolution adopted by the Agency’s Board of Directors in February 2012 for the Regional Wastewater and Recycled Water programs. The multi-year rate increases begin to address the net operating structural deficit resulting from rates not fully recovering program costs.
Appendix A.2

Fiscal Responsibility – Budget Planning

**Business Goal:** IEUA will safeguard the Agency’s fiscal health through organizational efficiency, adoption of balanced multiyear budgets and rates that meet full cost-of-service targets, maintain a high quality credit rating and preserve established fund balance reserves to effectively address short term and long term economic variability. Furthermore, IEUA will provide open and transparent communication to educate the Member Agencies on the fiscal policies of the Agency.

**Objective:** IEUA will accurately forecast future operational, repair & replacement, capital improvement and debt service costs as needed for the creation of multiyear budgets and rate resolutions that create fiscal stabilization for IEUA and the Member Agencies.

**Commitment:** IEUA will provide multiyear forecasts for operational, repair & replacement, capital investment and debt service costs to support the adoption of multiyear budgets and rates enhancing dependability and stability.

**Commitment Level Background**

- In addition to the annual adoption of the Operating Budget and TYCIP, the Agency also prepares a Long Range Plan of Finance (LRPF).
- The LRPF aligns the Agency’s financial capacity with long-term service objectives. The LRPF uses forecasts to provide insight into the Agency’s future financial capacity so that Agency strategies can achieve long term sustainability of financial and service objectives. It provides the most cost-effective funding strategy to support the operations and capital requirements in line with established policies and goals.
- Based upon the LRPF and other financial documents, the Agency is committed to adopting multiyear budgets and rates to facilitate the integration of the financial and strategic planning.
- Adoption of multiyear budgets and rates will provide a more strategic approach to resource allocation, as well as streamline the Agency’s rate increase process and provide long term stability.
Appendix A.3

Fiscal Responsibility – Reserves

Business Goal: IEUA will safeguard the Agency’s fiscal health through organizational efficiency, adoption of balanced multiyear budgets and rates that meet full cost-of-service targets, maintain a high quality credit rating and preserve established fund balance reserves to effectively address short term and long term economic variability. Furthermore, IEUA will provide open and transparent communication to educate the Member Agencies on the fiscal policies of the Agency.

Objective: IEUA will preserve fund reserves that sustain the Agency’s long term fiscal health, high quality credit rating and ensure its ability to effectively address economic variability.

Commitment: IEUA will adopt financial policies to establish and preserve fund reserves above legally or contractually mandated levels to maintain Commitment Levels. In addition, IEUA will support short and long term funding requirements and sustain the Agency’s long term fiscal health and high quality credit rating to reduce future borrowing costs.

Commitment Level Background

- Fund balance is a measure of the net worth (total assets minus total liabilities) of an organization and is a strong indicator of its financial health. In addition to consolidated fund balance at the Agency-wide level, IEUA also maintains fund balances at the individual program level.

- The fund balance reserves are designated for specific purposes, and include four month operating contingency and debt service as prescribed by the current bond covenants, capital construction, improvement and replacement, rate stabilization, self-insured workers’ compensation and liability insurance, retiree medical benefits, and other short term and long term requirements.

- The figure below compares the Agency’s actual and projected total fund balance to the “targeted” amount from FYs 2009/10 through 2016/17. Targeted fund balance as defined in the Agency’s 2012 LRPF is the sum of 50 percent of operating revenues, and total fund balance reserves designated to support debt service costs.

![Fund Balance Chart]

- An update of the Agency’s financial policies adopted in 2005 is planned in 2013 as part of the implementation of a long range financial model. A key objective will be to align reserves and thresholds to meet the Agency’s short term and long term needs and develop a funding strategy.
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Fiscal Responsibility – Creditworthiness

**Business Goal:** IEUA will safeguard the Agency’s fiscal health through organizational efficiency, adoption of balanced multiyear budgets and rates that meet full cost-of-service targets, maintain a high quality credit rating and preserve established fund balance reserves to effectively address short term and long term economic variability. Furthermore, IEUA will provide open and transparent communication to educate the Member Agencies on the fiscal policies of the Agency.

**Objective:** IEUA will sustain a high quality credit rating and debt service coverage ratio to safeguard the Agency’s fiscal health and reduce future borrowing costs.

**Commitment:** IEUA will reinstate the Agency’s credit rating to AAA by FY 17/18 to reduce borrowing costs anticipated for the expansion and improvement of existing facilities to meet future growth in the Agency’s service area.

**Commitment Level Background**

- As part of the 2012 multi-year rate increase, IEUA established minimum debt coverage ratio targets for the upcoming fiscal years. The following table shows the DCR targets, the actual DCR’s and forecasted DCR’s (F):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DCR</th>
<th>FY 11/12</th>
<th>FY 12/13</th>
<th>FY 13/14</th>
<th>FY 14/15</th>
<th>FY 15/16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>1.69x</td>
<td>1.43x</td>
<td>1.50x</td>
<td>1.70x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forecast</td>
<td>1.92x</td>
<td>1.75x</td>
<td>2.01x</td>
<td>2.18x</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- The FY 2011/12 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) reported an Agency DCR of 1.69x and the following credit ratings: AA- (S&P), Aa2 (Moody's), and AA- (Fitch).

- The adopted FY 2014-2023 Ten Year Capital Improvement (TYCIP) includes expansion of the Agency’s southern service area facilities in FY 2018/19 where most of the future population growth is anticipated. This expansion is projected to be financed with new debt. Improvement of the Agency’s long term credit rating to AAA and DCR to 2.70x (DCR is the ratio of net revenue available to meet debt service costs). In the current market, the differential cost of borrowing between AA and AAA is about 20 basis points. On a $40 million bond issue, this equates to a borrowing-cost-savings of over $2.4 million over a 30 year term.

- Lower borrowing costs equate to lower fees.
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Workplace Environment – Mission, Vision & Values

Business Goal: IEUA is committed to provide a positive workplace environment by recruiting, retaining and developing a highly skilled team dedicated to the Agency’s Mission, Vision and Values.

Objective: IEUA will uphold Business Goals, Objectives and Commitment Levels that support and advance the Agency’s Mission, Vision and Values.

Commitment: IEUA will maintain the highest standard of ethical conduct from all Agency staff by promoting values of prudent leadership, integrity, collaboration, open communication, respect, accountability, high quality, passion and efficiency to support the Agency’s Mission and Vision.

Commitment Level Background

Mission Statement: The mission of the Agency is to supply imported and recycled water; collect, treat, and dispose of wastewater; and provide other utility-related (renewable electrical energy, compost) services to the communities it serves. The Agency strives to provide these services in a regionally planned, managed, and cost-effective manner.

Vision: The Inland Empire Utilities Agency will strive to enhance the quality of life in the Inland Empire by providing optimum water resources management for the area’s customers while promoting conservation and environmental protection.

Values: The success of the Agency depends on teamwork, mutual trust and respect, and commitment to the highest standards of quality, responsibility, accountability, and dedication.

- Management will ensure that principles, policies and practices support the Business Goals, Mission, Vision and Values of the Agency.
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Workplace Environment – Employer of Choice

Business Goal: IEUA is committed to provide a positive workplace environment by recruiting, retaining and developing a highly skilled team dedicated to the Agency’s Mission, Vision and Values.

Objective: IEUA will be an Employer of Choice.

Commitment: IEUA will provide a work environment that will attract and retain highly skilled, motivated, professional and committed employees.

Commitment Level Background

- IEUA will recruit, retain, and promote a diverse and qualified workforce committed to the Agency’s Mission, Vision and Values. This will be achieved by utilizing modern recruitment practices that provide flexible and responsive recruiting solutions to assist with filling positions in a timely and effective manner.

- IEUA will encourage and maintain a highly motivated and trained staff by designing, implementing, and supporting a learning environment which encourages growth and development of Agency staff.

- IEUA will strive to align project/work tasks with the skills of its employees to create a rewarding and successful work environment.

- IEUA will create a culture that recognizes a dedicated staff and attracts qualified individuals through the use of creative communication methods and continued education of available employee benefits to increase knowledge of these programs and services. In addition, IEUA will update the Agency’s various award recognition programs to reflect the Agency’s cost containment strategies.

- IEUA will reduce stress from work-life imbalance by promoting partnerships, cross training, shared responsibilities, and a culture of teamwork to allow any and all employees recuperative time away from work activities.

- IEUA will inspire trust and confidence in Management by: clearly defining the Agency’s Mission/Vision/Values, by creating Business Goals that support the Mission/Vision/Values, outlining a Strategic Plan to achieve those goals, communicating how the Agency is accomplishing these goals, and effectively linking these goals to each employee objectives and performance.
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Workplace Environment – Training

**Business Goal:** IEUA is committed to provide a positive workplace environment by recruiting, retaining and developing a highly skilled team dedicated to the Agency’s Mission, Vision and Values.

**Objective:** IEUA will provide employees with state-of-the-art skills and knowledge to meet current and anticipated Agency needs.

**Commitment:** IEUA will facilitate and provide opportunities for staff to further their personal/professional development in support of maintaining a highly skilled workforce.

---

**Commitment Level Background**

- All Agency employees have access to online training:
  - Leadership, Team Building, and Mentoring Skills Training
  - Microsoft Office Training
  - OSHA Required Safety Trainings

Employees are provided with login information, which allows the employee to perform trainings at the most optimum time to fit their daily schedule.

- Selected Agency employees have the ability to attend onsite classroom trainings. The following onsite classroom trainings are going to be provided for Fiscal Year 2013/2014: “7 Habits of Highly Effective People”, (4) specialized onsite workshops, (12) 4-hour Microsoft Office trainings and policies and procedures training.

- Three types of offsite training are going to be provided for Fiscal Year 2013/2014:
  - Southern California Local Government Supervisory Program – This is a 3 day training course to provide skills for new supervisors.
  - Southern California Local Government Leadership Academy – This is a 7 day training program for Managers provided by current or retired City Managers.
  - Liebert Cassidy Whitmore Training – Legal Counsel provides workshops to Managers, Supervisors, and aspiring Supervisors on relational issues.

- IEUA also provides tuition reimbursement up to $2,500 per year for employee educational expenses that increase their job knowledge and skills. Additionally, certification and degree incentives are awarded to employees who earn Associates, Bachelor’s and Master’s Degree, and specific program certification.

- Each Agency Department has training budgets to perform trainings on specialized skill sets for their employees.
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Workplace Environment – Staff Safety

**Business Goal:** IEUA is committed to provide a positive workplace environment by recruiting, retaining and developing a highly skilled team dedicated to the Agency’s Mission, Vision and Values.

**Objective:** IEUA will promote and ensure a safe and healthy work environment to protect employees and Stakeholders.

**Commitment:** IEUA will have no more than 1 day of lost time due to work related illness or injury per 1,000 days worked.

Commitment Level Background

- IEUA will sustain a clean, safe, and healthy working environment for all Agency employees at all facilities. This will be achieved by:
  - Administering and monitoring required safety and regulatory trainings;
  - Conducting annual intra-department safety audits; and
  - Conducting annual emergency response drills, such as HAZWOPER training, fire drills, and earthquake drills

- IEUA has maintained an outstanding employee workplace injury record. For Fiscal Year 2012/2013 the Agency had no lost time due to work related illness or injury. Most employee workplace injury events that occur at IEUA are typically due to cuts, scrapes, and bruises. Rarely does a workplace injury incident result in lost time.

- Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) categorizes work related illnesses or injuries by: recordable cases (a case that resulted in medical treatment beyond 1st aid, loss of consciousness, or a significant injury diagnosed by a physician), transfers or restrictions (a case that resulted in an employee not being able to perform their job duties; however, their job duties were modified to meet the requirement of the illness or injury), lost time (a case that resulted in an employee not being able to work for one day after the date of injury), and death. For 2010 through 2012, IEUA had the following work injury statistics:

  **By Case**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Recordable Cases</th>
<th>Transfers or Restrictions</th>
<th>Lost Time</th>
<th>Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

  **By Days**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calendar Year</th>
<th>Transfers or Restrictions</th>
<th>Lost Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Business Practices – Efficiency & Effectiveness

Business Goal: IEUA is committed to applying ethical, fiscally responsible and environmentally sustainable principles to all aspects of business and organizational conduct.

Objective: IEUA will promote standards of efficiency and effectiveness in all Agency business practices and processes.

Commitment: IEUA will integrate Lean techniques to evaluate current business practices and processes and identify ways to improve the quality, cost and value of the services the Agency provides to the Member Agencies and the Public.

Commitment Level Background

- IEUA is committed to providing its Stakeholders with high quality service in a cost effective, regionally planned manner. Continued assessment and improvement of our business processes and practices is essential to ensure optimization of efficiency and effectiveness.

- Lean was originally developed to reduce waste in manufacturing and evolved from Total Quality Management (TQM); the manufacturing practices of the Toyota Motor Corporation. However, rather than focusing on mass production, Lean focus on the elimination of waste while providing the same, or enhanced, value to the customer.

- Application of Lean techniques will help define key performance indicators (KPIs) to more effectively measure, monitor, and realign processes to meet the Agency’s business goals and objectives.

- In April 2013, the second phase of the Agency’s Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) business system, first implemented in 2007, went live to streamline the recording, tracking and reporting of employee and payroll data. This enhancement helps support the Agency’s efficiency and effectiveness initiative by eliminating redundant systems, enhancing data integrity, and supporting more transparent and timely reporting.

- The Agency’s ERP system and integrated format also helps support the transition from a reactive to a condition based monitoring (CBM) maintenance philosophy strategy; a key initiative of the Agency. Under CBM, the 45 percent of resources currently allocated to reactive maintenance (unplanned or emergency repairs) will shift to support a predictive strategy denoted by improved planning and scheduling and more effective diagnosis of equipment functionality.

- The same integrated approach is being applied to the Agency’s existing Supervisory Control & Data Acquisition (SCADA) System network which is currently comprised of a wide variety of equipment and applications located throughout the various facilities. Significant effort went into documenting the current state and analyzing the Agency’s SCADA systems resulting in the 2012 Board adoption of the Recycled Water, Groundwater Recharge and Facilities SCADA Master Plans.
Appendix C.2

Business Practices – Customer Service

Business Goal: IEUA is committed to applying ethical, fiscally responsible and environmentally sustainable principles to all aspects of business and organizational conduct.

Objective: IEUA will provide excellent customer service that is cost effective, efficient, innovative and reliable.

Commitment: IEUA will respond to and meet the Member Agencies expectation for enhanced value added services. IEUA will solicit Stakeholder feedback on performance and goal alignment on an annual basis.

Commitment Level Background

• IEUA is committed to providing excellent customer service by:
  ◦ Providing the primary services of the Agency – water management, wastewater management, biosolids management, and other resources management disciplines.
  ◦ Ensuring that these services are offered in an effective, sustainable and cost efficient method.
  ◦ Providing clear and direct responses to customer suggestions, inquiries, and complaints.
  ◦ Maintaining open sources of communication to ensure stakeholder’s interests are discussed and opportunities are pursued.

• IEUA will optimize customer service by ensuring alignment and management of core procurement business functions, roles and responsibilities.

• Media relations will continue to be cultivated and press releases will remain a major effort along with the Agency internal and external newsletter and updates.

• Social networking and website maintenance will remain a top priority for Agency outreach and communication initiatives.

• IEUA will collaborate with all Stakeholders to ensure open communication and discussion of issues and policies that affect the IEUA Service Area, (i.e. topics such as imported water rates and deliveries, development and availability of local water supplies.)
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Business Practices – Regional Leadership & Community Relations

Business Goal: IEUA is committed to applying ethical, fiscally responsible and environmentally sustainable principles to all aspects of business and organizational conduct.

Objective: IEUA will cultivate a positive and transparent relationship with its Stakeholders to enhance quality of life, preserve our heritage and protect the environment.

Commitment: IEUA will partner with its Stakeholders on common issues to create and implement integrated and innovative solutions, minimize duplication of efforts and support education and outreach to the Public. Furthermore, IEUA will incorporate Member Agencies and Regional Water Agencies into various IEUA related projects and programs to ensure that a transparent and broader regional representation is achieved.

Commitment Level Background

- IEUA will promote and sustain effective communication between the Agency and its Stakeholders through use of various methods, including frequent meetings/workshops, newsletters and electronic media.
- Incorporating the Agency’s branding initiatives, staff will create a recognizable standard to educate the public about water recycling, water conservation and capital infrastructure/replacement investments.
- IEUA is committed to taking actions that consider the cost, quality and value of service for communities we serve.
- The Agency strives to foster open, positive and collaborative relationships with all Stakeholders to meet the water needs of the Region now and in the future.

[Diagram illustrating the relationships between Sewage Contracting Agencies, Water Retail Agencies, MWD, SAWPA, and Watermaster, along with various water-related topics such as Imported Water, Wastewater, Water Conservation, Recycled Water, Energy, Sainity Management, Groundwater Recharge, and Organics Recycling.]
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Business Practices – Policy Leadership

Business Goal: IEUA is committed to applying ethical, fiscally responsible and environmentally sustainable principles to all aspects of business and organizational conduct.

Objective: IEUA will effectively advocate, campaign and guide the development of policies and legislation that directly benefit the Region IEUA serves.

Commitment: IEUA will promote a collaborative approach for the development of positions on policies, legislation and regulations that impact Agency policy objectives.

Commitment Level Background

- IEUA will provide leadership on legislative solutions and regulatory standards for water reliability, water quality, energy management, wastewater collection, treatment and reuse, organics management, and stormwater and watershed management.
- IEUA will continue to effectively seek State and Federal grant funding for Agency and regional projects that achieve IEUA’s policy objectives; (e.g. the Recharge Master Plan, Renewable Energy, the Optimum Basin Management Plan, and the Recycled Water Program).
- IEUA will actively research, monitor, review, and adopt positions on federal and state legislation that benefit the IEUA’s and the Member Agencies policy objectives. This information will be shared and discussed with all Stakeholders.
- IEUA will support the development of public affairs, public awareness, community education and outreach, media relations and legislative programs on issues that address the policy objectives of IEUA. Open communication and collaboration among the Agency and its Stakeholders is of prime importance.
- IEUA will work with Member Agencies to formulate methods and approaches for addressing community and agency concerns and ensure that concerns, needs, and requests are responded to in a timely manner.
- IEUA will actively review and provide recommendations on procedures and processes to improve the efficiency, cost effectiveness, customer responsiveness, quality and environmental sustainability of Agency programs and projects.
- IEUA will coordinate intergovernmental activities with Stakeholders, industry associations, and regulatory agencies and will appear before local and state bodies on public affairs and other matters.
- IEUA will comply with the Brown Act requirements, and other laws pertaining to special districts.
- IEUA will navigate and implement the regulatory changes as a result of pension reform.
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Water Reliability – Water Use Efficiency & Education

**Business Goal:** IEUA is committed to the development and implementation of an integrated water resource management plan that promotes cost-effective, reliable, efficient and sustainable water use along with economic growth within the IEUA Service Area.

**Objective:** IEUA will promote education and water use efficiency to enhance water supplies within the Region and exceed State goals for reductions in per capita water use within the IEUA Service Area.

**Commitment:** IEUA will promote to reduce water use in the IEUA Service Area to less than 200 gallons per capita per day (gpcd) by 2018.

**Commitment Level Background**

- The Water Conservation Act of 2009 (SBX 7-7) requires urban retail water suppliers to continue demand management measures to reduce water use, as measured by gpcd, by 10% by December 31, 2015 and by 20% by December 31, 2020 to maintain eligibility to receive state water management grants and loans.
- The baseline water use for the region from 1999 - 2008 was calculated to be 251 gpcd.
- The reduced water use targets can be achieved through: water use efficiency (WUE) active programs, WUE passive policy initiatives, and recycled water use. The current goal of the Urban Water Management Plan and the Water Use Efficiency Business Plan is to achieve the 20 x 2020 per capita water use reduction in the following manner:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015 Reduction</th>
<th>2020 Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Projected Reduction from WUE Activities</td>
<td>5 gpcd</td>
<td>13 gpcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projected Reduction from Recycled Water Use</td>
<td>38 gpcd</td>
<td>45 gpcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL Projected Reduction</strong></td>
<td><strong>43 gpcd</strong></td>
<td><strong>58 gpcd</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10 Year Baseline</strong></td>
<td><strong>251 gpcd</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target</strong></td>
<td>226 gpcd</td>
<td>201 gpcd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected Achievement</strong></td>
<td>208 gpcd</td>
<td>193 gpcd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Additional per capita water use reductions can be achieved within the IEUA Service Area. IEUA’s policy goal is to strive to achieve the 20 by 2020 reduction through conservation measures alone. IEUA will collaborate with all Member Agencies to review and update the Water Use Efficiency Business Plan to achieve this goal and will support the reduction of water use below 200 gpcd by 2018.
- IEUA will continue to expand regional water efficiency educational, outreach and rebate programs.
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Water Reliability – New Water Supplies

**Business Goal:** IEUA is committed to the development and implementation of an integrated water resource management plan that promotes cost-effective, reliable, efficient and sustainable water use along with economic growth within the IEUA Service Area.

**Objective:** IEUA will support the Member Agencies and Regional Water Agencies with the development of reliable, drought-proof and diverse local water resources and Supplemental Water supplies in order to reduce dependence on Imported Water supplies.

**Commitment:** IEUA will promote reducing demand for Imported Water during dry and normal years and storing Imported Water into the Chino Groundwater Basin during wet years. In addition, IEUA will support maximizing the beneficial use of existing water infrastructure, while meeting future increased demands through investment in local water resources, Supplemental Water supplies and conservation efforts.

**Commitment Level Background**

- As part of the 2010 Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP), IEUA has set a goal to maximize use of local water supplies and minimize the need for Imported Water, especially during dry years and other emergency shortages from Metropolitan Water District (MWD).

- Unless additional water reductions are achieved or new local water supplies are developed, current projections show that regionally an additional 10,000 AFY of costly Imported Water will be required by year 2025.

- It is understood that future Imported Water reliability will be lower and costs will be higher. Over the next ten years, it is estimated that the IEUA/Member Agencies will purchase $600 million in Imported Water. A 10,000 AFY water supply shift from Imported Water would reduce MWD purchases by approximately $100 million over the same ten year period.

- IEUA is in the process of preparing an Integrated Resources Plan (IRP), which will provide an achievable long-term strategy to meet current and future water needs. The IRP will evaluate existing water supplies and demands, forecast future water supplies and demands, and evaluate additional water efficiency and alternative sources of new water supply that will reduce future reliance on Imported Water.
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Water Reliability – Recycled Water

Business Goal: IEUA is committed to the development and implementation of an integrated water resource management plan that promotes cost-effective, reliable, efficient and sustainable water use along with economic growth within the IEUA Service Area.

Objective: IEUA will support maximizing beneficial reuse of recycled water to enhance reliability and reduce dependence on imported Water.

Commitment: IEUA will complete the development of recycled water infrastructure and will support the Member Agencies in achieving reuse of 50,000 AFY by 2025.

Commitment Level Background

- IEUA has a current wastewater flow of approximately 60,000 AFY. Based upon wastewater forecasts and potential future interconnections, IEUA is targeting a reliable recycled water supply of 50,000 AFY for direct use and groundwater recharge by 2025.

- As outlined in the Recycled Water Business Plan, IEUA is in the process of expanding recycled water infrastructure to meet the 50,000 AFY delivery target. IEUA will release the Recycled Water Plan Update in 2014.

- In addition, the IRP will have specific focus on the development of additional direct recycled water connections and a specific emphasis on recycled water interties and enhanced groundwater recharge capabilities.

- Estimated Fiscal Year 2012/2013 recycled water delivery for direct use and groundwater recharge is 31,500 AFY. Increasing recycled water deliveries to 50,000 AFY is key to meeting the other three Objectives/Commitment Levels (Water Use Efficiency & Education, New Water Supplies, and Groundwater Recharge) for the Water Reliability Business Goal.
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Water Reliability – Groundwater Recharge

Business Goal: IEUA is committed to the development and implementation of an integrated water resource management plan that promotes cost-effective, reliable, efficient and sustainable water use along with economic growth within the IEUA Service Area.

Objective: IEUA will maximize all sources of groundwater recharge.

Commitment: IEUA will support the recharge of all available stormwater and maximize the recharge of recycled water within the Chino Groundwater Basin. Furthermore, IEUA will pursue the option to purchase and store cost-effective surplus Imported Water supplies.

Commitment Level Background

- Groundwater currently comprises about 60% of the water supply needed to meet urban water demand for the region.
- The Chino Groundwater Basin contains approximately 5 million AF of water storage with an additional 1 million AF in unused storage capacity. The current safe-yield of the Basin is 145,000 AFY and declining. Historically, discounted Imported Water has been available and utilized to recharge the Basin when pumping has exceeded the safe-yield. The MWD discounted replenishment water was discontinued in 2012, changing the economic impacts of over-production of groundwater.
- The Chino Basin Groundwater Recharge Program developed new sources of replenishment water: local stormwater and recycled water.
- IEUA has been shifting the need to buy Imported Water to meet replenishment needs, to the cost-effective use of stormwater and recycled water.

- IEUA will continue to partner with CBWM to maximize the recharge of all available stormwater and recycled water and will only recharge imported water proactively when economically viable or as necessary to meet replenishment requirements.
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Wastewater Management - Capacity

**Business Goal:** IEUA systems will be master planned, managed and constructed to ensure that when expansion planning is triggered, designs/construction can be completed to meet regulatory/growth needs in an expeditious, environmentally responsible and cost effective manner.

**Objective:** IEUA will maintain capacity within systems and facilities to meet essential service demands and to protect public health and environment.

**Commitment:** IEUA will ensure that systems are managed and constructed so that 90% of capacity is never exceeded.

**Commitment Level Background**

- Economic development of the region is dependent upon well planned public works infrastructure in place prior to land development. Wastewater collection and treatment are critical components of this infrastructure.
- IEUA has and will continue to utilize operational flexibilities provided through flow diversion and bypass systems to maximize beneficial use and capacity of the integrated collection system, wastewater treatment system, recycled water system, and organics management system.
- For Fiscal Year 2012/2013, all four IEUA Wastewater Recycling Facilities have a Percent Capacity Utilization between 60% - 70%. The Ten-Year Percent Capacity Utilization projection shows slight increases for RP-1, RP-4, and CCWRF; however, RP-5 has a substantial increase to 95%:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regional Water Recycling Plant</th>
<th>FY 2012/13 Actual</th>
<th>FY 2022/23 Projection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Treated Influent Flow</td>
<td>Plant Rated Capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-1</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-4</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCWRF</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>16.3**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IEUA Total</td>
<td>55.8</td>
<td>85.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- For Fiscal year 2013/2014, IEUA will be updating the Facilities Master Plan, which will considered future growth patterns, alternatives for expansion of the Wastewater Recycling Facilities, and impacts to the Recycled Water and Organics Management systems.
- IEUA will ensure that all planning, design, construction, and start-up activities for treatment system expansions are scheduled and completed before the 90% Percent Capacity Utilization is reached.
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Wastewater Management – On-Time Construction

**Business Goal:** IEUA systems will be master planned, managed and constructed to ensure that when expansion planning is triggered, designs/construction can be completed to meet regulatory/growth needs in an expeditious, environmentally responsible, and cost effective manner.

**Objective:** IEUA will ensure capital projects are designed and implemented in a timely and economically responsible manner.

**Commitment:** IEUA will design and construct facilities through efficient project management to ensure that 80% of projects are completed on schedule and 90% of projects are on budget.

**Commitment Level Background**

- IEUA is committed to ensuring that projects are completed: on-time to obtain the beneficial use of required equipment as required by Operations, Maintenance, and Compliance, and on budget to contain costs and accurately project Agency future expenditures.
- Constructability reviews, which will include technical input from Construction, Operations, Maintenance, and DCS staff, will be included as a standard design element with the goal of reducing the number of change orders experienced during construction.
- Construction Management staff have received schedule training to allow for detailed reviews of contractor construction schedules. Staff will effectively analyze contractor schedules to highlight deficiencies in critical paths that may result in extended project schedules.
- At the completion of a project pre-design report (PDR), budgets will be created with well-defined scopes of work that include all project costs: design/construction consultants, construction contract award, and all Agency labor costs (Engineering, Construction Management, Operations, Maintenance, DCS, Finance, and Accounting).
- A project will be deemed on budget if all design, construction, and start-up activities are completed and expenditures on the project are between 90-100% of the project budget.
- Schedules for duration of design and construction/start-up will be created at the time the project budget is created (completion of the PDR).
- The Engineering schedule metric will be based upon the project design kickoff meeting and the Award of Construction Contract. The Engineering activities will be deemed on schedule if the duration between the Award of Construction Contract and design kickoff meeting is +/- 10% of the initial estimate.
- The Construction Management schedule metric will start at the preconstruction meeting and conclude with the Operations acceptance of the project. The Construction activities will be deemed on schedule if the duration between the project acceptance and preconstruction meeting is +/- 10% of the initial estimate.
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Wastewater Management – Biosolids Management

Business Goal: IUEA systems will be master planned, managed and constructed to ensure that when expansion planning is triggered, designs/construction can be completed to meet regulatory/growth needs in an expeditious, environmentally responsible, and cost effective manner.

Objective: IUEA will manage all Agency produced biosolids in a compliant, fiscally prudent and environmentally sustainable manner.

Commitment: IUEA will ensure that 95% of the Inland Regional Compost Facility’s capacity is utilized, all biosolids produced by IUEA are treated at IERCF, Agency solids generation is minimized through efficient dewatering operations, and all compost is marketed for beneficial use.

Commitment Level Background

- In 2001, the Chino Basin Organics Management Business Plan set a goal for the region to divert organic solids from landfills and to consume locally generated recycled organic material. Under a Joint Powers Agreement, IUEA in partnership with Los Angeles County Sanitation District constructed the Inland Empire Regional Composting Facility (IERCF) to meet this goal.

- IERCF has an operating capacity of approximately 400 wet tons per day for wastewater biosolids. IUEA’s owned portion of this operating capacity is equivalent to 50% or approximately 200 wet tons per day of biosolids material. IUEA currently generates approximately 190 wet tons per day of biosolids.

- IUEA’s goal is to send all biosolids generated at its wastewater facilities to IERCF; however, IERCF requires one shutdown day per month to perform preventative maintenance on operating equipment. On maintenance days, IUEA will utilize the use of storage at RP-1 and RP-2, while maintaining contracts with third party composting facilities as a contingency.

- IUEA supports reducing solids generation at its wastewater facilities. Currently, start-up activities for the new RP-1 Centrifuge Dewatering Building are commencing and full operation should be achieved by the end of 2013. The new centrifuges will increase the biosolids total solids percentage from the current 16% up to 24%. This will decrease the IUEA biosolids generation by approximately 50 wet tons per day, resulting in excess IUEA capacity at IERCF.

- All biosolids and wood amendment sent to IERCF are processed and treated to produce a Class A exceptional quality compost. IERCF compost, which is created and marketed as SoilPro Premium Compost, is beneficially used by contracting agencies and sold as a soil conditioner that improves water retention, resulting in better plant growth and reduces water requirements.
Appendix E.4

Wastewater Management – Energy Management

Business Goal: IEUA systems will be master planned, managed and constructed to ensure that when expansion planning is triggered, designs/construction can be completed to meet regulatory/growth needs in an expeditious, environmentally responsible, and cost effective manner.

Objective: IEUA will optimize facility energy use and effectively manage renewable resources to achieve peak power independence, contain future energy costs, achieve statewide renewable energy, distributed generation and greenhouse gas reduction goals, and provide for future rate stabilization.

Commitment: IEUA will achieve peak power independence by 2020 through the implementation of renewable projects, energy management agreements and operational efficiencies.

Commitment Level Background

- IEUA facilities currently use approximately 75,000 MWh of electricity annually at an annual cost of approximately $9,000,000. This is 26% of the non-labor Operations and Maintenance budget and the highest, non-labor cost of the Agency.
- The region’s population is forecasted to increase by 50% by 2030, which will further increase demand and cost for electricity. Electricity prices are volatile; however, historically, the average annual increase has been between 4% - 6%.
- IEUA has created a preliminary Energy Management Plan to reach energy independence from the grid during peak energy use/pricing period (noon – 6:00 PM) by 2020 through increased energy efficiency, increased on-site energy generation, a diversified energy portfolio and energy demand response.
- Through Power Purchase Agreements (PPA’s), IEUA has expanded its renewable energy portfolio to include 3.5 MW of solar, 1.0 MW of wind, and 2.8 MW of biogas fuel cell production.
- IEUA will develop an updated energy management plan that will focus on integrating energy efficiency, demand response, and renewable energy generation programs to contain future energy costs and contribute to achieving statewide renewable energy and greenhouse gas reduction goals.
Appendix F.1

Environmental Stewardship – Regulatory Compliance

**Business Goal:** IEUA is committed to the responsible use and protection of the environment through conservation and sustainable practices.

**Objective:** IEUA will comply with all federal, state and local laws at each Agency facility.

**Commitment:** IEUA will have no more than 2 notices of violation annually from the State Water Resources Control Board, Air Quality Management District, or Non-Reclaimable Waste System for all Agency owned and operated facilities.

**Commitment Level Background**

- IEUA has set Key Performance Indicators (KPI) at each Agency facility to monitor compliance with all regulations stipulated in the NPDES, AQMD, and NRWS permits.
- When compliance KPI’s are exceeded, incident reports are created to outline the facts and causes of any noncompliant event. The incident reports are reviewed and corrective action is taken to prevent future KPI noncompliance.
- It is up to the discretion of AQMD to issue NOV’s; however, in general a NOV is issued for: operation of equipment without a valid permit to operate, excessive exceedance of a permit stipulated emissions requirement, or operations resulting in a nuisance to the public.
- For Calendar Year 2012, IEUA had the following AQMD notices of violation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Incident</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9/5/12</td>
<td>Ammonia Tank Level Exceedance (greater than permitted capacity)</td>
<td>NOV issued (item resolved)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/5/12</td>
<td>Unpermitted Pilot Unit Installation</td>
<td>NOV issued (item appealed)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- SWRCB defines violations as “serious” and “non-serious” and each type of violation may be subject to a minimum liability penalty (MMP). In addition, sewage spills, including large recycled water spills, are subject to administrative civil liability penalties (ACL). Any MMP or ACL would be considered a notice of violation. For Calendar Year 2012, IEUA had the following SWRCB incidents; however, no incidents were deemed serious:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Incident</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/10/12</td>
<td>Turner Basin RW Release</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/3/12</td>
<td>SB Lift Station Sewer Overflow</td>
<td>Spill was contained and cleaned before reaching surface water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/12/12</td>
<td>CalPoly Pomona RW Release</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/8/12</td>
<td>Philadelphia NRW Sewer Overflow</td>
<td>Spill was contained and cleaned before reaching surface water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/19/12</td>
<td>CCWRF 7-d Median Coliform</td>
<td>Investigation identified issue as sample contamination.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix F.2

Environmental Stewardship – Good Neighbor Policy

Business Goal: IEUA is committed to the responsible use and protection of the environment through conservation and sustainable practices.

Objective: IEUA will control odors at all Agency facilities for the purpose of improving the environment and being a good neighbor to the local community.

Commitment: IEUA will perform a quarterly odor monitoring assessment to develop actual and acceptable baseline odor thresholds. Acceptable baseline thresholds will be used to measure treatment plant performance and drive necessary capital improvements.

Commitment Level Background

• IEUA facilities and processes have the potential to produce odors.
• Each facility is operated under AQMD permits that include odor control requirements.
• AQMD has a rule that prohibits odor impacts to the community.
• Substantial funding has been made into odor control technologies at Agency Facilities.
• IEUA routinely performs odor circuits around each facility to measure for hydrogen sulfide. Hydrogen sulfide has an odor described as smelling similar to rotten eggs and is generally used as a surrogate for wastewater odor presence.
• IEUA will review extending similar odor circuits to all Agency Facilities and will review expanding measurements to include ammonia (pungent smell) and mercaptans (rotten cabbage smell).
• In addition, IEUA will perform a quarterly odor profile analysis at each of the treatment facilities. An odor profile analysis is completed by inviting participants from Member Agencies and IEUA staff to survey facility odors and grade them by intensity (weak to strong) and characteristic (rotten eggs, fishy, rotten cabbage, etc.). See following diagram for example sample locations.

Regional Plant No. 1  Regional Plant No. 5

• Based upon the odor circuits and odor profile analysis, odor baselines will be created and thresholds will be set for each facility. An odor control plan will be created to determine any capital expenditures required to meet the established thresholds. Based upon the required capital expenditures, the odor thresholds may be adjusted to provide the most efficient odor control strategy.
Appendix F.3

Environmental Stewardship – Response & Complaint Mitigation

Business Goal: IEUA is committed to the responsible use and protection of the environment through conservation and sustainable practices.

Objective: IEUA will investigate and appropriately respond in a timely manner to any environmental issue or complaint received at any Agency Facility.

Commitment: IEUA will immediately respond to any event that threatens public health and safety and will respond within 5 working days to any nonemergency complaint or suggestion.

Commitment Level Background

- Generally, all Agency facilities have Operations & Maintenance staff onsite 10 hours per day, 7 days a week to respond to any compliance or public health & safety events. During hours when facilities are unmanned, Operations & Maintenance staff are on-call and receive alarm notifications for any compliance or public health and safety event.

- For Calendar Year 2012, IEUA had 17 onsite compliance related incidents, 2 emergency response events due to recycled water releases, and 2 response events to sanitary sewer overflows. Each event was responded to immediately.

- For Calendar Year 2012, IEUA received 4 odor complaints from members of the Public. Each complaint was thoroughly investigated by Agency staff and incident reports were created. Most complaints cannot be substantiated; however, the Agency has modified operations in an attempt to reduce the potential of creating odors.
Appendix F.4

Environmental Stewardship – Environmental Responsibility

Business Goal: IEUA is committed to the responsible use and protection of the environment through conservation and sustainable practices.

Objective: IEUA will strive to implement actions that enhance or promote environmental sustainability and the preservation of region’s heritage.

Commitment: IEUA will consider and assess environmental sustainability, public use and heritage preservation options for all of its programs and projects.

Commitment Level Background

- IEUA constructed a new headquarters building and committed to design standards that ensured prudent use of natural resources and proactive conservation measures. This project has enabled the Agency to achieve recognition and leadership in support of building a sustainable environment. This recognition was presented to the Agency through the U.S. Green Building Council’s Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED™) program earning the “Platinum” level rating by meeting specific requirements. IEUA will take actions to sustain the Platinum LEED status of its facilities.

- In 2007, IEUA opened the Chino Creek Wetlands and Educational Park, one portion of the overall efforts being taken in the watershed under the Chino Creek Integrated Plan (CCIP). The general function of the CCIP is to focus planning attention on the lower Chino Creek area of the Prado Basin in a process of preserving and restoring the Prado Basin, maximizing value to the community, improving water-quality and flood control, and providing habitat restoration, recreation, water conservation and public education. The park is open to the public during daytime hours and consists of: 22,000 various drought tolerant plants, 1.7 miles of nature trails, 22 acres of habitat, and 6 ponds.

- The 1630 West Recycled Water Pump Station was constructed at Vineyard Park in Ontario. As part of the project, new park bathroom facilities were constructed and improvements of the parking lot, electrical, and irrigation systems were completed providing benefit to the local residents.

- IEUA will expand its environmental and education programs including: annual Earth Day activities, Garden in Every School and Inland Empire Garden Friendly. IEUA will collaborate with all Stakeholders (including Cal State San Bernardino Water Resource Institute and Home Depot) on the Inland Empire Garden Friendly program to promote sustainable environmental principles and incorporate the history and tradition of the Region.

- IEUA completed construction of the wetlands mitigation area in Basin 2 of the RP-3 Recharge Facility in July 2004. Basins 1, 3 and 4 are used actively for groundwater recharge, while most of Basin 2 is occupied by the mitigation wetlands. The vegetation was planted and the irrigation system installed in May 2005.
Appendix B

Carbon Management Plan
CARBON MANAGEMENT PLAN

INTRODUCTION

IEUA’s Business Goals discuss the need for effective energy management in order to meet California’s Greenhouse Gas (GHG) reduction goals. This Carbon Management Plan intends to provide a baseline for future reduction goals and introduce specific carbon management efforts that will be further developed and expanded upon in successive plans. Effective carbon management is instrumental in sustainably and efficiently treating wastewater and providing recycled water for the Chino Basin.

GHG REPORTING

IEUA became a member of The Climate Registry (TCR) in 2013. TCR membership is voluntary, and requires an annual inventory of GHG emissions. IEUA’s 2013 GHG emissions were reported, but not verified by an independent third party. IEUA has committed to pursue verification for the 2014 reported GHG emissions.

Figure 1 shows the breakdown of IEUA’s 2013 GHG emissions by source. A more detailed categorization is shown in Table 1. GHG emissions reported through TCR are divided into Scope 1 (direct emissions) and Scope 2 (indirect) emissions. Approximately 21 percent of IEUA’s GHG emissions are emitted directly from fossil fuel combustion at IEUA facilities (Scope 1). The remainder of the inventory is made up of indirect electricity purchases, emissions from mobile combustion related to biosolids hauling, or emissions from biogenic sources (Scope 2).

FIGURE 1. IEUA’S 2013 GHG EMISSIONS BY SOURCE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Scope 1 (Direct Emissions)</th>
<th>Scope 2 (Indirect Emissions)</th>
<th>Total GHG Emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stationary Combustion</td>
<td>Mobile Combustion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>LPG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-1</td>
<td>5,671</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-4/IERCF</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-2</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RP-5/HQ</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCWRF</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWR</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet Vehicles</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biosolids Hauling</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dechlorination Station</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>6,735</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: GHG emissions from electricity used for recycled water pumping at RP-1, RP-4, RP-5, and CCWRF are included under "RW."
CARBON NEUTRALITY BY 2030

In recent years, IEUA has worked to develop a diverse portfolio of renewable energy technologies. Since 2008, 3.5 MW of solar panels, a 1 MW wind turbine, and a 2.8 MW biogas fuel cell have been installed at IEUA facilities, which adds to a 580 kW biogas engine that has been in operation since 1990. In 2010, IEUA entered into a public-private partnership to operate a food waste digestion process designed to provide renewable fuel for two 1.5 MW biogas engines at IEUA’s RP-5 facility. These biogas engines began to generate power in early 2015.

As shown in Figure 1, electricity purchases account for half of IEUA’s GHG emission profile. Through renewable resource optimization and expansion, IEUA aims to procure 100 percent of its electricity through carbon neutral sources by 2030. In Fiscal Year 2013/2014, 36 percent of electricity purchases were procured from carbon neutral sources (Figure 2). It should be noted that only biogas used in the fuel cell was considered to be carbon neutral. Natural gas usage in the fuel cell was separately included in the 64 percent of procurement from non-carbon neutral sources.

![Figure 2: IEUA FY 13/14 Electricity Procurement Sources](image)

Achieving a goal of 100 percent carbon neutrality will require significant planning and engineering effort. Biogas optimization, increased plant efficiencies, and new renewable projects will all be pursued to work toward the 2030 goal. IEUA used information from the Wastewater Facilities Master Plan (WFMP) to project electrical needs over the next 20 years based on the anticipated increase in influent flows. IEUA also used the following assumptions to estimate the contribution of renewable resources toward meeting the 2030 electrical needs:

- **Food Waste Digestion Operations** – IEUA estimates that the cogeneration engines powered by the food waste digestion process will generate at 90 percent capacity by 2030.
- **Microturbine Installation** – IEUA is currently evaluating the installation of a microturbine that would operate on biogas and serve as a replacement of the 580 kW engine at RP-2.

- **Fuel Cell Operations** – IEUA assumes that the fuel cell at RP-1 will maintain operation on a 75/25 mixture of biogas and natural gas, respectively. Equipment degradation rates specified by the manufacturer are included in the projection.

- **Solar Installations** – IEUA is currently evaluating the installation of an additional 1 MW of solar generation, which was incorporated into the projection. Generation capabilities of the solar were estimated to decrease at a rate of one percent per year, consistent with manufacturer specifications.

- **Increased RPS** – Based on current legislation, IEUA anticipates that by 2030, 50 percent of electricity procured through import will come from renewable sources.

- **Increased Energy Efficiency** – Based on preliminary energy audit results, implementing energy efficiency measures at IEUA facilities is expected to reduce energy usage by 15 percent on average.

Using these assumptions and the projections from the WFMP, IEUA has estimated that 81 percent of its electricity needs in 2030 will be generated from renewable resources (Figure 3).

**FIGURE 3. PROJECTED 2030 ELECTRICITY PROCUREMENT SOURCES**

To better understand where the carbon neutral electricity will be coming from, Figure 4 breaks down the anticipated generation from carbon neutral sources in the 2030 projections. These estimates account for projected demand increases from the WFMP, as well as expected efficiency upgrades. Existing renewable resources (3.5 MW of solar, 1 MW wind turbine, 2.8 MW fuel cell, and 580 kW engine) are not included in either column.
Future planning efforts will be focused on tracking the performance of renewable installations, researching new opportunities to increase the procurement of electricity from carbon neutral sources, and identifying potential avenues of bridging the gap between the current level of carbon neutrality and the 2030 goal.

GHG MANAGEMENT

In addition to achieving carbon neutrality, IEUA will evaluate and implement measures to improve GHG management. Beginning in FY 15/16, GHG reductions will be considered favorably in the selection criteria for proposals received for new engineering projects.

The Carbon Management Plan will also be revised in parallel with IEUA's Energy Management Plan to ensure continuous evaluation and improvement toward GHG goals. Future planning efforts will enlist the assistance of third party consultants to generate a more robust management plan that evaluates potential GHG monitoring and reduction measures such as the carbon neutrality of fleet vehicles, tracking GHG impacts in various water supplies, and expansion of IEUA's GHG inventory to include Scope 3 emissions.
Appendix C

Organics Diversion
ORGANICS DIVERSION

INTRODUCTION

California has adopted several policies to reduce the short-lived climate pollutants (SLCP) and Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emissions by 2030, like the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32), and the mandatory commercial organics recycling law (AB 1826) in 2014. AB 1826 requires business to recycle organic waste by April 1, 2016, based on the amount of waste generated per week, and expects local governments to adopt and implement a mandatory commercial organic waste recycling program by January 1, 2016. Since composting and anaerobic digestion are acceptable alternatives to organics landfill disposal, Agency’s facilities and staff know-how may represent a valuable resource to IEUA’s Member Agencies required to comply with AB 1826.

FEASIBILITY STUDY

The Agency’s “Organics Diversion” initiative was introduced in support of IEUA’s Member Agencies and local businesses, in complying with the State’s organics diversion requirements. As result, staff is conducting a feasibility study in the Agency’s service area, to evaluate the amount and the current processing and disposal practices of:

- fat, oil and grease (FOG)
- domestic and commercial food waste
- high strength industrial waste.

A critical element of the feasibility study is the digester gas production estimate associated with the amount and type of organic waste available in the Agency’s service area, and the development of a business case evaluation related to co-digestion and digester gas utilization facilities. Potential beneficial use of the biogas generated is:

- electricity generation (internal combustion engine, microturbine, fuel cell)
- conversion to natural gas pipeline quality (pipeline injection and/or vehicle fuel)

Because of the significant energy value associated with food waste, the implementation of an organics diversion program and food waste co-digestion will be essential in supporting the Agency long term goals of peak power independence and carbon neutrality.

PROJECT BARRIERS

Social, political, economic and regulatory barriers can adversely affect the implementation of the Organics Diversion initiative at IEUA. Community support is essential to ensure proper disposal and prevent food waste contamination; as well the full support of the Member Agencies directly responsible for providing waste management, or contracting the waste collection and disposal to a third party. Lack of funding, slow return on investments, uncertain revenue stream and incentives may be too risky for the Agency; and, delaying the implementation and enforcement of organic recycling laws may limit the amount of waste available for co-digestion, preventing the development of a competitive organic waste market, with tipping fees comparable to other disposal options.
Fuel Cell

Facility: Regional Water Recycling Plant No. 1 (RP-1)
Manufacturer: Fuel Cell Energy, DFC3000
Rating Output: 2,800 kW
Estimated Annual Output: 19,260 MWh/yr
Commercial Operation Date: 1/1/2013
Power Purchase Agreement: Anaergia (20 years)
PPA Rate: $0.1262/kWh (2013) - $0.2017/kWh (2033)
Ancillary Equipment: Gas Cleaning System, Heat Recovery
Fuel Requirements: 612,000 – 830,000 cft/day biogas

Estimated Generation

Grid Price Forecast (6% Annual Escalation)
Grid Price Forecast (4% Annual Escalation)

Savings FY 12/13 – FY 14/15: $343,000
Expected Savings PPA Term (FY 12/13 – FY 32/33)
- $790,000 (4% Escalation)
- $13,540,000 (6% Escalation)

Fuel Cell


NOx  99.2%
SOx  99.9%
PM10  100.0%

Emissions (lb/MWh)

Reduction

Engine  Fuel Cell
Solar

Facilities: Carbon Canyon Water Recycling Facility, Regional Water Recycling Plant No. 1, No. 4, No. 5
Manufacturer: SunPower, Evergreen
Rating Output: 3,500 kW
Estimated Annual Output: 7,000 MWh/yr
Commercial Operation Date: 12/2008
Power Purchase Agreement: SunPower
PPA Rate: $0.1173/kWh (2008) - $0.1709/kWh (2028)
Number of Panels: 18,210
Type of Panels: Ground/Roof Mounted Fixed Tilted, Ground Mounted Tracker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Savings FY 08/08 – FY 14/15</th>
<th>- $440,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expected Savings PPA Term</td>
<td>$1,030,000 (4% Escalation)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(FY 08/09 – FY 28/29)</td>
<td>$3,700,000 (6% Escalation)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Environmental Benefits Since 2008
Renewable Energy = 43,524 MWh
Equivalent to:
- Carbon sequestered by 10,896 acres of forest in one year.
- Removing 2,799 passenger vehicles from the road for one year.

Emissions Reductions (tons)
- VOC = 0.47
- CO = 3.33
- NOx = 1.64
- PM10 = 0.39
- SOx = 0.22
- CO2e = 13,293
Wind Turbine

Facility: Regional Water Recycling Plant No. 4 (RP-4)

Manufacturer: Mitsubishi, MWT 62/1.0 - 1000A

Rating Output: 1,000 kW

Estimated Annual Output: 1,500 MWh/yr

Commercial Operation Date: 12/3/2011

Power Purchase Agreement: Foundation Wind Power

PPA Rate: $0.085/kWh (2011) - $0.145/kWh (2031)

Wind Speed: 7 mph (100 kW) - 27 mph (1,000 kW)

Tower Height: 180 ft

Savings FY 11/12 – FY 14/15

Expected Savings PPA Term (FY 11/12 – FY 31/32)

$45,000

$520,000 (4% Escalation)

$790,000 (6% Escalation)

Environmental Benefits Since 2011

Renewable Energy = 1,498 MWh

Equivalent to:

- Carbon sequestered by 375 acres of forest in one year.
- Removing 96 passenger vehicles from the road for one year.

Emissions Reductions (tons)

VOC = 0.02

CO = 0.11

NOx = 0.06

PM10 = 0.01

SOx = 0.01

CO2e = 458